

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'



Kadhim Dhahawi Abbass

Directorate General of Education in the Holy Kerbela Teacher of English in the preparatory school of the superiors

ABSTRACT: At the time when we were able to implement the post-war era, we could not afford to use traditional art forms and standards that could not be convincing and their validity was limited, so a new type of theater became required in such circumstances. Therefore, absurd theater came as a response to the turmoil, chaos, and absurdity that people faced in those similar times. Prominent playwright Harold Pinter (1930-2008), depicted the absurdities and difficulties of the modern era in an innovative way. They tried hard to show, in their dramatic treatments, the predicament of lost people who are unable to feel their presence. They feel exhausted and frustrated in a world that deprives them of their humanity, including sex.

So in Pinter's plays that present masculine-looking character we find ourselves face to face with mere reflections of the most obvious problems of modern times. More precisely, we are facing characters without gender, or better to say, neutral symbols of the difficulties that man faced in such a predicament. This study deals with the lack of sex in masculine plays in Pinter's Caretaker.

KEYWORDS: Theater of the Absurd, Harold Pinter, silence, dialogue, plays, interpretation.

INTRODUCTION

Since theater is a dramatic genre, it has always given readers an ontological conundrum: since plays are intended to be performed rather than merely read, the reader's interpretation of a dramatic work may be influenced by their capacity to visualize stage elements and their interactions with characters. In light of the aforementioned assertion, the Theater of the Absurd pushes those boundaries to the point that interpretation itself turns into a guessing game for a reader who has never seen this type of play before. The dramatic movement known as the Theater of the Absurd started in the 1940s and continued into the 1960s. Its plays are renowned for its existentialist views on the cosmos and apparent meaninglessness, which came about as a result of the rejection of the idea of a single truth (Carlos, Vargas 32).

Because of this, the characters who stand in for the resulting fragmented truths are usually unable to transcend their limited parameters, which makes it challenging for them to communicate with each other. The incapacity of characters to communicate is another significant aspect of absurdist plays. As a result, attempts by one character to communicate linguistically with another are notoriously unsuccessful because characters rarely voice their opinions, and when they do, they are either ignored or their personal truths conflict with those of the characters listening, which results in violence. Consequently, the Theater of the Absurd produces an unintelligible cosmos where dramatic occurrences turn into centrifugal forces that divide characters on a linguistic, psychological, and physical level. (Ibid).

Furthermore, a variety of factors contributed to the absurd theater's development and subsequent domination in the 1950s and 1960s. The difficult and complex living circumstances brought about by World War II and its devastating experiences prepared the way for modern man's current situation, in which he must constantly fight to reaffirm his identity. Man had to discover a new way to communicate himself as all previous attempts had failed. That is, to confirm his existence.

Man is essentially frustrated, and divided against himself, while he lives in society; man is torn by intolerable contradictions; in a condition of essential absurdity. (Fleming 189).

The existentialist philosophers started looking for the self from this point on. Furthermore, the ridiculous theory was greatly influenced by this philosophy. However, after the 1940s, there was a general trend—particularly among existentialist philosophers and embraced by literary figures like French novelist and philosopher Jean Paul Sartre (1905–1980)—to see man as an isolated being submerged in an alien cosmos. They also believed that there was no intrinsic truth, worth, or significance in the universe. As "it moves from the nothingness where it came toward the nothingness where it must end, as an existence which is both anguished and absurd," human life is meant to be imagined (Goetz 624).

Harold Pinter's play "The Caretaker" provides a sophisticated analysis of power, identity, and interpersonal relationships in a constrained environment through its consideration of gender dynamics. Despite the fact that the play is mostly a male-centered

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'

tale, gender has an impact on the characters' interactions as well as the thematic undercurrents of vulnerability and dominance. Through language, physicality, and the psychological manipulation of characters, Pinter's work challenges readers to critically examine the construction and deconstruction of gender roles. This study attempts to reveal the subtle yet significant ways that gender influences the motivations and conflicts of the male characters, Davies, Aston, and the titular caretaker, by closely examining their interactions. It also raises issues regarding the lack of female characters and how the play's male identities and power struggles are shaped by their absence. According to this interpretation, "The Caretaker" is not only a study of interpersonal relationships but also a critique of the larger gender norms that influence the lives of the individuals. In the end, this investigation challenges conventional ideas of masculinity and authority by demonstrating how the dynamics of power, vulnerability, and control are deeply woven within their interactions.

HAROLD PINTER THE DRAMATIST

Without a question, one of the most well-known practitioners of the Theater of the Absurd is Harold Pinter. He wrote popular plays such *The Birthday Party*, *The Lover*, *The Homecoming*, *The Caretaker*, and *The Dumb Waiter* and was awarded the Nobel Prize in Literature in 2005. The language used in the final two plays will be examined in this article because it demonstrates how spoken discourse leads to a gradual accumulation of linguistic oppression, which characters eventually become unable to bear and vent their resentment via violent deeds. In contrast, silence has the opposite impact in Pinter's works since it is the only option for characters to lessen hostility in a dangerous environment (Ibid 33).

Born in 1930, Harold Pinter is still regarded as one of the greatest playwrights of all time. "His early career decision was influenced by his enthusiastic drama teacher and his successful acting in grammar school plays."¹ As one of "the most complex and challenging post World War II dramatists," he attained widespread recognition (Gotez 457). He was raised in North-East London as the sole child of Jewish working-class parents. He received his education at Hackney Downs Grammar School, where he participated in school plays. He starred in two productions: *Romeo and Juliet* the next summer and *Macbeth* in the summer of 1947. Regarding Pinter's portrayal of *Macbeth*, the school magazine's critic stated the following:

Word-perfect, full-voiced, Pinter took the tragic hero through all the stages of temptation, hesitation, concentration, damnation. He gave us both *Macbeth's* conflicts, inner and outer mental and military, with vigour, insight, and remarkable acting resource. (Baker, Tabachnich, 15).

His talent for his future literary profession so emerged early. He also acknowledged early on that he had been influenced by the works of Beckett, whom he regarded as "the best prose writer living," and Kafka. (Gassner, Dukore 1182). However, "Pinter continued to be very successful at being Pinter," as Austin E. Quigley states.⁵ Put another way, Pinter's distinctive style made him a well-known modern writer who put a lot of effort into capturing the problems of contemporary man's life. He took use of a scholarship to attend London's Royal Academy of Dramatic Art. After two years, he dropped out of school to pursue a career in acting under the stage name David Baron. He spent time traveling throughout Ireland with a Shakespearean company (Quigley 6). According to Austin E. Quigley, Pinter the playwright's "new phenomenon" quickly started to manifest itself on the English stage. His first play, *The Room*, was written in 1957 for a friend who was employed at Bristol University's theatrical department. In response, Pinter said, "I sat down and wrote it in four days." He wrote the play in four days. It happened, albeit I'm not entirely sure how. Bristol University hosted the inaugural performance of *The Room* in May 1957. Later that year, he penned his first full-length play, *The Birthday Party*, which was successfully resurrected on stage and broadcast, as well as *The Dumb Waiter*. (Esslin 5). Pinter's writings demonstrated a unique talent and a clear originality. The stage bore his unmistakable print. Additionally, he created a new form to symbolize topics like the unnamed threat, isolation, a lack of communication, vague terror, man's aimlessness, and the challenge of confirming existence. Under the general word "Pinteresque," his name has been modified to describe a certain kind of theater. Pinteresque theater is frequently referred to as "the theater of the absurd." In his plays, he conjured up a mystical, terrifying world. Put another way, it might be claimed that he added a unique air of mystery to his plays (Burkman 3).

In an interview with Lawrence M. Bensky, Pinter states that "Everyone encounters violence in some way or another." In order to manipulate the most obvious problems in the modern age, especially after World War II, Pinter wrote a number of plays with entirely no female figures or all male plays. Pinter, who was a great admirer of Beckett, creates his own unique image of the condition of modern man who is shrouded with a terrible sense of sterility. Pinter successfully portrays life in the Twentieth century with all its ambiguity, panic, and violence. (Baker, Tabachnich, 12).

THE ROLE OF SILENCE IN HAROLD PINTER'S 'THE CARETAKER': AN EXPLORATION

In Pinter's play, silence is a powerful instrument that may both bridge and divide characters, revealing their inner conflicts and unspoken desires while also emphasizing the tension that results from misunderstandings and poor communication. In addition to enhancing the characters' emotional environment, this nuanced depiction of silence highlights the difficulties of communication in a society where words frequently fall short of expressing genuine intentions and sentiments. In this situation, the silence takes on a life of its own, exposing the brittleness of interpersonal bonds and the significant influence that unsaid ideas may have on

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'

interpersonal relationships. The audience is encouraged to interact with the subtext by this complex interplay of silence, which makes them think about what is hidden beneath the surface and how much remains unsaid in their own lives.(Gautam, 87).

As the story progresses, it becomes more and more clear that these silent passages operate as a barrier and a bridge, forcing characters to face their weaknesses and search for understanding in a world that is frequently unforgiving. In addition to deepening the story's emotional landscape, the tension these unsaid words produce also reflects the complexity of real-life encounters, when silence can speak volumes and unexpectedly alter the trajectory of relationships. The characters and the audience are forced to negotiate the fine line between expression and restraint as a result of this complex dance of speech and silence, which exposes the characters' inner problems. The story asks readers to consider their own experiences with silence and the significant effects it can have through this examination of communication.the narrative invites readers to reflect on their own experiences with silence and the profound impact it can have on connection and intimacy. As the characters grapple with their unvoiced thoughts, the narrative unfolds layers of vulnerability and strength, challenging the notion that words are always necessary to convey meaning (Ibid).

In considering the importance of silence in Pinter's *The Caretaker*, one cannot miss how this absence of sound acts as a potent instrument for character development and interpersonal relations. Silence becomes a weapon employed by Aston to assert his authority over Davies, who is typically chatty yet ultimately weak in his need for validation. In addition to reflecting a struggle for dominance, this tension draws attention to the psychological obstacles that each character faces, exposing more profound emotional realities that lie beyond their spoken words. Moreover, the juxtaposition of spoken words and silence allows listeners to participate actively with the text, asking them to fill in the gaps left by unspoken thoughts and sentiments, thus deepening their comprehension of the characters' motivations and relationships. (Imhof, 74).

Additionally, this complex interplay between speech and silence in *The Caretaker* symbolizes larger issues of alienation and the human condition in addition to highlighting the psyches of particular characters. It is clear from the stark contrast between Aston's stillness and Davies' constant talk that their exchanges are filled with unspoken wishes and anxieties, which Pinter painstakingly creates to highlight the frailty of communication itself. Every silence and pause highlights the characters' extreme loneliness and implies that, despite their close physical closeness, true comprehension frequently eludes them (Pandey, 2012).This investigation offers a moving remark on the limitations of words in mending emotional chasms by asking viewers to think about how silence may be just as revealing as conversation. (Heinrich, 82).

In the end, the play forces audiences to face their own feelings of connection and disconnection, inspiring contemplation on the complexity of interpersonal connections. The audience is left wondering whether true closeness can ever be attained in a world where words often fall short of capturing the intensity of one's inner struggle as the individuals negotiate their turbulent interactions. In this setting, the story reveals that the most meaningful connections are sometimes made through unspoken understanding and shared silence, underscoring the paradox of communication in our daily lives. The audience is prompted to reevaluate their own interactions by this examination of silence as a means of connection, which implies that silent times can frequently create stronger bonds and speak louder than words.

The novel explores the complex dance of trust and vulnerability as the individuals struggle with their unsaid emotions, showing how these quiet times can serve as a haven for emotional truths to surface. The protagonists navigate their deepest desires and concerns in this haven, which leads to insights that alter their understanding of intimacy and love. But this place of quiet is more than just a haven; it is a battlefield for the protagonists' opposing needs and wants. The tension between Aston's reserve and Davies' constant desire to explain his existence highlights a critical analysis of human communication, showing how words can both unite and divide people. (Gautam, 87).

Each character's struggle becomes representative of larger existential quandaries in Pinter's world, where silences intersperse the dialogue with an almost tangible tension, highlighting the limitations of language articulation in expressing the whole range of human experience. This dynamic implies that although words can create bridges, they can also create walls, trapping people inside their own stories while they long for understanding yet frequently fall short of it. Therefore, the examination of silence goes beyond simple theatrical technique and becomes a meaningful commentary on the intricacies of identity and the inherent loneliness that comes with trying to find connection in the midst of life's chaos.This intricate examination of silence in Pinter's work not only reveals the fragility of interpersonal connections but also serves as a reflection on the broader implications of communication breakdown within society. The characters' struggles echo real-world scenarios where individuals often grapple with their own silences, leading to an alienation that permeates beyond the confines of stage and script. For instance, Aston's quietude can be perceived as a microcosm for those who retreat into themselves amidst societal pressures, illustrating how silence may serve both as a protective barrier and a source of profound loneliness (Bajestani, 12).

Additionally, this thematic investigation challenges viewers to think about the moral implications of listening; when words are insufficient, it becomes necessary to interact with others through attentive silence, creating an environment that allows vulnerability to flourish. As a result, Pinter skillfully emphasizes that although language is essential for communication, it is frequently during our quiet times that we really start to comprehend one another, pushing us to accept the complexity of interpersonal relationships. In addition to highlighting the dichotomy of silence, this nuanced depiction forces us to examine our

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'

own communication patterns and encourages a greater understanding of the unsaid interactions that influence our experiences and relationships.

When Harold Pinter's plays are discussed in relation to the concept of silence, one immediately thinks of his short play *Silence*, and more especially of Ellen's words as she confesses her dread, failure, and doubt. In a paradoxical statement, Ellen discusses her yearning for someone to talk to her and break her quiet. "Around me sits the night. Such a silence. I can hear myself. Cup my ear. My heart beats in my ear. Such a silence. Is it me? Am I silent or speaking? How can I know? Can I know such things? No-one has ever told me. I need to be told things. I seem to be old. Am I old now? No one will tell me. I must find a person to tell me these things". (Pinter 200) Pinter shows us a world of failure and sorrow in *The Caretaker*, the play that is considered his masterpiece. The three characters—Mick, Aston, and Davies—seem to be the epitome of loss. Mick begins the play by examining the room in detail, which ironically foreshadows his insecurity and failure. In a café altercation, Mick's older brother Aston had boldly prevented an elderly hobo from suffering serious injuries. The elderly tramp, Davies, has made fruitless attempts to fit in at that café and is currently seeking integration. It is obvious that Pinter has encouraged his characters to heighten the sense of dread and threat (Yuan 72).

The protracted pause at the end of the play is not surprising to the reader who has read Pinter's plays before. The meaning of the two plays is nearly identical, and there is a long silence at the conclusion of *The Caretaker*. When Davies eventually loses the chamber and the sanctuary in *The Caretaker*, Aston doesn't say anything. Davies remains silent as the curtain drops and he stands at the door. His extended silence implies that he needs to leave, that he is no longer safe in that space, and so that life is over. The piece then appears to be a reflection on the importance of family and friends in relationships. It is evident that interpersonal connections were significantly impacted by World War II, and Pinter's writing.

ABSENCE AND PRESENCE IN 'THE CARETAKER'

The relationship between presence and absence is a central theme in Harold Pinter's classic play "The Caretaker." This piece, which exemplifies Pinter's unique style, skillfully examines the intricacies of interpersonal interactions via the prism of psychological strain and existential doubt. The protagonists move through a space full with emotional and physical voids, each representing a different level of connection and estrangement. The fragility of identity and the human condition are highlighted by the presence of Davies, the caretaker, in contrast to the impending lack of stability and belonging. In order to encourage a more thorough investigation into the essence of life itself, this introduction aims to analyze how Pinter employs presence and absence to represent larger societal fears as well as to construct character dynamics. By use of a detailed examination, this study aims to illuminate the intricate ways in which Pinter articulates themes of absence and presence, thereby enriching our understanding of the play's enduring relevance in contemporary discourse.

Moreover, the exploration of absence and presence in "The Caretaker" resonates with broader literary movements that grapple with similar themes, particularly within the context of absurdist theatre. Much like Eugène Ionesco's characters who are ensnared by an existential void, Pinter's figures reflect a haunting disconnection from their surroundings, suggesting that identity itself is often constructed through the interplay of what is seen and what remains unacknowledged ((Gruia) & Mihai, 10). This dynamic not only emphasizes individual isolation but also invites contemplation on societal structures that perpetuate these feelings of alienation, akin to the experiences articulated by women poets during the Generation of 27, who navigated their own landscapes of absence and desire (Bellver, 2001)

Pinter's work thus functions as a microcosm for investigating how human connections may both encapsulate the ongoing struggle against the forces of absence that threaten to consume them and disclose significant truths about life. Taking into account the psychological aspects offered by Lacanian theory—specifically, the interaction between the Symbolic, Imaginary, and Real orders—will enhance our examination of absence and presence in Pinter's story. According to this perspective, Davies' character can be seen as a metaphor for the fractured self, torn between the harsh reality of his life (Real) and the need for recognition (Imaginary), resulting in a constant battle for identity in a place that provides neither solace nor assurance. This is identical to what women poets from Generation 27 have experienced, who similarly grappled with their own identities against a backdrop of societal expectations and personal longing. (Bellver, 20).

Their works encapsulate a dynamic tension where absence becomes a catalyst for creative expression, reflecting both loss and resilience, much like the characters in "The Caretaker" whose interactions reveal the profound implications of what it means to exist in a world marked by disconnection and yearning. Thus, Pinter's play not only serves as a poignant commentary on individual alienation but also resonates with broader artistic discourses that examine how absence shapes our understanding of presence and identity in an ever-evolving landscape.

By analyzing Pinter's use of dramatic devices, especially his use of pauses and silences, which are potent markers of emotional voids and unsaid tensions, this complex investigation of absence and presence can be further clarified. Ionesco's depiction of characters who struggle with their own haunting absences is reflected in these moments, as stillness serves as a platform for existential dread and identity problems ((Gruia) & Mihai, 10). Furthermore, Pinter's dialogue frequently veers between the banal and the profound, reflecting how language itself can both hide and reveal deeper truths about human connection and isolation,

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'

much as the female poets of the Generation of 27 imbued their work with an immediacy that captured the essence of unfulfilled desire. Audiences are prompted by this contradiction to face not just the vulnerability of interpersonal relationships but also the broader societal structures that perpetuate feelings of disconnection, making "The Caretaker" a compelling study in the nuances of presence amidst pervasive absence. (Bellver 20).

The physical environment of "The Caretaker" itself becomes a character that represents both presence and absence, expressing the breakdown and disorder of human connection in addition to Pinter's subtle use of silence. The characters' psychological conflicts are fought out in the chaotic space, which is a symbolic battlefield that is both illuminating and limiting. Ionesco's theme of the irrationality of existence, in which the absurdities of life are magnified by the environments in which they occur, is in harmony with this spatial dynamic. (Gruia & Mihai, 10).

Furthermore, just as the women poets of the Generation of 27 articulated their experiences of longing through vivid imagery and emotional depth, Pinter's stagecraft invites audiences to confront the stark realities of isolation within domestic spaces, thus transforming the ordinary into a site of existential inquiry. Through these layers of meaning, Pinter not only critiques societal norms but also compels us to consider how our own environments shape perceptions of identity and belonging, ultimately deepening the exploration of absence that permeates his work. Furthermore, by examining memory and its elusiveness, "The Caretaker"'s thematic investigation of presence and absence can be further contextualized, reiterating Lacanian ideas of unfulfilled desire. In this sense, Davies' disjointed memories are a moving reminder of how identity is shaped by past experiences while avoiding tangible representation in the present. The phantom of what has been lost hangs large, creating an existential abyss that penetrates their interactions, and this is consistent with Ionesco's depiction of protagonists plagued by their own history (Gruia & Mihai, 10).

Similarly, the women poets of the Generation of 27 grappled with the implications of memory and absence within their works, weaving together personal narratives that reflect both longing and resilience against societal constraints (Bellver, 1). Thus, Pinter's intricate staging not only amplifies the psychological disarray experienced by his characters but also invites audiences to contemplate the profound impact of memory on our understanding of self and other amidst the pervasive voids of existence. Furthermore, the notion of absence and presence in "The Caretaker" can be juxtaposed with the theatrical explorations of Ionesco, who sought to give substance to the invisible forces that haunt human existence. In his works, characters often grapple with their identities amidst a backdrop of absurdity, reflecting an existential void similar to Davies' struggle for recognition within Pinter's narrative. (Gruia & Mihai, 40)

This parallel underscores how both playwrights utilize their respective settings not merely as physical spaces but as reflections of psychological landscapes where absence manifests in haunting ways. Additionally, the women poets of the Generation of 27 also navigated these themes through their poignant depictions of unfulfilled desires and emotional vacuums, creating a rich tapestry that resonates with the fragmentation experienced by Pinter's characters (Bellver, 66). Thus, the interplay of absence and presence transcends individual narratives, inviting audiences to engage with broader artistic dialogues that reveal the complexities of identity formation against societal constraints and personal loss.

In conclusion, Harold Pinter's "The Caretaker" intricately weaves the themes of absence and presence into a compelling exploration of human relationships and existential uncertainty. Through the character of Davies and the disarray of the physical setting, Pinter highlights the fragility of identity and the pervasive feelings of alienation that characterize modern existence. The interplay of silence and dialogue serves as a powerful vehicle for conveying the emotional voids that define the characters' interactions, echoing the broader societal anxieties that resonate throughout the play. By examining Pinter's work alongside the existential themes found in the writings of Eugène Ionesco and the Generation of 27 poets, we can appreciate how these artistic expressions reflect a shared struggle against the forces of absence that threaten to engulf individual identities. Ultimately, "The Caretaker" not only critiques societal norms but also invites a deeper inquiry into the nature of existence itself, enriching our understanding of how absence shapes our perceptions of presence and belonging in an increasingly disconnected world.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the role of silence in Harold Pinter's "The Caretaker" serves as a pivotal element that underscores the complexities of communication and human relationships. Through the strategic use of pauses and unspoken moments, Pinter crafts an atmosphere laden with tension and ambiguity, reflecting the characters' inner turmoil and existential struggles. Silence becomes a powerful tool that not only reveals the inadequacies of verbal expression but also highlights the characters' vulnerabilities and desires for connection. Ultimately, Pinter's manipulation of silence invites the audience to engage with the subtext of the narrative, prompting deeper reflections on the nature of communication, power dynamics, and the human condition itself.

This exploration of silence thus stands as a testament to Pinter's mastery in portraying the intricacies of human interaction within the confines of the play. Through his nuanced use of pauses and unspoken exchanges, Pinter challenges the audience to confront their own interpretations of meaning, leaving them with a lingering sense of unease that resonates long after the curtain falls. This lingering sense of unease compels viewers to reconsider their own relationships and the often unexpressed emotions that underpin

Silence As a Tool of Manipulation In 'The Caretaker'

them, making Pinter's work not only a theatrical experience but also a profound commentary on the complexities of human existence. As a result, audiences are invited to reflect on the profound impact of communication—or the lack thereof—on their own lives, prompting a deeper understanding of the unsaid and the weight that silence can carry in our interactions.

This exploration of silence and communication serves as a mirror, reflecting the often turbulent waters of human connection and encouraging individuals to navigate their own emotional landscapes with greater awareness and empathy. Through this lens, Pinter's plays challenge viewers to confront their own vulnerabilities and the unspoken tensions that exist within their relationships, ultimately fostering a greater appreciation for the power of dialogue in bridging emotional divides. This journey into the intricacies of communication not only highlights the significance of words but also emphasizes the moments when silence can speak volumes, urging audiences to consider how their own experiences shape their understanding of connection and disconnection.

In doing so, Pinter's work invites a deeper exploration of the complexities inherent in human interactions, revealing how unexpressed thoughts and feelings can create both barriers and pathways to intimacy. Through his masterful use of pauses and subtext, Pinter crafts a theatrical language that forces the audience to engage actively with the narrative, prompting them to reflect on their own interpersonal dynamics and the often ambiguous nature of truth in communication. This intricate dance between dialogue and silence not only captivates viewers but also challenges them to confront their own assumptions about meaning, urging a reevaluation of how much is left unsaid in their personal relationships. This profound engagement with the unsaid highlights the significance of listening and understanding in fostering genuine connections, ultimately suggesting that true intimacy may lie not just in what is spoken, but in the spaces between words.

REFERENCES

- 1) Austin E. Quigley, *The Pinter Problem* (Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1975), p. 6.
- 2) Bajestani, B. P. (2012). *A Study of Menace, Pause and Silence in Harold Pinter's Early Plays*.
- 3) Bellver, C. G. (2001). *Absence and Presence: Spanish Women Poets of the Twenties and Thirties*
- 4) *Encyclopedia of World Drama* (New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1972) Vol. 3, p. 425.
- 5) Gautam, K. K. (1987). Pinter's *The Caretaker* A study in conversational analysis. *Journal of Pragmatics*.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166\(87\)90152-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166(87)90152-4)
- 6) (Gruia), V., & Mihai, C. (2010). *Ontologie de la présence absente et (dé)construction du personnage dans le théâtre d'Eugène Ionesco*.
- 7) Heinrich, M. I. G. (1982). The tragic atmosphere in Pinter's *The Caretaker*. *Electronic Government, An International Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.17851/0101-837X.3.1.164>
- 8) Imhof, R. (1974). Pinter's Silence: The Impossibility of Communication. *Modern Drama*.
<https://doi.org/10.3138/MD.17.4.449>
- 9) Juan Carlos, Saravia Vargas. "Tacit Significance, Explicit Irrelevance: The Use of Language and Silence in *The Caretaker* and *The Dumb Waiter*." *Revista de Lenguas Modernas* 16 (2012)
- 10) Katherine Burkman, *The Dramatic World of Harold Pinter: Its Basis in Ritual* (Ohio: Ohio State University Press, 1971), p. 3.
- 11) Quoted in William Baker and Stephen E. Tabachnick, *Harold Pinter* (Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd, 1973), p. 15.
- 12) Quoted in John Gassner and Bernard F. Dukoreed., *A Treasury of the Theatre: From Henrik Ibsen to Robert Lowell*, Vol. II (New York: Simon and Schuster, Inc., 1935), p. 1182.
- 13) Quoted in Martin Esslin, *The Theatre of the Absurd* (London: Eyre and Spottiswood, 1961), p. 5.
- 14) Pandey, A. (2012). *Harold Pinter's the Caretaker: a Psychoanalytic Study*. *Journal of Literature, Culture and Media Studies*.
- 15) Philip W. Goetz, ed., "Existentialism", in *The New Encyclopedia Britannica*, Chicago University Press, 1986, Vol. 25, p. 624.
- 16) Philip W. Gotez, "Pinter, Harold," in *The New Encyclopedia Britannica*, Chicago University Press, 1986, vol. 9, p. 457.
- 17) Pinter, H. (1978). *Plays Three*. London: Eyre Methuen.
- 18) William Fleming, *Arts and Ideas* (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston Inc., 1961), p.189
- 19) Yuan, Y. (2013). Power from Pinteresque Discourse in the Birthday Party. *Studies in Literature and Language*. 7(2), 2013, 72.



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.