

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights



Namrata Arora¹, Drishti Kalra²

¹Professor at VIPS - TC affiliated with Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University

²Assistant Professor at Department of History, DCAC College, University of Delhi

ABSTRACT: The Indian Constitution provides an extensive legal framework to protect and empower Adivasi (Scheduled Tribes) communities through fundamental rights, affirmative action, and special provisions like the Fifth and Sixth Schedules. Despite these safeguards, a persistent gap exists between constitutional guarantees and their practical implementation. This paper critically examines the effectiveness of constitutional provisions, judicial interpretations, and policy measures in safeguarding Adivasi rights. By analyzing landmark Supreme Court rulings, legislative frameworks, and socio-economic challenges, the study highlights systemic barriers—such as land alienation, cultural erosion, and administrative inefficiencies—that continue to hinder the realization of these rights. A comparative analysis with global indigenous rights frameworks underscores the need for adaptive policy measures, robust enforcement mechanisms, and genuine community participation. The findings suggest that bridging this gap requires legal reforms, decentralized governance, and a holistic approach integrating legal, economic, and cultural dimensions to ensure meaningful justice and empowerment for Adivasi communities.

INTRODUCTION

Historically, adivasi communities have been a big stake in Indian demography and culture, but long have been kept out from mainstream development, says the National Human Rights Commission Report 2021. IcelandoinreferenciCorrect These colonial policies made a heritage of labeling tribal cultures as "primitive" or "backward," whereby these titles legitimized exploitation and delayed the socio-political integration of these very communities. These were the reasons that led to the incorporation of many safeguards in the Constitution of India to protect the rights of tribal communities over land, culture, and livelihood, among other issues (Government of India, 2006). Articles such as 14, 15, 16, 19(5)-guaranteeing equality and security within the property rights mentioned as deserving of affirmative action by the Directive Principles of State Policy. Apart from these Articles, laws like Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 (PESA) and Forest Rights Act, 2006 empowering the tribal folk through self-governance and legal recognition of their sacred rights, are also in place. All these exist as a complete framework, but many constitutional safeguards have not been realized to the same level practically for many Adivasi communities. Several of these communities remain alienated from their lands, are culturally eroded, and live on in poverty and deprivation (Singh, 2024). This research aims to evaluate constitutional provisions' implementation regarding promises for protecting Adivasi communities' rights. It traverses historical, administrative, and socio-economic propositions that interact diversely and inhibit actualization.

HISTORICAL REVIEW

A comprehensive body of academic work on Adivasi rights in India has been exhaustively reviewed through the lens of the constitutional, judicial, and socio-economic aspects of the matter. The initial academic work that was carried out, however, was supposed to promote the revealing constitutional guarantees as well as the doctrine of positive action seeking to redress historical injustices (Das & Sanskar, 2023). In NHRC's 2021 survey, it was identified that those actions also brought long-lasting changes to the plight of the people, but further empirical investigations could not cover the gap between their status and the law in real practice. A number of research studies have revealed that even though there is a solid legal

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

Framework in place, Adivasi communities still experience land dispossession, a lack of quality education, and poverty that has been deeply rooted for a long time. Notable court cases, like the ones in *Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh* (1997) and the *Niyamgiri Case* (2013), are proof of the judiciary's involvement in defending aboriginal people; however, such measures are habitually hampered by administrative issues and political influences (Supreme Court of India, 1997; Supreme Court of India, 2013). The parallel studies also indicate that India's indigenous rights are probably the strongest among the constitutional systems in the world, but their execution mechanisms are not up to the mark as compared to countries such as Australia, Canada, and Brazil (Ghai, 2018). This is the basis on which we discuss the prospect of constitutional protection of Adivasis and the questions we confront when the promises are made but are multitudinously not translated into the well-being of the communities.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Indigenous rights in India are protected by an array of constitutional mandates. Keeping this in perspective, one area of concern in Adivasi right protection is that while the Constitution contains strong provisions for their protection, on-the-ground application, given constitutionally guaranteed rights and their realization, is very often a different story. Therefore, the second pillar views the socio-economic ills of widespread poverty, limited access to education, and systemic dysfunctions as significant impediments to the realization of these constitutional guarantees. From this standpoint, the general socio-economic context poses barriers to Adivasi communities in fully exploiting the legal guarantees conferred on them. In mitigation of these core issues, even the most far-reaching legal framework will remain devoid of rendering tangible difference in the field.

Building upon this, the third pillar adds the comparative angle by saying that while the legal framework for the rights of the Adivasis in India is, arguably, one of the most advanced, its implementation at the level of its realisation in the ground does not meet even the bare minimum standards encountered in countries with more pr front-line indigenous rights policies (Ghai, 2018). This juxtaposition of standards lays bare the necessity for India to enhance the enforcement agencies of Adivasi rights while learning from fodering national experience in bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Thus, the researchers are bringing to light the complexities involved about the effective protections for Adivasi rights and underscoring the pressing need for a combination of holistic approaches focused on legal reforms, socio-economic development, and comparative policies.

CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND IMPLEMENTATION OF CHALLENGES

The Indian Constitution is greatly valued for its vision of social justice. Article 14, 15, and 16 form the foundation of equality and non-discrimination, ensuring the equal treatment of all citizens without distinction of caste, religion, or sex. Affirmative action in the form of reservations in schools and colleges and in government jobs was introduced to overcome the historical handicaps to which Adivasi communities were subjected. Article 19(5) guarantees the right to property, which is especially relevant to Adivasis, whose ancestral land is both an economic lifeline and a storehouse of cultural heritage. Additionally, the protection of the right to life under Article 21 gives a climate in which tribal people can live with dignity.

To address the unique challenges of tribal areas, the Constitution incorporated the Fifth and Sixth Schedules. These schedules empower both state and central governments to demarcate Scheduled Areas and establish Tribal Advisory Councils, theoretically enabling tribal self-governance and the management of local resources in accordance with traditional customs (Government of India, 2006). However, the practical implementation of these provisions is hindered by bureaucratic inertia, political interference, and chronic underfunding. For instance, although the Forest Rights Act, 2006 was designed to restore traditional rights over forest lands, its benefits are often negated by complex administrative procedures and low levels of legal literacy among tribal populations (National Human Rights Commission, 2021). Historical evidence from regions such as Orissa and Jharkhand demonstrates how vague promises of "development" have led to the systematic alienation of tribal lands, leaving Adivasi communities in a state of persistent vulnerability and economic marginalization (Singh, 2024).

Apart from this, even when the constitutional provisions are framed in unambiguous language, the enforcing machinery at the grass-root level fails to operate in harmony with them. The grass-root administrative machinery is neither capable nor politically motivated to enforce such policies strictly, and hence an enormous gap between the high-flying aspirations of constitutional guarantees and the ground realities is created. It is this persistent gap that underscores the urgent necessity for effective administrative reforms as well as increased allocations of resources so that rights in the Constitution receive tangible expressions for tribal people.

CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

Under British colonial rule, the authorities were less interested in fostering the socioeconomic progress of adivasi communities and more focused on preserving order both among these indigenous groups and between them and nontribal populations. A notable early instance of this policy was the engagement with the Paharias in the Rajmahal Hills—a region now located in parts of Jharkhand and Bihar. In 1782, in reaction to repeated violent incidents involving the Paharias, the British government removed the Rajmahal Hills from the jurisdiction of local courts and instituted the Hill Assembly. This administrative shift effectively subordinated the Paharias by converting their land into a government estate. Over time, similar policies were applied to various tribes across India, gradually

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

evolving into a system that resembled serfdom. In this arrangement, adivasis were compelled to borrow money from moneylenders in return for labor. Instead of earning wages, these workers were compensated with food and occasionally clothing, creating a cyclical indebtedness. Judicial decisions even mandated that if a debtor died before clearing his debt, his heirs or close relatives had to continue the labor until the debt was fully repaid (Ghurye & Hughes, n.d.:70–75).

After India achieved independence in 1947, government policies underwent considerable transformation. The new constitutional framework addressed numerous issues related to adivasi welfare. For example, Article 46 establishes a broad regulatory and developmental framework for protecting these communities. While Articles 23 and 24 do not explicitly mention adivasis, they include significant social safeguards: Article 23 outlaws human trafficking and forced labor, and Article 24 prohibits child labor (for children under fourteen) in factories, mines, and other hazardous industries (The Constitution of India, 1950:13; A Handbook, n.d.:4).

Economic provisions for the welfare of Scheduled Tribes in India are stipulated in various constitutional articles. For example, Article 275(1) designates annual financial grants from the Consolidated Fund of India to states to support the welfare of local Scheduled Tribes. The Fifth Schedule outlines government oversight for Scheduled Areas and Tribes, with the exception of Assam, Meghalaya, Mizoram, and Tripura. It empowers states to set up Tribe Advisory Councils and grants governors special authorities such as regulating land transfers within these communities, controlling moneylending practices, and overseeing land allotments. In contrast, the Sixth Schedule applies to Assam, Mizoram, Meghalaya, and Tripura, where it allows the establishment of Autonomous District Councils and Autonomous Regional Councils. These autonomous bodies are given the responsibility for development activities and the legislative authority on matters such as shifting cultivation, public health, sanitation, land and forest management, and village customs (The Constitution of India, n.d.: 15–165; A Handbook, n.d.: 5).

The Constitution of India incorporates several educational safeguards to support marginalized communities, including Scheduled Tribes (STs). One such provision is Article 15's Section 4, amended by the Constitution (First Amendment) Act of 1951, which allows the state to reserve seats in educational institutions, like medical and engineering colleges, specifically for individuals from the Scheduled Tribes and Scheduled Castes. In addition, Article 350 stipulates that states must make efforts to provide instruction in the primary language of linguistic minority groups, including the adivasis, who speak a range of dialects and languages. Articles 330 and 332 also secure reserved seats for Scheduled Tribes and Scheduled Castes in legislative bodies, such as the House of the People, State Legislative Assemblies, and various local governing bodies like panchayats. The Constitution further guarantees reserved positions in civil services and posts for the adivasis, and by extension, for other underrepresented communities like Scheduled Castes and Other Backward Classes (The Constitution of India, n.d.: 7–217; A Handbook, n.d.: 6).

Since the inception of the First Five-Year Plan in 1951, the Planning Commission of India has focused on development policies aimed at addressing the welfare of adivasis, often referred to by Govinda Chandra Rath as the "welfare model of development" (Rath, n.d.: 32–41). These plans are designed to promote empowerment and ensure that modern development initiatives reach adivasi communities. Part of this includes the implementation of the Tribal Sub-Plan, which coordinates financial resources across various sectors to improve the situation of adivasis. Nevertheless, the Eleventh Five-Year Plan admits that the execution of the Tribal Sub-Plan has been less than successful (Eleventh Five-Year Plan, n.d.: 104). To further emphasize progress, the Ministry of Tribal Affairs was created in October 1999 to oversee the integrated development of adivasis. However, it has been criticized for not delivering as effectively as intended (Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India, n.d.: 9)

PRESCRIPTION TO DEAL WITH CHALLENGES

To address the unique challenges of tribal areas, the Constitution incorporates the Fifth and Sixth Schedules. These schedules empower both state and central governments to demarcate Scheduled Areas and establish Tribal Advisory Councils, theoretically enabling tribal self-governance and the management of local resources in accordance with traditional customs (Government of India, 2006). However, the practical implementation of these provisions is hindered by bureaucratic inertia, political interference, and chronic underfunding. For instance, although the Forest Rights Act, 2006 was designed to restore traditional rights over forest lands, its benefits are often negated by complex administrative procedures and low levels of legal literacy among tribal populations (National Human Rights Commission, 2021). Historical evidence from regions such as Orissa and Jharkhand demonstrates how vague promises of "development" have led to the systematic alienation of tribal lands, leaving Adivasi communities in a state of persistent vulnerability and economic marginalization (Singh, 2024).

Furthermore, even when legal provisions are clearly articulated, the enforcement mechanisms at the local level often fall short. Administrative bodies responsible for implementing these policies frequently lack the capacity or political will to enforce them rigorously, resulting in a significant disconnect between the high ideals of constitutional guarantees and the on-ground reality. This persistent gap underscores the urgent need for comprehensive administrative reforms and increased resource allocation to ensure that the rights enshrined in the Constitution translate into tangible benefits for tribal communities.

JUDICIAL INTERVENTIONS: LANDMARK CASES AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

Judicial activism has done a lot to interpret and apply the constitutional injunctions for Adivasi rights. One very important judgment

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

in this regard of the Supreme Court was in *Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh* (1997), as the Supreme Court marked the concept of possessing inherent interest in the tribal lands (Supreme Court of India, 1997). The alienation of Adivasi land will not be allowed for commercial or industrial purposes unless such alienation is with unequivocal expressed consent of Adivasi population and besides, government oversight.

It had historic reassertion by this judgment that Adivasi land is not mere economic good, it bears life itself as the essence of Adivasi culture and social life. Another landmark case, the *Niyamgiri Case* (2013), again brought the same. Thus, the supreme court held that any developmental project which would cut into the sacred land of the Dongria Kondh tribe would have to take rigorous scrutiny and approval from the informed tribal community (Supreme Court of India, 2013). This ruling reiterated that economic development never should come at the cost of eroding cultural and spiritual foundations. There are also further judicial pronouncements regarding reservation and local self-government reemphasizing that Adivasi communities deserve proportional representation and underlining the very necessity of empowering tribes in legislative and administrative affairs (Singh, 2024). Nevertheless, while such judicial pronouncements have been progressive, the ground reality in terms of enforcement keeps defeating their practical effect, as political pressures and shortage of resources have made the full enforcement of court order impossible.

The judiciary plays a very complex role in addressing the dynamic character of tribal identity. The recent controversies regarding conversion to other religions or the influence of culturally assimilated practices on the rights of tribes have raised demands for judicial pronouncement based on social changes. Although much has been done by the judiciary in giving safety to tribal rights, these schemes are much more workable by local administrative mechanisms to implement these judgments. This is one of the central issues in the larger context of Adivasi rights protection.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC REALITIES, HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES, AND GLOBAL COMPARISONS

The socio-economic status of Adivasis is the direct opposite of the promises enshrined in the constitution and what has been seen on the ground. Colonial policies set the stage for the systematic marginalization of tribal communities by stigmatizing them as "backward" or "primitive" and hence legitimizing exploitative expropriation and eviction of tribal lands (Kumar, 2023). After independence, rural modernization development strategies used to lead to mass displacement and forced alienation of traditional lands. In regions like Jharkhand, Orissa, and central India, mass-scale mining and infrastructure development used to lead to forced displacement of tribal groups with usually meager compensation and minimal rehabilitation (Singh, 2024).

Economic challenges in tribal areas are multifaceted. The majority of Adivasi areas are poorly served when it comes to infrastructure, where quality education is almost inaccessible, and inferior medical attention leads to persistent poverty and social marginalization. The outpouring of administrative inefficiency and corruption has acted as an additional force, siphoning away crucial resources meant for tribal development. The situation of tribal women is especially dire: antiquated customs have often deprived them of what legitimately belonged to them in terms of ancestral property, thereby damaging any potential for providing a means of subsistence to their families (National Human Rights Commission, 2021). These developments notwithstanding, the legal reforms carried out in the form of the Hindu Succession Act have proven inadequate, as their inconsistent application has meant that thousands of tribal women remain trapped in a chronic state of disenfranchisement.

A comparative analysis with international indigenous rights frameworks offers additional insights. For countries like Australia, Canada and Brazil, there are developed legal mechanisms by which indigenous people can secure their land rights and participate in governance. Australia's Native Title Act provides for a structured process to allow Indigenous communities to reclaim their ancestral lands, while treaty rights in Canada allow for significant indigenous involvement in laws created. The Brazilian Constitution contains safeguards for indigenous people and underscores the relevance of culture preservation and territorial integrity. Above all, these international models demonstrate that adequate protection of indigenous rights can be guaranteed through progressive legal frameworks, strong institutional support and transparent administrative practices, including active engagement of those communities concerned (Ghai, 2018). Comparatively, although India has an elaborate legal framework, the enforcement mechanisms are poor, thus requiring reform at the systemic level.

In recent works, it has become evident that innovative policy interventions can finally closing the gap between constitutional guarantees and practical benefits. Legal literacy programs and participatory monitoring systems localized to show promise but have remained isolated to small settings. An even greater distance from reality is the aspiration of tribal self-determination- the management of resources and cultural heritage by tribal communities. The increasingly closer integration of tribal communities with the mainstream of society introduces complications of cultural assimilation, conversion, and changes in tribal identity that further complicate the legal scenario. So new emerging issues mandate flexible and dynamic legal framework to address all these new realities while keeping intact the core rights of Indigenous groups. Historical case studies further reinforce the magnitude of these challenges. Areas of Kalahandi in Orissa and some regions of central India bear documents of forced alienation of land under the vague promises of "development", resulting in long and slow impoverishment of tribal communities. Such historical lines beg for speedy reforms to strengthen legal protections and address the socio-economic underpinnings of the marginalisation of tribes.

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT ON ADIVASI CULTURE

The rapid modernizing pace of India has changed and reshaped the economy and culture of the Adivasi Communities in a major way (Akta 2009:3). Whereas the constitutional norms should have protected them by recognizing them as Scheduled Tribes, this quite set-off a rush of development initiatives that facilitated opportunities and disruptive changes.

The other enormous impacts pertain to the changes in traditional economic practices. Historically, Adivasi communities maintained subsistence economies linked with their ancestral lands-dependent upon these lands for economic security and cultural identity. Modern development-induced path towards industrialization and market integration has increasingly given communities no choice but to switch over to cash economies. According to Akta (2009:31), “chronic indebtedness and coercive credit practices” have forced many Adivasis to mortgage their ancestral lands, robbing them not just of economic independence but of the cultural ties that these lands represented.

Alongside economic changes, development has wrought cultural erosion, and this has become one of its major consequences. Traditional ritual, language, and social customs that have been the foundation of Adivasi identity for centuries are increasingly being replaced by modernity and its homogenization. Akta (2009:47) notes that “traditional modes of life, rituals, and even language are gradually being supplanted by modern, homogenized cultural forms—a process that leads to an identity crisis for the younger generation caught up trying to stabilize contemporary influences on the one hand and their ancestral heritage on the other”.

Inside these gloomy features, Adivasi societies still show resilience and adaptability, some of them incorporating part of modernism within their traditional practices without leaving their core cultures. As mentioned by Akta (2009:61), these steps toward adoption mirror the balance sought to achieve in terms of development advantages.

In summary, it is clear that the development and culture nexus within an Adivasi community is quite a complex one. As with economic dislocation in the future, one of the aspects of social advancement and infrastructure improvement may be whitewashed by cultural erosion itself. Hence the integrative holistic policy entailing economic development to measure with all necessary actions towards preserving and rejuvenating indigenous cultural practices should ensure that the promises of modernization do not come at the price of a rich Adivasi cultural heritage (Akta 2009:66).

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As a matter of course along this trajectory, some reformative policy suggestions have been disclosed. Changes have to be instituted in administration to make the enforcement easier, thus realizing the legal protections under the Constitution. Important measures in such efforts include streamlining even procedures under the Forest Rights Act 2006 and endowing Tribal Advisory Councils with sufficient resources. Further, devolution of the administration through empowering local administrative institutions could also make policy implementation adaptable more closely to local contexts of the tribal populations.

Second, the specialized legal literacy initiatives assume huge priority. Awareness of constitutional rights among the Adivasi communities through community legal clinics, workshops, and locally available legal manuals can be made useful for realization of rights for tribal members. Such initiatives must be ushered into the national platform, so that even the remotest tribal community ended up forming the perception for their forwarded view of rights.

Thirdly, social-economic development should be complimented with a protection mechanism of rights for the Adivasis. Enormous investments into infrastructure- educational and health systems should help counteract depraved poverty and ingrained social marginalization inflicting havoc in tribal areas. Development programs need to be designed in consultation with tribal communities so that they are sensitive to their culture and target the genuine needs of the people. Special measures to empower tribal women—including strict enforcement of inheritance rights and programs for their empowerment as leaders in local self-governance—are also needed to bring about gender equality and economic security.

FUTURE CHALLENGES AND THE WAY FORWARD WITH SOME KEY IDEAS

Going forward, it would be complex and constantly changing with all the challenges that are associated with Adivasi rights in India. Age-long bureaucratic inefficiency and political interference will require dealing with structural reforms and change in political culture toward the rights and well-being of tribal communities. Scalable innovative policy initiatives in policy and practice such as community legal clinics and participatory governance models will also ensure that the voice of tribal communities is heard and respected at all levels of government.

The future, indeed, belongs to technology and is increasingly being used to make the case for closing the gap between the ideal and the real, between what is constitutional and what is practical. Digital means will include documenting rights to land, allocating resources, and making transparent for monitoring policies—the tools that can radically empower tribal communities and reorient accountability within administrative processes.

To adapt to the changing socio-cultural dynamics will also determine the future of Adivasi rights. Issues like religious conversions and cultural assimilations would need to be addressed through a re-examination and updating of legal frameworks to guard against evolving identities of tribal communities. This should be approached by putting together legal experts, policy makers, community leaders, and scholars from different disciplines to engage in continuous dialogue and research.

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

Experiences from other countries are also very informative. Progressive frameworks of indigenous rights have illustrated that adequate protection of indigenous peoples requires solid legal provisions and sound and co-ordinated strategies to address economic, social, and cultural dimensions for effective protection. India would also enrich itself with such integrated approaches by adopting laws without blind and abstract or practical effectiveness of the policies, to prevent development with the side effects of undermining tribal rights.

The bridging of gaps between constitutional ideals and practical outcomes would require a truly concerted, multi-dimensional effort. Reforms must be jointly developed and executed by policymakers with tribal communities to address grievances rooted in historical injustices and those emerging in present-day contexts. Only then shall the constitutional promise of social justice be realized fully for India's Adivasi communities-active participation, transparency, and accountability.

SOCIAL SAFETY NETS: ADDRESSING ECONOMIC VULNERABILITY AMONG ADIVASIS

Thus, it could be stated that the economic risks that Adivasis face while dealing with the socioeconomic modification accompany cultural identity changes and financial interventions. However, broader policy intervention would also de facto lessen their vulnerability. The implementing social safety net is another methodology among these.

The notion of social safety nets hit the mainstream discussions of development during 1990 with the issuing of the World Development Report on Poverty. This report defined social safety nets as the mechanisms of income support to save the individual from temporary economic distress and crisis. In the context of this report, the programs to be developed were made a key component of a three-pronged strategy for poverty alleviation. By the course of the 1990s, social safety nets expanded call the stipulations of chronic and short-term poverty.

Though important, social safety nets have often been criticized as short-term welfare programs without much impact on sustainable development.

People argued that these programs comfort for usual conditions of living, yet they do not create conditions for sustainable, long-term economic self-sufficiency. By the early 2000s, World Bank's Consultations with the Poor report stated that income instability incentivized poor to adapt risk management strategies instead of focusing entirely on the maximization of welfare gains. It highlights the necessity of formulating social safety programs which designed a balance between immediate economic relief and long-term resilience-building mechanisms for marginalized groups like the Adivasis.

THE ROLE OF SAFETY NET TRANSFERS IN POVERTY REDUCTION

Contrary to common perceptions, safety net transfers have the potential to significantly improve the living conditions of impoverished communities, particularly by facilitating asset creation. According to research from the Institute of Development Studies at the University of Sussex, these transfers contribute to capital formation, with one of the most direct benefits being improved efficiency through hunger reduction.

Food-based safety net initiatives can take various forms, such as supplementary feeding programs, food-for-work schemes, or food stamp systems. These programs are particularly prevalent in developing economies across South Asia, where many nations have attained food self-sufficiency due to the agricultural advancements of the Green Revolution in the 1960s and 1970s. As a result, surplus food can be redistributed to support vulnerable populations.

The connection between a country's economic progress and the nutritional well-being of its people is widely accepted. However, there are differing perspectives on this relationship. While some argue that reducing poverty is the key to addressing hunger, others suggest that improved nutrition itself contributes to economic growth. Research indicates that better nutrition enhances labor productivity and extends life expectancy, ultimately strengthening a nation's economic performance. Since marginalized communities often face the highest levels of malnutrition, disease susceptibility, and physical exertion due to labor-intensive work, targeted income and food assistance programs can serve not only as social welfare measures but also as valuable economic investments.

PUBLIC WORK PROGRAMS AND THE ROLE OF CASH TRANSFERS IN REDUCING VULNERABILITY

A large number of social safety net programs consist of public works, which give short-term income or food assistance while fostering long-term developments with permanent assets. To alleviate poverty meaningfully, public works ought to have wage conditions that are in harmony with the going wage rate in local communities. Preferably, the labor in public works should be more intensive because the people in such marginalized communities, for instance, Adivasis, usually have very low formal skills.

This design of these programs initially assumed that the people getting assistance were either unemployed or underemployed, thus resulting in an excess supply of labor, not considering the fact that they were mostly seasonally unemployed, especially agricultural laborers. In an attempt to mitigate this conflict, currently, most public works are scheduled during dry seasons, so that the interventions do not interfere with farm operations.

Studies from Namibia, Mozambique, and Zambia show that although modest cash transfers promote welfare, programs

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

with moderate transfers provide far greater welfare benefits through a multiplier effect. Of course, whenever cash is in the hands of poor households, they spend the money on food or any necessity and only after that do they invest in human capital-related activities like health and education. Only after fulfilling these necessities can they think about investing in opportunities and asset-building expenditures. Hence, for cash transfer programs to generate sustainable economic benefits for the Adivasis, cash transfer amounts should be sufficient to cover consumption and investment needs.

An apprehensive participant from Zambia's cash-for-work program said aid is just 'the oil' that lubricates the movement and the progress. However, for sector programs to have a similar effect in rural India, they need to be designed against the sociocultural landscape of the region and be supported by adequately structured policies and institutions in order to foster the sustainment of impact.

STRENGTHENING CAPABILITIES THROUGH EDUCATION AND HEALTHCARE

Beyond welfare-based approaches to development, reducing the vulnerability of Adivasis requires a parallel focus on enhancing their capabilities, as outlined in Amartya Sen's capability approach. This framework emphasizes two key concepts: "functionings" and "capabilities." Functionings represent the various aspects of well-being that individuals value, such as proper nutrition, good health, self-respect, and active participation in social life. Capabilities, on the other hand, refer to the range of possible functionings an individual has the freedom to achieve.

To illustrate this distinction, Sen compares a person who voluntarily fasts to another who is forced into starvation due to poverty. While both individuals experience the same functioning (lack of food), their capabilities differ—one has the choice to eat, while the other does not. From this perspective, poverty is best understood not just as low income but as a deprivation of fundamental capabilities.

Enhancing the capabilities of Adivasis requires targeted policies that improve access to education and healthcare in rural India. Expanding these essential services directly strengthens human capital, enabling individuals to achieve better functionings while also contributing to economic productivity. Literacy and numeracy empower people to participate in economic activities, while better healthcare and nutrition improve workforce efficiency and earning potential. Education, in particular, offers more than just skill-building for employment—it enhances communication, decision-making, and self-confidence, increasing an individual's ability to engage meaningfully in society.

Moreover, there is a strong correlation between healthcare, education, and fertility rates in India. Southern states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu, which have emphasized voluntary family planning alongside robust healthcare and education systems, report lower fertility rates. In contrast, northern states such as Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, and Rajasthan, where healthcare and education are less developed, experience higher fertility rates despite stricter government interventions in family planning. This suggests that strengthening human capabilities through education and healthcare is a more effective approach to addressing social and economic challenges than coercive policy measures.

BOTTOM-UP DEVELOPMENT THROUGH SAVINGS

It has been suggested that a sustainable development approach with Adivasis would increase savings at the household level and thus help the accumulation of capital. Some research suggests that savings are usually at very low levels in almost all developing economies, so much more so in the rural areas of India. Results from a field study conducted on the Vasava Bhils from Southern Gujarat indicate that only a marginal number of participants actually save. This raises some crucial questions as to why certain behavioral aspects determine savings and what measures may be adopted to push up savings to counter economic fragility and promote grassroots development.

Some policymakers argue that poverty inhibits one's ability to save, either due to lack of financial discipline or due to very limited income leaving no room for future financial planning. History tells a different story, however. For example, a study conducted in 1978 analyzing household savings in rural financial markets found that rural Punjabi households saved a lesser rate than their counterparts in Taiwan, Japan, and Korea, but somehow their capacity to save increased in the late 1960s, thanks to the boons of the Green Revolution. State-wise data during this time indicated that households of different farm size classes saved or reinvested between 12 and 37 percent of their income.

Economic theories of savings can be broadly classified into three major schools of thought: neoclassical economic theories, psychological and sociological states, and behavioral theories. Neoclassical economic theories assume that one acts rationally in a way to maximize his or her personal utility in equilibrium with current and future consumption. The Life Cycle Hypothesis by Ando and Modigliani and the Permanent Income Hypothesis by Milton Friedman are the two significant complementary theories offered within this general argument. Both theories suggest that, in making financial decisions, individuals act on the basis of long-term expectations of earnings throughout life. While the Life Cycle Hypothesis predicts that individuals save during their working lives to provide for post-retirement consumption, the Permanent Income Hypothesis holds that changes in income perceived to be permanent will be responded to by households, while those perceived to be temporary will not.

Bridging the Gap: The Indian Constitution and the Unfinished Promise of Adivasi Rights

Apart from these traditional models, institutional theories of savings emphasize structural factors influencing financial behavior. Key institutional factors are determinants for savings, which include access to financial services, awareness of savings techniques, attractive financial incentives, and mechanisms for savings organization. The extent of formal saving mechanisms available also affects the saving rate: households with an institutionalized saving club are more likely to accumulate wealth. Clearly, financial literacy is a crucial factor, as those who understand the benefits of saving are more likely to develop habits of consistent saving. The role of incentives, such as tax deductions on savings, presents an interesting perspective given the conflicting impact of income and substitution.

Another important factor is the role of automatic saving mechanisms, such as payroll deductions, which limit discretionary spending and promote higher savings rates. If this institutional framework applies to Adivasi communities, policies should focus on expanding access to formal financial institutions, increasing awareness about savings benefits, and introducing structured saving mechanisms tailored to their socioeconomic context. By fostering a savings-oriented culture within Adivasi households, they can build financial resilience while preserving their cultural heritage, ultimately contributing to sustainable socioeconomic development.

CONCLUSION

The Indian Constitution stands tall as a very dynamic document in that it articulates the commitment of the nation towards social justice and egalitarianism, especially concerning groups that have for long been historically marginalized, such as the Adivasis. The provisions made, very broadly speaking, for variables such as fundamental rights, and special measures under the Fifth and Sixth Schedules, depict the genuineness of intent to provide cultural, economic, and social well-being of the tribal people through these important legislative facilities. Judicial review involving landmark case laws further strengthened these protectors when they asserted that tribal lands and culture are inextricably linked to the survival and dignity of Adivasi people. Yet, immensely far from the common leapfrog legal translations, one could find instances in practice where the application of the law in favor of Adivasi rights remains unfulfilled. The negative effects of Adivasi rights performances arise from increasing socio-economic injustices and inefficiencies in administrative functioning, together with their being the very remnants of colonial exploitation.

The comparative study of international Indigenous rights frameworks accentuates moreover that adequate protection needs to be holistic—socially, with enforcement arrangements and enhanced socio-economic development schemes; a scheme that translates constitutional guarantees into real benefits would require multidimensional strategies such as administrative reforms for proper implementation, creating legal awareness, participatory policy development, and introducing systematic use of technology. Continued interactions and studies shall be indispensable for the legal framework to keep up with the socio-economic changes of tribal communities while ensuring that the dynamism of tribal identity is endorsed and protected.

Thus, what the future holds for Adivasi rights in India would depend on how seriously the nation embraces the challenge of reconciling constitutional theory with on-ground realities. For the promises of the Constitution to be realised, an unrelenting approach will need to be pursued, involving various stakeholders: the government, the judiciary, and the community itself. The journey towards true social justice never ends and will need untiring commitment from all stakeholders- the government, the judiciary, and the community in working together towards an equitable future.

REFERENCES

- 1) Ashokvardhan, C. (2006). *Tribal Land Rights in India*. Centre for Rural Studies, LBSNAA.
- 2) A Handbook. The National Commission for Scheduled Tribes, 2007.
- 3) Bagoria, V. K. (2023). *The Rights of Indigenous Peoples: Constitutional and Legal Provisions*. International Journal of Law, 9(3), 46–51.
- 4) Das, C., & Sanskar, S. (2023). *Adequacy of Indian Constitution in Protection of Tribal Rights*. SSRN. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3911449>
- 5) Defending FoRB. (n.d.). *Religious Freedom and Tribal Rights in India*. Retrieved from <https://defendingforb.org/en/india/religious-freedom-and-tribal-rights-in-india/>
- 6) Government of India. (2006). *The Forest Rights Act, 2006*. Ministry of Tribal Affairs.
- 7) Ghurye, G. S., and introd Everett C. Hughes. *The Scheduled Tribes of India*. New
- 8) Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Books, 1980.
- 9) Kumar, V. (2023). *The Rights of Indigenous Peoples: Constitutional and Legal Provisions*. International Journal of Law, 9(3), 46–51.
- 10) National Human Rights Commission. (2021). *Constitutional and Civil Rights to Protect Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes from Atrocities and the Law against Witch Hunting*. NHRC, India.
- 11) PIB, Ministry of Tribal Affairs. (2019, July 22). *Land Rights of Scheduled Tribes*. Retrieved from <https://pib.gov.in/Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1579747>
- 12) Seema Singh. (2024). *Legal Status of Janjaties: Identity and Future*. Delhi Journal of Contemporary Law.
- 13) The Constitution of India. Trans. Government of India: Ministry of Law and Justice. Constitution ed., 2007.
- 14) Tenth Five Year Plan: Scheduled Tribes. Government of India, 2002.