Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

Tashok Manna Yusuf[1], Prof. Omodia Stephen Monday[2]

[1,2]Department of Political Science and Public Administration. Edo State University, Uzairue, Edo State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT: The conduct of free and fair elections was a cornerstone of democratic governance, and in Nigeria, this required a concerted effort between federal and state governments. This paper adopted a descriptive contextual analysis to explore the necessary level of interaction between these governmental layers to ensure electoral integrity, with a focus on the 2023 presidential election in Nigeria. The contextual analysis method involves examining the electoral framework, historical election data, government policies, and stakeholder interactions. This method allows for a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics that influence electoral integrity in Nigeria. Key sources included publications from the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), reports from international election observers, and scholarly articles on electoral processes in Nigeria. This research identified critical areas where enhanced collaboration between federal and state authorities could mitigate electoral malpractices and improve election outcomes. At the federal level, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) was responsible for overseeing national elections, while state electoral commissions managed local elections. The interaction between these entities was crucial for harmonizing electoral processes, ensuring uniformity in the application of laws, and maintaining a consistent standard of electoral conduct across the nation. Effective coordination facilitated better resource allocation, improved security measures, and enhanced voter education initiatives. Despite the established electoral system, Nigeria faced several challenges, including electoral violence, voter intimidation, ballot box snatching, and vote buying. These issues eroded public confidence in the electoral process and hindered the realization of genuinely democratic outcomes. This study proposed several solutions to address these problems, emphasizing the need for a multi-faceted approach. Key solutions included strengthening the legal framework to deter electoral offenses, enhancing the capacity and independence of electoral bodies, deploying technology for transparent and efficient vote counting, and fostering a culture of political accountability. Additionally, public awareness campaigns and civic education programs were essential to empower citizens to demand electoral integrity and resist corrupt practices. The level of interaction needed by the federal and state governments in Nigeria to ensure free and fair elections was substantial and multifaceted. By fostering robust collaboration, enhancing the electoral system, and addressing systemic issues through comprehensive reforms, Nigeria could achieve more credible and transparent elections. This study provided a roadmap for policymakers, electoral bodies, and civil society organizations to work together towards this goal, ultimately strengthening Nigeria's democratic foundations.

KEYWORDS: Democratic governance, Elections, Federal government, Intergovernmental relationship, Political participation, State government

INTRODUCTION

Elections are a cornerstone of democratic governance, and Nigeria, as a multi-party federal republic, conducts regular elections to select its leaders. The electoral process is managed by the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), which oversees the organization and administration of elections across the nation. These elections are conducted to elect the President, members of the National Assembly, State Governors and House of Assembly members of the States (INEC, 2022).

The electoral process in Nigeria comprises several essential components, including voter registration, political party participation, campaigning, voting procedures, and the announcement of results (Nwafor, 2021). Elections offer numerous benefits, primarily providing a peaceful and democratic means for citizens to choose their leaders and hold them accountable. This process enhances political participation, representation, and inclusiveness, allowing citizens from various backgrounds and regions to influence who governs them (Obi, 2020).

Moreover, elections facilitate the transfer of power, enabling leadership rotation and preventing the entrenchment of authoritarian regimes (Okoye, 2019). For elections to effectively support the democratic process, they must be conducted freely and fairly. A free and fair election occurs in an environment devoid of intimidation, violence, and undue influence, ensuring all eligible citizens have

Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

an equal opportunity to participate. Such elections reflect the genuine choices of the people and enhance the legitimacy of elected leaders, promoting political stability, good governance, and respect for human rights (Adeniran, 2023).

There are several stakeholders in elections such as the citizens, civil society organization, the international community in addition to levels of government. Therefore, the focus of this study is on the interaction between the state and federal as regard the conduct of election in Nigeria. The unit of analysis is focused on the 2023 presidential election.

Achieving free and fair elections in Nigeria necessitates substantial interaction and coordination between the federal and state governments. The federal government, through INEC, establishes the overall electoral framework, procures and distributes electoral materials, and oversees the voting process. Meanwhile, state governments play a vital role in providing logistical support, ensuring security, and facilitating voter education and awareness campaigns at the grassroots level (Egwu, 2018).

Effective collaboration between the federal and state governments in areas such as security deployment, logistics planning, and voter education is essential to create a conducive environment for credible and transparent elections. This cooperation involves intelligence sharing, joint operations planning, and clear communication channels between relevant agencies at both levels of government (Idayat, 2020). State governments can also assist in identifying and addressing potential hotspots for electoral violence and logistical challenges based on their local knowledge and resources.

Furthermore, the federal and state governments must work together to ensure the proper implementation of electoral laws and regulations. The federal government is responsible for enacting these laws, but state governments play a crucial role in ensuring compliance within their jurisdictions (Omotola, 2013). This includes providing administrative support, enforcing electoral guidelines, and adjudicating electoral disputes at the state level.

Effective collaboration between the federal and state governments requires clear coordination mechanisms, a shared commitment to upholding democratic principles, and a willingness to address challenges impartially and transparently. Regular meetings, joint task forces, and clear lines of communication and responsibility can facilitate this cooperation (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019). By working together to ensure free and fair elections, the federal and state governments can promote political stability, good governance, and respect for the will of the Nigerian people. Ultimately, credible elections are essential for consolidating democracy and fostering sustainable development in the country. In Nigeria, holding free and fair elections is very challenging. The federal and state governments need to work together closely to ensure that elections are fair and transparent. The Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) manages elections, but there is not enough research on how federal and state governments can best collaborate to support INEC's efforts.

Research shows that Nigeria faces several problems during elections. These include voter intimidation and violence, often caused by political thugs and sometimes even security personnel, which discourages people from voting, especially in areas where opposition parties are strong (Idayat, 2020; Sule, 2021). Another major issue is vote-buying, where voters are offered money or goods in exchange for their votes. Other malpractices include ballot-box stuffing and falsifying results (Ogunnubi & Isike, 2022; Obi, 2020).

Nigeria's large size and poor infrastructure also make it hard to deliver voting materials and deploy election workers effectively. This leads to delays and makes it easier for people to cheat in elections (Omotola, 2013; Nwafor, 2021). There are also concerns about INEC's transparency and accountability. People worry that INEC is not fully independent and that its processes are not transparent, which reduces public trust in the elections (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019; Adeniran, 2023).

Some solutions have been proposed to address these problems. These include improving the legal and institutional framework for elections, educating voters, increasing transparency and accountability, and promoting political tolerance and respect for the law. However, more research is needed to understand how the federal and state governments can effectively work together to implement these solutions and ensure free and fair elections in Nigeria.

Based on the problem stated above it is crucial to ask the following questions:

i. What is the system of election in Nigeria today?

ii. What is the level of interaction between the Federal and State Governments in ensuring free and fair election in Nigeria?

iii. What are the challenges faced during election in Nigeria?

iv. What are the possible solutions to the challenges faced during election in Nigeria?

Thus, the objectives of this paper are:

i. To highlight the system of election in Nigeria today

ii. To identify the level of interaction between the Federal and State Governments in ensuring free and fair election in Nigeria

iii. To highlight the challenges faced with election in Nigeria

iv. To identify functional solutions to the challenges faced during election in Nigeria

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

The level of interaction between the federal and state governments in Nigeria is pivotal to ensuring free and fair elections, particularly in the context of the 2023 presidential election. Effective coordination and collaboration between these tiers of government are essential in multiple areas, including the establishment and enforcement of legal frameworks, implementation of

security measures, voter education, integration of technological innovations, and efficient electoral administration. The legal framework for elections in Nigeria, governed by the Electoral Act 2022, sets the foundation for electoral integrity. The act's success in ensuring transparency and accountability depends on its implementation by both federal and state authorities. Studies have highlighted the importance of consistent enforcement of electoral laws and timely adjudication of electoral disputes to prevent malpractices and maintain the electorate's confidence in the electoral process (Adebayo, 2022).

Security is another critical area where federal and state governments must work closely. The federal government, through agencies such as the Nigeria Police Force and the Nigerian Security and Civil Defence Corps, is primarily responsible for national security. However, state governments play a crucial role in addressing local security challenges and deploying additional resources as needed. The 2023 elections revealed varying degrees of success in this coordination, with some states experiencing significant security breaches (Okonjo-Iweala, 2023).

Voter education is essential to fostering an informed electorate capable of making independent choices. Both federal and state governments have roles in disseminating voter education. The Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) leads federal efforts, while state governments support these initiatives through local channels. Comprehensive voter education programs tailored to regional literacy levels and cultural contexts significantly enhance voter participation and reduce voter intimidation and manipulation (Nwosu, 2023).

Technological innovations in the electoral process, such as the use of electronic voting systems and biometric verification, have been crucial in enhancing transparency. The deployment of the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS) during the 2023 elections required seamless collaboration between federal and state agencies. This collaboration was necessary to train electoral officials and address technical challenges. Continuous technological upgrades and capacity building are essential to mitigate risks of system failures and cyber-attacks (Ogunleye, 2023).

Efficient electoral administration, encompassing the planning, organization, and execution of electoral activities, is another area requiring close federal-state interaction. INEC, supported by state electoral commissions, plays a pivotal role. The 2023 elections underscored the need for efficient logistical arrangements, timely distribution of electoral materials, and prompt resolution of administrative challenges. Decentralizing certain administrative functions to state governments can enhance efficiency and responsiveness, provided there is a robust framework for accountability and oversight (Ahmed, 2023).

3.0 MATERIAL AND METHODS
This section provides a detailed account of the methodological approach adopted to analyze the level of interaction needed by the federal and state governments in Nigeria to ensure a free and fair election, with a specific focus on the 2023 presidential election. The method utilized is a descriptive contextual analysis, which involves a systematic examination of various elements related to electoral processes, government interactions, and the socio-political environment in Nigeria.

Data Collection
The data for this study was collected from multiple sources, including academic journals, government reports, and reputable news outlets. Recent citations were prioritized to ensure the relevance and accuracy of the findings. Key sources included publications from the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), reports from international election observers, and scholarly articles on electoral processes in Nigeria. A comprehensive literature review was conducted to gather existing knowledge and identify gaps in the interaction between federal and state governments in ensuring free and fair elections in Nigeria. Sources included academic journals, books, government reports, and reputable online sources. The review provided a foundation for understanding the historical context and current challenges in the Nigerian electoral system.

Contextual Analysis
The contextual analysis method involves examining the electoral framework, historical election data, government policies, and stakeholder interactions. This method allows for a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics that influence electoral integrity in Nigeria. The analysis was conducted in the following steps:

i. **Identification of Key Variables**: The study identified critical variables that impact the level of interaction between federal and state governments, including legal frameworks, administrative processes, security measures, voter education, and political party activities.

ii. **Review of Legal Frameworks**: The paper examined the legal frameworks governing elections in Nigeria, including the Nigerian Constitution, the Electoral Act, and INEC regulations. The analysis focused on how these laws facilitated or hindered the collaboration between federal and state governments (INEC, 2023). Recommendations were made for strengthening the legal framework to ensure more effective interactions (Lawal, 2023).

iii. **Analysis of Administrative Processes**: The administrative roles of Federal and State Governments in organizing elections were analyzed. This involved reviewing INEC's organizational structure, funding mechanisms, and the coordination between federal and state electoral bodies.

Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

iv. **Security Measures Assessment**: The study assessed the security arrangements put in place for the 2023 presidential election. This included analyzing the deployment of security personnel, the role of federal and state security agencies, and the effectiveness of these measures in preventing electoral violence. The study analyzed the coordination between federal and state security agencies in maintaining peace and order during the election period. It explored strategies implemented to prevent electoral violence, voter intimidation, and other security challenges.

v. **Resource Allocation**: The study assessed the allocation of resources such as funding, personnel, and materials required for conducting elections. It evaluated the efficiency of resource distribution between federal and state governments and its impact on the electoral process. Data was collected from budget reports and interviews with electoral officials (Ogunleye, 2023).

vi. **Voter Education Initiatives**: Effective voter education campaigns are essential for ensuring informed participation in elections. The study examined the roles of federal and state governments in designing and implementing voter education programs. It evaluated the reach and impact of these initiatives on voter awareness and turnout.

4.0 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION
SYSTEM OF ELECTION IN NIGERIA

Nigeria operates a multi-party democratic system, where the President, National Assembly members, and State Governors are elected through a series of periodic elections. The electoral process in Nigeria is governed by the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), which is responsible for organizing and overseeing all elections in the country.

Voter Registration: Prior to each election cycle, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) carries out a comprehensive voter registration exercise nationwide. This process ensures that eligible citizens are registered to vote and involves collecting biometric data, such as fingerprints and facial images, to prevent multiple registrations and voter impersonation. The biometric voter registration system was first introduced in 2011 and has been used in subsequent elections (Onapajo & Uzodike, 2021; Ezeibe, 2016). This exercise is critical for maintaining the integrity of the electoral process, ensuring only eligible citizens can participate in elections.

Political Party Participation: Nigeria's political landscape operates under a multi-party system, featuring several major political parties and numerous smaller ones participating in elections. The major political parties include the All Progressives Congress (APC), the Peoples Democratic Party (PDP), the Labour Party (LP), and the New Nigeria Peoples Party (NNPP) (Adebayo, 2020; Akinola, 2022). These parties nominate candidates for various elective positions such as the Presidency, National Assembly seats, and State Governorships. For a political party to be registered by INEC, it must meet certain criteria, including having a national presence and a democratic constitution (Ojo, 2017).

Campaigning: Once candidates are nominated, political parties and their candidates launch campaigns to promote their platforms and solicit votes from the electorate. Campaigns in Nigeria can be intense, often involving rallies, door-to-door canvassing, and the use of various media platforms, including social media (Nwankwo & Oji, 2018; Ajayi, 2019). The campaign period is regulated by INEC, with rules governing campaign finances and the conduct of political parties and candidates (Ojo, 2017).

Voting Procedures: On election day, INEC sets up polling units across the country, allowing registered voters to cast their ballots. The voting process involves the use of biometric voter accreditation systems, where voters' fingerprints are verified before voting. This system, known as the Smart Card Reader, was introduced in the 2015 general elections to enhance voting credibility (Omotola & Eze, 2021). Depending on the specific election and location, voters then cast their ballots using either electronic voting machines or paper ballots.

Announcement of Results: After the polls close, INEC officials collate and announce the results at various levels, from the polling unit to the state and national levels. The results are typically announced by INEC officials, and the candidate or party with the highest number of valid votes is declared the winner. The announcement process is often sensitive, and INEC has implemented measures to ensure transparency, such as allowing party agents and observers to monitor the collation process (Okafor, 2020). Despite various challenges, including allegations of irregularities, violence, and logistical issues (Adetula, 2018; Uzochukwu, 2019), Nigeria continues to strive towards improving the credibility and transparency of its electoral process, aiming for free, fair, and credible elections.

LEVEL OF INTERACTION NEEDED BY THE FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENT FOR FREE AND FAIR ELECTION

Ensuring free and fair elections in Nigeria requires a high level of interaction and coordination between the federal government and state governments. This collaboration is crucial for creating a conducive environment for credible and transparent elections. There are several areas where federal and state governments need to work together which include:

Security: Providing adequate security during the electoral process is essential to prevent violence, intimidation, and other forms of electoral malpractice. The federal government, through the Nigerian Police Force, Nigerian Security and Civil Defence Corps, and other security agencies, needs to work closely with state governments to deploy personnel and resources to ensure the safety of voters, electoral officials, and materials. This cooperation involves intelligence sharing, joint operations planning, and logistical

support such as transportation and accommodation for security personnel (Idayat, 2020). State governments also play a role in providing local intelligence and identifying potential hotspots for targeted security deployment.

Logistics and Electoral Materials: The successful conduct of elections relies heavily on the timely distribution of electoral materials, such as ballot papers, result sheets, and voting equipment. The federal government, through the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), is responsible for procuring and distributing these materials. However, state governments play a crucial role in providing logistical support, such as transportation and storage facilities, to ensure that materials reach remote areas on time (Omotola, 2013). This includes coordinating with INEC officials to identify and address potential logistical challenges in each state, such as poor road networks or security concerns.

Voter Education and Sensitization: Educating and sensitizing voters about the electoral process is essential for fostering public confidence and participation. The federal government, through INEC and other agencies, develops voter education materials and campaigns. However, state governments are better positioned to disseminate this information effectively at the grassroots level, using local languages and channels. This involves collaborating with traditional rulers, religious leaders, civil society organizations, and local media to reach remote communities (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019). State governments can also provide feedback to INEC on the effectiveness of voter education campaigns and suggest improvements based on local contexts.

Legal and Administrative Framework: The federal government is responsible for enacting electoral laws and regulations that govern the conduct of elections. However, state governments play a crucial role in implementing these laws and ensuring compliance within their jurisdictions. This includes providing administrative support, such as identifying and setting up polling units, recruiting and training ad-hoc staff, and enforcing electoral guidelines (Omotola, 2013). State governments also have a responsibility to ensure that electoral laws are enforced impartially and without interference from state actors.

Monitoring and Observation: Both the federal and state governments have a responsibility to facilitate the accreditation and deployment of domestic and international election observers. These observers play a vital role in ensuring transparency and accountability in the electoral process. Cooperation between the federal and state governments is necessary to provide access and logistical support to observers, such as transportation, accommodation, and security (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019). State governments can also assist in identifying and accrediting local observers, who have in-depth knowledge of their communities.

Post-Election Dispute Resolution: In the event of electoral disputes, the federal and state governments need to work together to ensure that grievances are addressed through established legal channels. This includes supporting the judiciary, providing security for court premises and officials, and enforcing court rulings related to electoral matters (Ogunnubi & Isike, 2022). State governments can also play a role in mediating disputes at the local level and ensuring that aggrieved parties have access to legal recourse.

Effective collaboration between the federal and state governments requires clear communication channels, coordination mechanisms, and a shared commitment to upholding democratic principles and the rule of law. Regular meetings and information-sharing between relevant federal and state agencies, such as INEC, security agencies, and state electoral commissions, can help identify potential challenges and develop joint strategies to address them. Failure to cooperate effectively can undermine the credibility of the electoral process and erode public trust in the democratic system.

PROBLEM OF ELECTION SYSTEM IN NIGERIA

Nigeria's electoral process and elections have been plagued by several problems over the years, which have undermined the credibility and fairness of the democratic process. Despite efforts to address these challenges, significant issues persist. The major problems faced in Nigeria's electoral process and elections are:

Voter Intimidation and Violence: Electoral violence is a recurrent problem in Nigeria, with incidents of voter intimidation, physical assaults, and destruction of electoral materials frequently reported (Idayat, 2020). This violence is typically instigated by political thugs, party supporters, and sometimes security personnel. Such actions deter voter participation, especially in regions perceived as opposition strongholds, thereby compromising the integrity of the electoral process (Idayat, 2020; Sule, 2021).

Vote-Buying and Electoral Malpractices: Vote-buying, where voters are given money, goods, or other incentives in exchange for their votes, is prevalent in Nigeria (Ogunnubi & Isike, 2022). Additionally, electoral malpractices like ballot-box stuffing, multiple voting, and result falsification have been documented, undermining the principles of free, fair, and credible elections (Obi, 2020; Balogun, 2020).

Logistical Challenges: Nigeria's vast geography and poor infrastructure present significant logistical challenges in distributing electoral materials and deploying personnel (Omotola, 2013). Issues such as delays in the delivery of voting materials, malfunctioning electronic voting equipment, and inadequate staffing at polling units have been noted, leading to delays, voter disenfranchisement, and increased opportunities for electoral malpractices (Nwafor, 2021).

Lack of Transparency and Accountability: Despite efforts to enhance transparency, there are ongoing concerns about the lack of accountability and independence of the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019). Allegations of partisanship, opaque procurement processes, and insufficient measures to ensure the integrity of the electoral process have eroded public trust in INEC and the broader electoral system (Adeniran, 2023).

Weak Legal and Institutional Framework: Nigeria's legal and institutional framework for elections has been criticized for being inadequate and prone to manipulation (Omotola, 2013). Outdated electoral laws, the lack of independence of electoral tribunals, and

weak enforcement mechanisms contribute to persistent electoral malpractices and delayed resolution of electoral disputes (Sule, 2021).

Inadequate Voter Education and Awareness: Low levels of voter education and awareness, especially in rural areas, have led to voter apathy and vulnerability to electoral malpractices (Okoliko & Nwadialor, 2019). A poor understanding of the electoral process, voting procedures, and the significance of participating in elections undermines the democratic process (Nwafor, 2021).

Weak Political Party Structure and Internal Democracy: Nigeria's political parties often lack internal democracy, with allegations of candidate imposition and manipulation of party primaries (Ogunnubi & Isike, 2022). This undermines fair representation principles and leads to dissatisfaction among party members and supporters (Balogun, 2020).

Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive approach, including strengthening the legal and institutional framework, improving voter education and awareness, enhancing transparency and accountability measures, and fostering a culture of political tolerance and respect for the rule of law. Collaborative efforts between the government, civil society organizations, and the international community are crucial to ensuring that Nigeria's electoral processes and elections are genuinely free, fair, and credible (Sule, 2021).

SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEM OF ELECTION SYSTEM IN NIGERIA

Nigeria's electoral process and elections have faced numerous challenges over the years, which have undermined the credibility and fairness of the democratic process. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive and sustained effort from various stakeholders, including the government, civil society organizations, and the international community. Here are some potential solutions to the problems faced in Nigeria's electoral process and elections:

Strengthening the Legal and Institutional Framework:

1. Conducting a comprehensive review and update of electoral laws to address gaps and ambiguities that create opportunities for manipulation and malpractices. This should involve consultations with legal experts, civil society organizations, and other stakeholders to identify areas that require reform.
2. Enhancing the independence and impartiality of the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) by insulating it from political interference. This can be achieved through measures such as transparent appointment processes for INEC officials, security of tenure, and adequate funding from consolidated revenue sources rather than annual budget allocations (Omotola, 2013).
3. Establishing effective and independent electoral tribunals to resolve electoral disputes in a timely and transparent manner. These tribunals should be staffed by competent and impartial legal professionals, and their decisions should be binding and enforceable.
4. Promoting the independence and professionalism of security agencies involved in electoral processes. This can be achieved through comprehensive training programs, strict adherence to established codes of conduct, and robust oversight mechanisms to prevent partisan conduct and ensure the protection of voters and electoral materials.

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability:

1. Implementing robust measures to ensure the transparency of the entire electoral process, from voter registration to the collation and announcement of results. This can include measures such as the publication of voter registers, live streaming of collation processes, and the use of independent auditors to verify results.
2. Adopting technologies such as biometric voter accreditation, electronic voting machines, and result transmission systems to enhance the integrity and credibility of the process. However, these technologies should be thoroughly tested, and adequate training and backup plans should be in place to mitigate potential failures (Idayat, 2020).
3. Promoting active participation and observation by domestic and international election observers to monitor and report on the conduct of elections. INEC should facilitate the accreditation and deployment of observers, and their reports should be taken into consideration for future improvements.
4. Establishing effective mechanisms for addressing complaints and grievances related to electoral malpractices and irregularities. This can include dedicated hotlines, online portals, and clear procedures for filing and investigating complaints.

Improving Voter Education and Awareness:

1. Intensifying voter education campaigns, particularly in rural and marginalized communities, to promote understanding of the electoral process, voting procedures, and the importance of participation. These campaigns should be tailored to local contexts and delivered in accessible formats, such as community meetings, radio programs, and social media campaigns.
2. Leveraging community-based organizations, traditional and religious leaders, and local media to disseminate voter education materials in local languages and culturally relevant formats. This can improve the reach and impact of voter education efforts.
3. Promoting civic education programs in schools and communities to cultivate a culture of active citizenship and democratic participation from an early age. These programs should emphasize the rights and responsibilities of citizens in a democracy and the importance of participating in electoral processes.

Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

Addressing Logistical Challenges:
1. Improving transportation infrastructure and logistics planning to ensure the timely distribution of electoral materials and deployment of personnel. This can involve collaborating with transportation companies, security agencies, and local authorities to identify and address potential bottlenecks.
2. Investing in the procurement and maintenance of reliable voting equipment and materials to prevent delays and technical failures. INEC should conduct thorough testing and pilot programs to identify and address potential issues before elections.
3. Enhancing the capacity of INEC staff and ad-hoc personnel through comprehensive training and resource allocation. This should cover various aspects of the electoral process, including voter registration, voting procedures, result collation, and conflict resolution.

Promoting Political Party Reforms:
1. Encouraging internal democracy within political parties by implementing transparent and credible processes for candidate selection and party primaries. This can include measures such as direct primaries, independent monitoring, and clear guidelines for candidate eligibility and nomination processes.
2. Enforcing strict adherence to campaign finance regulations and promoting transparency in political party funding. This can involve measures such as mandatory disclosure of funding sources, spending limits, and independent audits of party finances.
3. Fostering a culture of political tolerance, issue-based campaigns, and respect for the rule of law among political parties and their supporters. This can be achieved through initiatives such as inter-party dialogues, codes of conduct, and penalties for inflammatory rhetoric or incitement to violence.

Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement:
1. Promoting collaboration and coordination between the federal government, state governments, and INEC to address security concerns, logistical challenges, and voter education efforts. This can involve regular meetings, joint task forces, and clear lines of communication and responsibility.
2. Engaging civil society organizations, media, and other stakeholders in monitoring and promoting the integrity of the electoral process. This can include accrediting domestic observers, facilitating access to information, and creating platforms for dialogue and feedback.
3. Seeking technical and financial support from international organizations and development partners to strengthen electoral institutions and processes. This can involve capacity-building programs, resource mobilization, and the sharing of best practices from other countries.

Implementing these solutions requires sustained political will, commitment to democratic principles, and active engagement from all stakeholders. It is a continuous process of reform, capacity building, and promoting a culture of democratic participation and accountability. By addressing the challenges systematically and collaboratively, Nigeria can achieve truly free, fair, and credible elections that reflect the will of its citizens.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The level of interaction between the federal and state governments in Nigeria is pivotal in ensuring free and fair elections. The 2023 presidential election highlighted the critical need for robust collaboration to address systemic challenges and enhance electoral integrity. Effective coordination between the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) and state electoral commissions is essential for harmonizing electoral processes, ensuring uniformity in the application of laws, and maintaining consistent electoral conduct across the nation.

The system of elections in Nigeria is designed to promote transparency and fairness. However, it is often undermined by issues such as electoral violence, voter intimidation, ballot box snatching, and vote buying. These challenges erode public confidence in the electoral process and hinder the realization of genuinely democratic outcomes. The 2023 presidential election underscored the need for comprehensive reforms to address these persistent issues.

Solutions to the problems of elections in Nigeria require a multifaceted approach. Strengthening the legal framework to deter electoral offenses, enhancing the capacity and independence of electoral bodies, deploying technology for transparent and efficient vote counting, and fostering a culture of political accountability are essential steps. Additionally, public awareness campaigns and civic education programs are crucial to empowering citizens to demand electoral integrity and resist corrupt practices.

In conclusion, the 2023 presidential election in Nigeria emphasized the importance of strong interaction between federal and state governments. By fostering robust collaboration, enhancing the electoral system, and addressing systemic issues through comprehensive reforms, Nigeria can achieve more credible and transparent elections. These efforts will ultimately strengthen Nigeria's democratic foundations and ensure that the electoral process truly reflects the will of the people.

Base on the findings from this paper, the following recommendations are made:
i. It is recommended that federal and state electoral bodies enhance their coordination to harmonize electoral processes. This can be achieved through regular joint meetings, shared resources, and unified training programs for electoral officials.

Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

ii.   There is a need to strengthen the legal framework governing elections in Nigeria. Reforms should focus on closing legal loopholes that allow electoral malpractices and ensuring strict enforcement of electoral laws. This includes the establishment of special electoral courts to handle election-related offenses swiftly.

iii.   It is recommended that technology be further integrated into the electoral process to enhance transparency and efficiency. The use of biometric verification systems and real-time result transmission should be expanded and adequately supported by both federal and state governments.

iv.   There is a need to improve security measures during elections. Federal and state security agencies should develop comprehensive security plans that include pre-election, election day, and post-election strategies. Joint training and intelligence-sharing initiatives can also help in preventing and responding to electoral violence.

v.   There is a need to build the capacity of state electoral commissions to ensure they can effectively manage local elections and support national elections. This includes providing adequate funding, training, and technological tools.

vi.   It is recommended that political accountability be strengthened by enforcing strict penalties for electoral offenses and ensuring that political actors who engage in malpractice are held accountable. This can help deter future misconduct and build public trust in the electoral system.

REFERENCES

1) Adebayo, A. G (2022). Legal Reforms and Electoral Integrity in Nigeria. *Journal of African Elections*, 21(1), 45-62.
2) Adeniran, T. (2023). Democracy and Election Processes in Nigeria. *Journal of African Political Studies*, 12(1), 45-62.
3) Adetula, V. A. (2018). Election Violence in Nigeria: Causes, Effects, and Solutions.*African Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 18(3), 145-164.
4) Adibe, J., & Ewuim, N. (2021). Social media and electoral campaigns in Nigeria: A critical analysis. *African Renaissance,* 18(1), 97-117.
5) Ahmed, I. (2023). Administrative Efficiency in Nigerian Elections. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 33(1), 91-108.
6) Ajayi, K. (2019). Political Campaigns and Electoral Outcomes in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *African Journal of Governance and Development*, 8(2), 201-219.
7) Akinola, R. A. (2022). The Dynamics of Political Party System in Nigeria's Fourth Republic.*Nigerian Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(3), 56-78.
8) Balogun, T.(2020).Electoral Malpractices and Democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*,18(2),67-85.
9) Egwu, E. (2018). *Electoral Logistics and Security in Nigerian Elections*. *African Journal of Political Science*, 10(3), 123-140.
10) Ezeibe, C. C. (2016). ICT and Electoral Outcomes in Nigeria's 2015 Elections. *Journal of African Elections*,15(1), 121-140.
11) Idayat, H. (2020). The security challenges of the 2019 general elections in Nigeria. *Journal of African Elections*,19(2),114-134.
12) INEC. (2022). *The Role of INEC in Nigeria's Electoral Process*. Abuja: INEC Publications.
13) INEC. (2023). Report on the 2023 presidential election in Nigeria. *Independent National Electoral Commission*.
14) Lawal, K. (2023). Legal frameworks for elections in Nigeria: An analysis. *Nigerian Journal of Law and Society*.
15) Nwafor, C. (2021). *Voter Registration and Participation in Nigeria.*International Journal of Democratic Studies,15(4),78-94.
16) Nwankwo, C. A., & Oji, R. O. (2018). Electioneering Campaigns and the Use of Social Media in Nigeria's 2015 General Elections. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 10(1), 23-41.
17) Nwosu, P. (2023). Voter Education and Electoral Participation in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science*,29(2),78-95.
18) Obi, K. (2020). *Political Participation and Representation in Nigeria*. *Nigerian Journal of Political Science*, 8(2), 34-49.
19) Ogunleye, T. (2023). Technological Innovations in Nigerian Elections. *Journal of Electoral Studies*, 18(4), 209-225.
20) Ogunnubi, O., & Isike, C. (2022). The 2023 general elections in Nigeria: Issues, challenges, and prospects. *Journal of African Elections*, 21(1), 1-23.
21) Ojo, E. O. (2017). The Role of INEC in Combating Electoral Fraud in Nigeria.*Journal of African Elections,*12(2),105-125.
22) Okafor, E. E. (2020). Electoral Transparency and the Role of INEC in Nigeria. *Journal of Democracy and Development*,11(1),78-95.
23) Okoliko, A. B., & Nwadialor, K. L. (2019). Electoral process and democratic consolidation in Nigeria: An analysis of the 2019 general elections. *Journal of African Elections*, 18(2), 139-164.
24) Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2023). Security Dynamics in Nigerian Elections. *Security Studies Review*, 15(3), 112-130.
25) Okoye, J. (2019). *Leadership Rotation and Democratic Governance in Nigeria*. Journal of Governance and Development, 11(2), 99-115.
26) Omotola, J. S. (2013). The electoral process and democracy in Nigeria: Challenges and   prospects. In O. Obafemi & H. Galadima (Eds.), The Nigerian state, democratic consolidation and economic development (pp. 169-193). Springer, Cham
27) Omotola, J. S. (2015). Elections and democratic transition in Nigeria under the Fourth Republic. *AfricanAffairs*, 109(437), 535-553.
28) Omotola, J. S., & Eze, N. (2021). The Political Economy of Nigeria's Electoral Reform. *African StudiesQuarterly,* 15(2), 123-146.

Federal State Relation and Free and Fair Elections in Nigeria.

29) Onapajo, H., & Uzodike, U. O. (2021). Rigging through the Courts: The Judiciary and Electoral Fraud in Nigeria. *Journal of African Elections,* 13(2), 144-167.

30) Sule, A. (2021). *Strengthening Electoral Processes in Nigeria. Journal of Democratic Governance*, 10(1), 54-73.

31) Uzochukwu, C. E. (2019). Managing Election Logistics in Nigeria: INEC's Experience. *Nigerian Journal of Politics*, 9(4), 230-248.