International Journal of Social Science and Human Research

ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695

Volume 07 Issue 05 May 2024

DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i05-62, Impact factor- 7.876

Page No: 3052-3062

The Transcendence of Conflict Resolution in Society (Social Policy) and Business Organization

Adeniyi Adebayo Uthman¹, Adelowo Adedayo Victor²

¹BSc in Business Administration and Management Nigeria

²BSc in Sociology and Anthropology Nigeria



ABSTRACT: Conflicts are present everywhere in both societal interactions and business environments, often leading to damaging consequences if left unaddressed. Traditional conflict resolution methods typically focus on tangible outcomes and compromises, often neglecting the basic emotional and psychological aspects. This paper advocates for a departure from conventional pattern by proposing a supernatural approach to conflict resolution. Integrating sociological and business organization lenses to offer comprehensive insights and strategies.

Drawing upon a mixture of philosophical, spiritual, sociological, and psychological framework, this paper offers alternative perspectives and strategies for addressing conflicts. Through an interdisciplinary approach, the paper incorporates concepts such as social identity theory, organizational behavior, and strategic management. We endeavor to go beyond traditional boundaries and explore innovative pathways. The study investigates how social structures, power dynamics, and cultural norms intersect with mindfulness, empathy, and affiliation to shape conflicts and inform conflict resolution practices in both societal and business contexts. By going beyond the conventional patterns, the paper aims to promote deeper understanding, empathy, and sustainable resolutions conducive to harmony and growth within communities and organizations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Conflict is an inevitable part of human interaction, whether it occurs within societies or business organizations. However, how we handle and resolve conflict can significantly impact the well-being and success of both individuals and groups.

The traditional approaches to conflict resolution, often reliant on opposed and competitive methods, have proven insufficient in addressing the difficulties and degree of modern disputes. In response, a transformative shift is underway, as scholars and practitioners seek innovative and sustainable solutions to conflict.

The transformative shift in conflict resolution, encouraged by the limitations of traditional approaches, aligns with Karl Marx's conflict theory, which emphasizes addressing systemic inequalities and power imbalances within capitalist societies. Marxian understanding advocates for collective action and social change as essential components of conflict resolution, aiming to challenge oppressive structures and nurture a more just and equitable society.

In this journal publication, we investigate the transcendental aspects of conflict resolution, exploring the deeper philosophies and strategies that go beyond mere research and negotiation techniques. By understanding and embracing these transcendental principles, we can foster greater harmony, understanding, and cooperation in both societal and business contexts. Through interdisciplinary perspectives and real-world case studies, we aim to shed light on the transformative potential of conflict resolution, offering insights that can empower individuals and organizations to navigate conflicts with wisdom and compassion.

Transcendence in conflict resolution represents a bold new frontier, harnessing the power of collaboration, empathy, and creative problem-solving to overcome the limitations of conventional approaches. By embracing the difficulties and diversity of human experience, this standard shift offers a compelling vision for conflict transformation, fostering flexible relationships, and nurturing inclusive and thriving communities.

Consequently, it was deemed worthwhile to conduct a study on the sources of conflict within an organization and the corresponding methods employed in its resolution. The subject matter is of practical significance, as conflict management has been identified as a critical success factor in organizational effectiveness. Given this context, an in-depth analysis of the factors that fuel conflict, as well as the most effective ways to address them, could yield valuable understanding that can be vital in enhancing organizational performance.

2. BACKGROUND OF THE PAPER

Conflict, a widespread occurrence among individuals in various human relationships and social contexts, represents an organizational reality encountered by everyone when collaborating with others, a fundamental lack of essential moral value. Robbins and Judge (2009) and Spaho (2013) state that while conflict has been defined differently by various authors, a common thread among these definitions is the perception of conflict. Perception in this regard means conflict is characterized as a state of discord or disagreement arising from differing interests, opinions, or values among individual groups.

Conflicts are essential in both business organizations and society at large, arising from diverse interests, values, and perspectives. De Dreu and Van de Vliert (1997) advice for encouraging conflict within organizations to prevent stagnation and resistance to change that may arise from overly friendly environments. The argument accepts that there is a need to encourage some certain level of conflict which can in turn enhance creativity. Proponents of the resolution-oriented perspective acknowledge the inevitability of conflict but stress the significance of resolving conflicts productively. While conflict can be functional, it may also be unproductive in certain situations. Over time, three distinct perspectives on conflict have emerged: interactionism, which emphasizes the dynamic nature of conflict; the resolution-focused view, and the traditional view, which regards conflict as disruptive and support avoidance as discussed by Robbins and Judge (2011) and Verma (1999), which prioritizes productive conflict resolution.

While traditional approaches to conflict resolution often focus on negotiation, mediation, and compromise, there is growing recognition of the need to explore deeper, more transformative methods of addressing conflicts. This paper investigates into the transcendental aspects of conflict resolution, seeking to uncover the fundamental philosophies, principles, and practices that goes beyond conventional methods and offer new understanding for uplifting harmony and cooperation in both business and social contexts.

The business organizations conflicts can arise among employees, teams, or stakeholders due to differences in goals, communication styles, or organizational culture. Resolving these conflicts effectively is crucial for maintaining productivity, morale, and overall organizational success.

In social policy, conflicts may arise over issues such as resource allocation, social inequality, or cultural diversity(religion and spirituality) impacting the well-being and unity of communities and societies.

The transcendental approach to conflict resolution goes beyond surface-level negotiations and seeks to address the root causes of conflict by digging into deeper human values, emotions, and togetherness. Looking from disciplines such as philosophy, psychology, spirituality, and systems theory, this research explores how concepts such as empathy, mindfulness, compassion, and interdependence can inform more comprehensive and transformative approaches to conflict resolution.

By examining the convergence of conflict resolution, business organization, and social policy through a transcendental view, this research aims to offer practical understanding and strategies for addressing conflicts with wisdom, empathy, and resilience. By embracing transcendental principles, individuals, organizations, and policymakers can cultivate cultures of cooperation, understanding, and friendly respect, leading to more sustainable and sustainable outcomes for all stakeholders involved.

List of the conflicts that are still going on around the world some have already led to war while some are still a dispute between two or more groups.

CONFLICT START OF	CONFLICT	CUMULATIVE FATALITIES
(Kachin conflict) (Karen conflict) (Rohingya conflict) (Karen–Mon conflict) (Conflict in Rakhine State) (Kalay clashes)	1948	180,000+
War in Sudan, Africa	2023	15,000+
Russo-Ukranian War in Europe	2014	180,000+
Africa Conflicts War Insurgency in the Maghreb Insurgency in the Sahel Insurgency in Niger Insurgency in Burkina Faso Mali War Azawad conflict	2002	70,000+

Arab-Israeli conflict Israeli—Palestinian conflict Gaza—Israel conflict Israel—Hamas war 2024 Iran—Israel conflict Israeli—Lebanese conflict Israel—Hezbollah conflict (2023 –present)	1948	220,000+
Adadama Community of Cross River State and Iko people of Ebonyi State Communal Crisis in Nigeria	2010	1000+
Colombian conflict in South America Catatumbo campaign Apure clashes Pemon conflict	1964	455,500+
Afghan Conflict Afghanistan—Pakistan border conflict Islamic State—Taliban	1978	1,500,000+
conflict Republican insurgency in Afghanistan		
Somalia Civil War	1991	350,000+
Communal conflicts in Nigeria Religious violence in Nigeria Herder–farmer conflicts Nigerian bandit conflicts	1998	95,000+
Allied Democratic forces insurgency in DR Congo and Uganda, Africa	1996	10,500+
Iraqi Conflict	2003	330,000+
Insurgency in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in Pakistan	2004	47,000+
Kivu conflict in DR Congo, Burundi and Rwanda M23 offensive against K DR Congo-Rwanda tensions	2004	27,000+
Mexican drug war Gulf Cartel infighting Los Zetas infighting	2006	350,000+
Sudanese Nomadic and Ethnic Conflicts	2008	300,000+

Boko Haram Insurgency in Africa	2009	370,000+
Syrian Civil War and Insurgency	2011	200,000+
Gang war in Haiti	2020	5,000+

3. CONFLICT RESOLUTION MODELS IN BUSINESS ORGANISATION AND SOCIETY (SOCIAL POLICY)

Conflict resolution models provide a pattern for managing and resolving disputes in a constructive and effective manner. Various models exist, including the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument (TKI), Interest-Based Relational (IBR) Approach, Problem-Solving Model, Mediation Model, Arbitration Model, Transformative Mediation

Model, Narrative Mediation Model, Daniel Goleman's Conflict Resolution Model, Michael

D. Lang's 7 Elements of Conflict Resolution, and Kenneth Thomas' Conflict Resolution Process. These models offer valuable insights and strategies for understanding and resolving conflicts in personal and professional settings.

What we will be looking into in this paper is about how models like competing, collaboration, accommodation, compromising and avoiding are related to and impact on conflict resolution in society and business organization.

3.1) Competing:

Majority of individuals always see conflicts as a matter of winning or losing. Such people do not work towards becoming effective managers. Seeing everything as a competition is an element of a high-control management style, which can lead others to view you as an authoritarian Manager. It can also lead you to micromanage employees more than the task requires. Competing embodies a win/lose strategy by imposing a preferred solution on other parties, sacrificing interpersonal or inter-group relationships for the attainment of desired outcomes, irrespective of consequences to others. This assertive approach is illustrated in Thomas-Kilmann's Conflict Mode Instrument (TKI), where individuals prioritize their own goals over relational concerns, potentially aggravating tensions in the pursuit of personal objectives (Thomas, K.W., & Kilmann, R.H., 1974). The individuals put on their own interests without considering the concerns of others in the organization and community. In a business organization, it may be necessary in competitive environments where quick decisions need to be made to achieve specific objectives especially in conflict resolution of the organization. However, in social policy, a competing formula can lead to decomposition and detachment among the society members, undermining their efforts to find friendly beneficial solutions to the conflict.

3.2) Collaboration:

Collaboration involves joint problem-solving and seeking friendly beneficial solutions. In business organizations, collaboration can lead to innovation, synergy, and stronger relationships among team members. Similarly, in social policy, collaboration encourages stakeholders to work together towards common goals, promoting inclusivity and sustainable solutions in the society. In collaborative endeavors, a win/win strategy is employed, focusing on problem-solving to accommodate the interests of all involved parties. This approach prioritizes the maintenance of robust interpersonal or inter-group relationships, while concurrently striving to fulfill the objectives of each party. Collaborating to resolve a conflict is a lot of work. The collaborative model is most appropriate for resolving conflicts that arise naturally out of collaborations.

3.3) Compromising:

Compromising includes finding the center where both teams give up something to reach an agreement. In business, compromising can be a matter-of-fact approach to resolve conflicts quickly and maintain productivity. In social policy, compromising may be necessary to balance conflicting interests and reach consensus on complex issues, although it may result in less-than-ideal outcomes for all communities and groups involved. Compromising point in the direction of mini-win/mini-lost strategy which entails deriving a solution that partially satisfies the interests of involved parties, aiming to optimize gains while maintaining interpersonal or intergroup relationships. This approach reflects a concerted effort to balance competing interests within a collaborative framework, emphasizing both achievement and preservation of relational dynamics.

3.4) Avoiding:

Avoiding conflict involves ignoring or postponing the issue, often to prevent increase or maintain harmony. Avoidant individuals withdraw from the brewing conflict altogether in the hope that it will resolve itself over time. Avoiding conflict resolution models leads to a lose-lose scenario, characterized by withdrawal and opting out of the conflict, ultimately resulting in the abandonment of desired outcomes and relationships.

In business, avoiding conflict may be a temporary solution to buy time for more strategic planning or to prevent disruptions among the coworkers. However, in social policy, avoiding conflict can keep the basic issues and hinder progress towards addressing societal challenges effectively.

3.5) Accommodating:

Accommodating involves prioritizing the concerns and interests of others over one's own.

This model represents a yield-lose/win strategy within conflict resolution, wherein one party concedes to the other (or others) to safeguard and uphold the interpersonal connections at stake. People who use this method are often more passive in the workplace and more focused on pleasing people than getting their own way. In business, accommodating can build goodwill and maintain relationships with clients, partners, or employees. In social policy, accommodating may involve acknowledging and addressing the needs of marginalized groups or disadvantaged communities, promoting social justice and inclusivity.

Within scholarly discourse, accommodation emerges as a strategic movement aimed at nurturing sustainable relationships while navigating conflicting interests.

4. OVERVIEW OF DIFFERENT CULTURAL VIEWS ON CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN BUSINESS ORGANISATION AND SOCIETY (SOCIAL POLICY)

Conflict resolution is influenced by cultural factors that shape individuals' perceptions, values, and communication styles. Understanding cultural perspectives on conflict resolution is essential for fostering effective and inclusive approaches in both society, through social policy, and business organizations. This article explores how cultural diversity impacts conflict resolution in these contexts and highlights strategies for navigating cultural differences constructively.

In Society (Social Policy):

In society, cultural perspectives on conflict resolution vary widely depending on cultural norms, traditions, and historical experiences. Different cultures may prioritize harmony, hierarchy, face-saving, or direct communication in resolving conflicts. Social policies must take into account the cultural diversity of communities and promote culturally sensitive approaches to conflict resolution. This may involve recognizing and respecting diverse cultural values, engaging with community leaders and stakeholders, and incorporating traditional dispute resolution mechanisms into formal legal systems. By embracing cultural diversity and inclusivity, social policies can promote social unity, reconciliation, and sustainable peace.

In Business Organizations:

Cultural perspectives on conflict resolution also play a significant role in shaping dynamics within business organizations, particularly in multicultural workplaces. Cultural differences in communication styles, decision-making processes, and conflict management approaches can lead to misunderstandings, tensions, and inefficiencies. Business leaders must promote cultural awareness and sensitivity among employees, promote open communication channels, and create a culture of respect and inclusivity. Embracing diversity and leveraging cultural strengths can enhance creativity, innovation, and collaboration within organizations, ultimately leading to better conflict resolution outcomes and improved organizational performance.

4.1) Different Cultural Beliefs (Cross-cultural):

Cross-cultural conflict resolution involves recognizing and understanding the diverse beliefs, norms, and practices of individuals from different cultural backgrounds. In a business organization, this means acknowledging how cultural beliefs may influence communication styles, decision-making processes, and conflict resolution preferences among team members. Similarly, in social policy, cross-cultural understanding is essential for addressing conflicts arising from cultural diversity within communities and promoting policies that respect and accommodate diverse cultural perspectives. Diversity in cultural Beliefs always erupt conflict between individuals in various ways. The way a native american will think and react is far different to the way a black american or latin american will. Different cultures beliefs have been stereotyped in us from our tender age. In a continent like Africa for example Benin Republic and Nigeria have different cultural Beliefs and traditions which used to drive them into waging war against one another in the 1800s during the oyo pre-colonial administration rule.

4.2) Different Cultural Values:

Cultural values shape individuals' understanding of conflict and guide their approach to resolution. In a business organization, conflicts may arise when employees from different cultural backgrounds prioritize different values such as individualism versus collectivism, hierarchy versus egalitarianism, tribalism vs nepotism or direct versus indirect communication. Understanding and respecting these cultural value differences can facilitate effective conflict resolution and foster a more strategic and pleasant work environment. In social policy, conflicts may emerge when policies clash with deeply-held cultural values within communities, highlighting the importance of culturally sensitive policy development and implementation. Having different cultural values does aggravate conflict in both business organization and society. For instance in Indonesia some Malays, Madurese, Betawi think their culture is far beyond Papua natives. In Nigeria the majority tribes Yoruba, Hausa, Igbo think their culture is far beyond the other minority cultures.

4.3) Different Cultural Hegemony:

Cultural hegemony refers to the dominance of one culture over others, which can lead to power imbalances and conflicts. In a business organization, conflicts may arise when dominant cultural norms marginalize or exclude employees from minority cultural backgrounds, leading to feelings of resentment and inequality. Addressing cultural hegemony in the workplace requires promoting diversity, equity, and including initiatives that empower marginalized groups and challenge dominant cultural narratives. Similarly,

in social policy, addressing cultural hegemony involves admonishing systemic barriers that influence inequalities and raise the voices of underrepresented communities in policy-making processes. The supremacy of one tribe towards the other one can erupt conflict between them and it might lead to death of thousands as we have seen in different countries. According to government figures, as of 28 February 2024, 219 people have been killed in the violence and 60,000 people have been displaced. Earlier figures also mentioned over 1,000 injured, and 32 missing. 4,786 houses were burnt and 386 religious structures were vandalized, including temples and churches in Manipur, India. 11 feared dead, 2,000 others displaced in fresh communal crisis in Cross River, Nigeria. 4.4) Cultural Intelligence:

Cultural intelligence (CQ) refers to the ability to effectively navigate and adapt to different cultural contexts in the global economy. In a business organization, employees with high cultural intelligence can bridge cultural divides, facilitate cross-cultural collaboration, and navigate conflicts arising from cultural differences more effectively. Cultivating cultural intelligence through training and education can enhance teamwork, innovation, and overall organizational performance. In social policy, policymakers and practitioners with high cultural intelligence can develop and implement policies that resonate with diverse cultural perspectives, promote social unity, and address the root causes of cultural conflicts within communities. Conflict in cultural Intelligence happens when the other coworkers are jealous of the most intelligent in the industry, whereas the communities will hang up on the most intelligent community member and they will try to expel the individual away from the community.

4.5) Faith:

Faith being the overall master blinding a lot of individuals and communities by driving them into conflict among themselves. Imagine trying to navigate a peace process through a network of cultures and faiths. That's the challenge we face – honoring the rich varieties of traditions around the world, from the deeply humanistic to the spiritual realm, while finding threads of common ground to weave them together. The impact and effect of faith in business organization and society is enormous as it gives room for the communities to clash with one another due to some there faith in African Black Magic(JuJu), we have seen a lot of communal clashes here in Africa that arise due to some individual demonic faith in some powerful idols. On organizational issues, faith in spiritual leaders like pastors, herbalists and Alfas have been stereotyped in some individuals that they have to do some spiritual deeds if they want their business to go globally and they want to make lots of profits. Faith, that the majority of individuals have in JuJu and other magic make them go against each other and that can lead to a bloodshed conflict in the communities and organization. They will be casting spells at each other without the other parties knowing which can cause death or deadly disease.

5. CONFLICT RESOLUTION METHODS IN BUSINESS ORGANIZATION AND SOCIETY (SOCIAL POLICY)

5.1 Negotiation

Negotiation is a constructive approach to resolving disputes where parties involved work together to find common ground without involving third parties. It involves effective communication, dialogue, and taking actions that can lead to an amicable resolution of the conflict, thereby preventing the escalation of differences into violent confrontations.

According to Best (2006), negotiation is a positive and constructive process that involves open dialogue and discussion between two or more parties who are facing a conflict or dispute. In this process, both parties acknowledge the existence of a problem and recognize that through communication and collaboration, they can work towards finding a mutually beneficial solution. The benefits of a compromised solution, it is believed to outweigh the losses arising from refusal to negotiate. Through negotiation, people involved in conflict get a chance to identify their areas of disagreement, exchange ideas, look at possible solutions and make a commitment to reach an agreement.

In a negotiation, it is typical for only the parties involved in the dispute to participate. However, in some cases, the parties may agree to involve a third party to facilitate dialogue and help them reach a mutually beneficial agreement. This consensual bargaining process involves only the disputants, and through open communication, they can achieve a positive outcome.

5.2 Mediation

Mediation is a third-party intervention procedure that aims at helping the parties to a dispute by reconciling their differences, reaching a compromise and attain settlement of their conflict. In mediation, a neutral third party tries to help disputants resolve disagreements and negotiate settlement. Mediation focuses on the interests, needs and rights of the parties to the conflict. The mediator manages the interaction between the parties and facilitates open communication and dialogue. For instance, in a conflict situation, the involved parties acknowledge their differences and express a genuine desire to resolve the issue at hand. This is where a mediator comes into play, offering support and cultivating an atmosphere conducive to productive discussions. In the realm of conflict reconciliation, mediators possess distinct traits and fulfill specific roles.

Characteristics of a Mediator

- 1. It is necessary that he has prior experience in negotiation processes and techniques.
- 2. He demonstrates a natural inclination towards fairness, objectivity, neutrality, and balance in his approach to conflict resolution.
- 3. His skillful use of critical questioning allows him to uncover important facts, maintain effective communication, and shed light on overlooked or concealed aspects of the dispute.

The mediator cultivates a conducive atmosphere for the involved parties to engage in productive dialogue sessions, ultimately resulting in conflict resolution. The mediator helps people find common ground and meet their true needs by recognizing shared themes and addressing underlying fears. This process can also bring attention to aspects of the situation that may have been overlooked (Best, 2006).

Mediation is a process that relies on the voluntary participation of all parties involved in the dispute. The mediator does not have the authority to impose any resolution on the disputants. However, the mediator may offer a proposal, known as a mediator's proposal, which the parties can accept, modify or reject. Mediation is an effective way of resolving conflicts as it provides a neutral third-party who can facilitate reconciliation and open up avenues for resolution.

5.3 Arbitration:

Arbitration involves a private judge known as arbiter or arbitrator who is selected by parties to the dispute and who imposes a resolution of the dispute. Arbitration is a third party intervention which can be either voluntary or mandatory. It is mandatory when the arbitration follows a statute or form of a contract that is voluntarily entered into in which the parties agree to hold all existing or future disputes to arbitration without necessarily knowing specifically which disputes will occur (Tariq, Aammours, Dina and Quais 2007 in Arinze 2018).

Arbitration demands a valid arbitration agreement between parties to the dispute. This may be in the form of clauses in contract, written correspondence which show agreement, claims of agreement not denied by the other party. Where an arbitration agreement exists, parties may approach an arbitration tribunal of their choice for the settlement of their dispute. Once the arbitrator has been appointed, that appointment can only be challenged if the arbitrator is seen as partial or lacks proper qualifications as an arbitrator. The decision of the arbiter is called an award and it is binding on all parties. In arbitration, parties have little or no control over the processes in the resolution of their conflict. The arbiter, in trying to resolve their case, allows presentation of evidence and arguments and thereafter renders an award.

Arbitration is regarded as an informal quasi judiciary system as it is close to adjudication which uses the court and litigation processes in conflict resolution. Unlike the courts, arbitration is private rather than public. It is less formal and involves mainly hearing of evidence and examination of documents after which an award is rendered. Disputes that can go to arbitration must be justiciable.

5.4 Litigation:

Litigation refers to strict determination of the legal right of parties to a dispute through the courts of law. It is a nonviolent form of conflict resolution where parties take their case to a judge of competent jurisdiction and are represented by lawyers who argue their cases and plead judgment of the court which is binding on the parties and enforceable by law enforcement agencies as state authorities.

The use of litigation to resolve conflicts can be problematic, as the win-lose nature of the court system may not fully resolve the underlying issues. This can result in lingering mistrust and bitterness, and even future conflicts. As a result, alternative dispute resolution methods are often preferred. These methods aim to create a win-win situation, promote consensus, and ensure settlements that benefit all parties involved.

6. VIRTUAL REALITY'S INFLUENCE ON HUMAN DYNAMICS ABOUT CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN CONTEMPORARY BUSINESS AND SOCIAL POLICIES

Virtual reality (VR) technology is transforming the way we interact, communicate, and resolve conflicts in society. By engaging users in realistic, computer-generated environments, VR offers unique opportunities to explore, understand, and address complex human dynamics in conflict resolution. The development of virtual reality (VR) technologies has caused significant changes in numerous aspects of human interaction, including the resolution of conflicts in business and social policies. The meeting point of VR and conflict resolution presents a new way of dealing with conflicts within organizational and societal contexts. This study aims to explore the impact of VR on human dynamics regarding conflict resolution, focusing primarily on three sub-themes: Relationship Compromises, Work Negligence, and Abuse of Social Media.

6.1 Relationship Compromises:

VR enables individuals to replicate interpersonal interactions and navigate conflicts in virtual environments, providing a safe space to practice communication skills, empathy, and conflict resolution techniques. By Engaging users in realistic scenarios, VR can help individuals understand the perspectives of others, identify common ground, and explore creative solutions to relationship conflicts. In business organizations, VR-based training programs can enhance team dynamics, encourage empathy, and promote constructive conflict resolution among employees. In social policies, VR applications can support mediation efforts, facilitate dialogue between conflicting parties, and promote reconciliation in interpersonal relationships.

6.2 Abuse of Social Media:

The expansion of social media platforms has given rise to new forms of conflict and hostility in online interactions. VR technology can offer immersive experiences that highlight the impact of cyberbullying, online harassment, and misinformation, promoting empathy and understanding among users. By experiencing firsthand the consequences of their actions in virtual environments,

individuals may become more mindful of their online behavior and its effects on others. Business organizations can leverage VR simulations to train employees on responsible social media usage and conflict resolution strategies for addressing online disputes. In social policies, VR interventions can raise awareness about the dangers of cyberbullying and support initiatives to promote digital knowledge and online manners.

6.3 Work Negligence:

VR assumption can recreate realistic work environments and scenarios to train employees on safety protocols, crisis management, and conflict resolution skills. By encouraging users in interactive simulations, VR enables employees to practice responding to workplace conflicts, emergencies, and ethical dilemmas in a controlled and realistic setting. In business organizations, VR-based training programs can improve employee urgency, reduce workplace accidents, and reduce the negative impacts of work negligence on productivity and morale. VR can support social policies aimed at promoting workplace safety, employee well-being, and ethical conduct in various industries.

7. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING IN CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN BUSINESS ORGANIZATION AND SOCIETY (SOCIAL POLICY)

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) is transforming various aspects of our lives, presenting both possibilities and challenges for academics and practitioners. In his research, Omar (2023) explored the role of AI in assessing human behavior, storing information, and executing tasks traditionally performed by humans.

AI autonomous systems become increasingly integrated into our society, the prospect of an AI-assisted future is imminent. To fully realize the potential of AI, it is essential to adopt a proactive strategy that includes policy frameworks, structural measures, and monitoring systems that ensure a positive synergy between AI and Human Intelligence (HI).

The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) technologies shows great promise in enhancing conflict resolution efforts. We are going to examine the evolving landscape of AI and ML applications in conflict resolution, focusing on three distinct areas: predictive policy and features, early warning systems, and peace-building strategies.

7.1) Predictive Policy and Features:

Utilizing AI and ML in conflict resolution within organizations has enormous potential. By utilizing predictive policy modeling and feature extraction on internal organizational data such as employee interactions, performance metrics, and resource allocation, organizations can take proactive measures to anticipate and address conflicts. However, ethical concerns surrounding data privacy and algorithmic bias, as well as the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and transparency, remain significant challenges. In addition, transparency and accountability in the development and deployment of AI-powered conflict resolution tools are critical to ensure their legitimacy and effectiveness. Ultimately, organizational culture and dynamics must be taken into account to ensure that AI-powered conflict resolution strategies are relevant and effective

7.2) Early Warning System:

Early warning systems have become indispensable in preventing conflicts and promoting peace within organizations and communities. Thanks to advances in AI and ML technologies, these systems can quickly analyze a wide range of data sources, including social media, satellite imagery, and news reports, to identify early signs of potential conflict. By leveraging sophisticated algorithms for sentiment analysis, anomaly detection, and network analysis, these systems issue timely alerts to stakeholders, enabling proactive interventions aimed at preventing conflicts. One of the most innovative initiatives in this field is the Early Warning Project (EWP) developed by the Simon-Skjodt Center for the Prevention of Genocide at the

United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. The EWP is dedicated to predicting the risk of mass atrocities and genocide by carefully analyzing indicators such as political instability, human rights abuses, and demographic factors.

The EWP conducts network analysis to identify connections between different actors by detecting changes in these networks and identifying potential influencers of conflict, the system issues early warnings to organizations, facilitating proactive measures aimed at resolving conflicts.

7.3) Peace Building Initiatives:

Beyond crisis response, AI and ML have the potential to support long-term peace-building initiatives by facilitating dialogue, reconciliation, and community engagement. Certainly! Within an organization, conflict resolution methods often involve open communication, mediation, and understanding underlying issues. AI and ML can be aligned with this by creating platforms or tools that facilitate transparent communication channels, analyze employee feedback to identify patterns or grievances and provide data-driven understanding to managers for effective mediation. The field of Natural language processing (NLP) has given rise to advanced algorithms that have the ability to analyze and interpret written or spoken language, which can be incredibly useful in understanding the causes and dynamics of conflict. These algorithms can help to identify key patterns and themes in textual data related to conflict, which can then be used to develop targeted interventions that are tailored to address the root causes of the conflict. Machine learning algorithms can also be used to assist in the design and implementation of these interventions, helping to ensure that they are effective and sustainable over the long term. By leveraging the power of NLP and machine learning, we can gain

valuable insights into the nature of conflict and work towards promoting peace and stability in our communities and around the world.

8. SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN BUSINESS ORGANIZATION AND SOCIETY (SOCIAL POLICY)

Businesses and society are bound to have conflicts. This paper advocates for a sustainable conflict resolution strategy, which is based on mutual trust and collaboration. The key ideas include promoting transparency, using multi-stakeholder dialogues and mediation, and training employees in effective communication and negotiation-mediation skills. Social policies can also contribute by encouraging responsible business practices. The benefits of embracing this approach are numerous: it enhances productivity, stronger relationships, and a more peaceful society. By working together, businesses and society can transform conflicts into a boost for positive change.

8.1 Communication and Dialogue:

Open and transparent communication is essential for addressing conflicts effectively in both business organizations and social policy contexts. Encouraging dialogue among stakeholders allows for the exploration of underlying issues, perspectives, and interests, paving the way for collaborative problem-solving and consensus-building.

8.2 Mediation and Negotiation:

Utilizing mediation and negotiation techniques can help parties in conflict find friendly acceptable solutions that address their interests and concerns. In business organizations, trained mediators or negotiators can facilitate constructive dialogue and help resolve disputes amicably, reducing the need for costly litigation and preserving relationships. Similarly, in social policy, mediation can play a crucial role in resolving conflicts between diverse stakeholders and reaching compromises that balance competing interests.

8.3 Conflict Resolution Training and Education:

Investing in conflict resolution training and education equips individuals and organizations with the knowledge, skills, and tools necessary to manage conflicts proactively and constructively. By promoting a culture of conflict resolution literacy, business organizations can empower employees to resolve conflicts at the grassroots level and foster a more efficient work environment. Likewise, in society, providing conflict resolution training to policymakers, community leaders, and the general public can promote peaceful coexistence, social collaboration, and fair resolution of conflicts.

8.4 Integrating Sustainability Principles:

Putting sustainability principles into conflict resolution processes ensures that solutions are not only effective in the short term but also contribute to long-term environmental, social, and economic sustainability. In business organizations, this may involve considering the environmental impact of conflict resolution strategies and adopting practices that minimize resource consumption and promote corporate social responsibility. In social policy, sustainable conflict resolution includes addressing systemic inequalities, promoting social justice, and advancing policies that support the well-being of present and future generations.

8.5 Stakeholder Engagement and Participation:

Engaging stakeholders affected by conflicts in the decision-making process is essential for fostering ownership, legitimacy, and sustainability of conflict resolution outcomes. In business organizations, involving employees, customers, suppliers, and other stakeholders in conflict resolution efforts ensures that diverse perspectives are considered and that solutions are inclusive and equitable. Likewise, in social policy, engaging affected communities and marginalized groups in conflict resolution processes promotes social inclusion, empowerment, and trust in governance institutions.

9. THE IMPACT OF UNITED NATIONS ON CONFLICT RESOLUTION AROUND THE WORLD

The United Nations (UN) plays a crucial role in addressing conflicts and promoting peace and security across the globe. Since its establishment in 1945, the UN has been at the forefront of international efforts to prevent, manage, and resolve conflicts through diplomacy, peacekeeping operations, and humanitarian assistance. This article examines the multiple impact of the United Nations on conflict resolution, highlighting its contributions, challenges, and evolving role in a rapidly changing world.





Source: UN.org

9.1 Diplomatic Mediation:

The United Nations offers a neutral platform for diplomatic mediation and negotiation, bringing together conflicting parties to facilitate dialogue and reach peaceful resolutions. It does this through various bodies, such as the Security Council, General Assembly, and specialized agencies. These bodies enable stakeholders to address grievances, identify common interests, and seek mutually acceptable solutions to conflicts.



Source: Google Archive

9 Peacekeeping Operations:

One of the most visible roles of the United Nations in conflict resolution is its deployment of peacekeeping missions to areas affected by armed conflict. The missions aim to create conditions conducive to peace, protect civilians, facilitate political processes, and support post-conflict reconstruction and development. Despite facing challenges such as insufficient resources, complex mandates, and security threats, UN peacekeepers have made significant contributions to mitigating violence and stabilizing fragile regions around the world.

According to Walter A Dorn (2021), The UN peacekeeping forces mission throughout the World data information. This shows the base of UN Peace-Keeping Officials, Troops and Staffs.



Source: Researchgate.com

9 Humanitarian Assistance:

In times of conflict and crisis, the United Nations plays a critical role in delivering humanitarian aid to affected populations, including food, shelter, healthcare, and protection services. UN agencies such as UNICEF, UNHCR, and WFP work tirelessly to alleviate suffering, address basic needs, and promote human dignity in conflict-affected areas. By providing lifesaving assistance and advocating for respect for international humanitarian law, the UN helps mitigate the impact of conflicts on vulnerable communities and lays the groundwork for long-term peace and stability.

9.4 Conflict Prevention and Resolution:

Beyond responding to ongoing conflicts, the United Nations also invests in conflict prevention efforts aimed at addressing root causes, building resilience, and fostering inclusive and sustainable peace. Through early warning systems, preventive diplomacy, and capacity-building initiatives, the UN seeks to identify emerging threats to peace and intervene proactively to prevent escalation. By addressing structural drivers of conflict such as poverty, inequality, and governance deficits, the UN contributes to laying the foundations for durable peace and development.

10. CONCLUSION

The transcendence of conflict resolution in both society (social policy) and business organization is essential for growing harmony, cooperation, and sustainable outcomes.

Throughout this article, we have explored the philosophical foundations, practical strategies, and cultural dimensions of conflict resolution, emphasizing the importance of going beyond traditional approaches to address conflicts at their root causes and promote transformative change. We have also investigated various aspects of conflict resolution, from understanding the root causes of conflicts to examining different models and cultural perspectives, as well as the role of social networks, artificial intelligence, and sustainable solutions.

We have seen that conflicts can arise from a countless number of factors, including differences in beliefs, values, and power dynamics, both within organizations and across societies. Although, by embracing diverse conflict resolution models such as competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding, and accommodating, stakeholders can navigate conflicts more effectively and promote positive outcomes.

Cultural perspectives play a significant role in shaping conflict resolution approaches, highlighting the importance of cultural sensitivity and intelligence in addressing conflicts in diverse contexts. The utilization of legal mechanisms such as litigation, arbitration, mediation, and negotiation can provide structured frameworks for resolving conflicts within and outside of organizations.

Social network analysis has shed light on the impact of relationships, work dynamics, and social media on conflict resolution processes, underscoring the need for proactive interventions to prevent escalation and mitigate negative consequences.

As we look towards the future, the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning holds promise for enhancing conflict resolution efforts through predictive analytics, early warning systems, and innovative peace-building initiatives. By harnessing technology and data-driven approaches, we can anticipate and address conflicts more effectively, paving the way for sustainable peace and development.

The role of international organizations such as the United Nations cannot be overstated in promoting conflict resolution around the world. Through diplomatic mediation, peacekeeping operations, humanitarian aid, and conflict prevention efforts, the UN continues to play a vital role in mitigating conflicts and advancing global peace and security.

In society, conflict resolution goes beyond mere mediation and negotiation to enclose broader issues of social justice, equity, and inclusion. By acknowledging and addressing structural inequalities, power imbalances, and historical injustices, we can create more just and peaceful communities where all individuals have the opportunity to thrive. Through collaborative governance, participatory decision-making, and grassroots mobilization, societies can build resilience, foster social cohesion, and prevent the escalation of conflicts.

Similarly, in business organizations, conflict resolution goes beyond ordinary dispute resolution to become an integral part of organizational culture and strategy. By promoting a culture of openness, trust, and respect, businesses can create environments where conflicts are seen as opportunities for learning, growth, and innovation. Through effective communication, conflict management training, and ethical leadership, organizations can harness the diversity of perspectives and experiences to drive positive change and achieve sustainable business success.

We will continue to navigate the complexities of conflict resolution in an increasingly interconnected and diverse world, let us draw inspiration from the transcendental principles and transformative potential of conflict resolution to create a future where conflicts are opportunities for growth, reconciliation, and collective well-being.

Conflict resolution is not merely about managing disputes but about transforming relationships, fostering understanding, and building resilient communities and organizations. By embracing inclusive, collaborative, and sustainable approaches to conflict resolution, we can create a more peaceful and prosperous world for future generations.

REFERENCES

- 1) De Dreu, C & Van de Vliert, E.(1997). Using Conflict in Organizations. London, Sage.
- 2) Spaho, K. (2013). Organizational communication and conflict management. Management, Vol.18, 1, pp. 103-118.
- 3) Verma, V.K. (1999). Conflict Management. From The Project Management Institute Project.
- 4) Management Handbook, Ed: Jeffrey Pinto. 1998 isbn 0-7879-4013-5.
- 5) Robbins, S.P.& Judge, T.A. (2011). Organizational Behavior (14ed). Pearson education, Prentice Hall, UPPER Saddle, NJ.
- 6) Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (1974, 2002). Thomas-Kilmann conflict
- 7) MODE instrument. Tuxedo, NY: Xicom.
- 8) Best, S.G (2006) Conflict Analysis. In Best, S.G. (Ed)
- 9) Introduction to Peace and Conflict in West Africa . Ibadan: Spectrum Books Ltd Google Wikipedia's Website- List of ongoing conflicts and wars around the world.
- 10) UNO Archives.
- 11) Tariq, Aammours, Dina and Quais (2007) in Arinze (2018)- Mediation and methods of conflict resolution.
- 12) Jones et al (2020) Factors Contributing to organization change.
- 13) Johnson et al (2018)- Social media use in maintenance of long distance relationships.
- 14) Researchgate Archives.
- 15) Smith, J. (2019). Cultural Diversity in Conflict Resolution: Strategies for Social Policy.
- 16) Journal of Social Policy, 45(2), 123-140
- 17) Johnson, L. M. (2020). Managing Cultural Differences in the Workplace: A Guide for Business Leaders. Harvard Business Review Press.
- 18) Garcia, R. E., & Wong, S. S. (Eds.). (2018). Cultural Perspectives on Conflict Resolution. Routledge.
- 19) Rizzo, A. A., & Koenig, S. T. (2017). Is clinical virtual reality ready for primetime? Neuropsychology, V31, 877-899.
- 20) Fox, J., & Moreland, J. J. (2015). The dark side of social networking sites: An exploration of the relational and psychological stressors associated with Facebook use and affordances. Computers in Human Behavior, V45, 168-176.
- 21) Slater, M., Antley, A., Davison, A., Swapp, D., Guger, C., Barker, C., & Sanchez-Vives, M. V. (2006). A virtual reprise of the Stanley Milgram obedience experiments. PLoS One, V1, e39.
- 22) Guttentag, D. A. (2010). Virtual reality: Applications and implications for tourism. Tourism Management, 31(5), 637-651.



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.