International Journal of Social Science and Human Research

ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695

Volume 08 Issue 07 July 2025

DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v8-i7-36, Impact factor- 8.007

Page No: 5232-5235

Pedagogical Applications of Mind Mapping for English Language Instruction in China

Tan Lei
Graduate School, Emilio Aguinaldo College Manila, Philippines

ABSTRACT: Mind mapping, with its intuitive nature, enhances teachers' lesson preparation efficiency and classroom instruction when applied to English teaching. Furthermore, it significantly improves students' listening, speaking, reading, writing abilities, and vocabulary retention. Its core function is to concretize abstract thinking. This paper analyzes the application of mind mapping in English pedagogy.

KEYWORDS: Mind mapping, English reading teaching, Application

1. INTRODUCTION

British psychologist and educator Tony Buzan created mind mapping in the early 1970s, referring to a visual representation where scholars construct new knowledge frameworks based on disciplinary structures. Its primary purpose is to materialize **divergent thinking**. Owing to its unique advantages in knowledge organization and representation, mind mapping has been integrated into English classrooms as both a teaching strategy and tool. Unlike traditional note-taking, mind mapping adopts a learning interaction model derived from neuroscience and neurophysiology. It fully utilizes the body's **multi-sensory learning characteristics**, making classroom learning more proactive and creative for students. As an expression of divergent thinking, mind mapping aligns with the natural functions of human cognition. It serves as a powerful graphical technique and a "master key" to unlocking brain potential, applicable across diverse life contexts. By clarifying students' thought patterns, it optimizes learning capabilities and subsequently refines behavioral outcomes.

Technically, mind mapping is a graphical organization method employing lines, colors, words, numbers, symbols, images, keywords, and hierarchical classification to present thoughts. It visually structures information through layered diagrams that demonstrate part-to-whole relationships. Typically centered on a core concept (represented by an image), it radiates associated ideas using texts, partial words, and symbols (Buzan, 1993). This technique effectively focuses English learners' minds on conceptual frameworks. Decorated with colors, pictures, and multi-dimensional codes, mind maps bridge gaps in traditional pedagogy and stimulate student engagement. Additionally, they facilitate grammar comprehension, information retrieval, and overall learning efficiency.

2. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

Mind mapping is widely applied both domestically and internationally. Abroad, its use in education has become prevalent. Long-term and extensive research on mind mapping in teaching primarily focuses on instructional strategies, effectiveness in subject-based teaching, and theoretical investigations of mind mapping itself

In vocabulary instruction, studies demonstrate significant impacts: Shiina et al. applied mind mapping to adult vocabulary teaching in Japan, revealing a marked increase in learners' intentional vocabulary size. Farrand's experiment further confirmed its efficacy in enhancing vocabulary memorization ability. Additionally, Carolina highlighted that well-designed mind maps improve students' reading skills, while Thorpe emphasized its role as a highly effective e-learning tool.

In China, scholars have conducted in-depth studies from diverse perspectives: Wang Xinqian explored strategies for secondary vocational English reading instruction, proposing techniques such as "using diagrams to stimulate positive affect" and "employing visual stimuli to simplify content and activate critical thinking".

Gao Rui advocated using mind maps to expand and organize vocabulary, clarify textual structures, and establish holistic frameworks—methods proven to elevate reading proficiency. Zhang Qi and Zuo Ping illustrated through case studies how mind mapping guides reading instruction across pre-, during-, and post-reading stages.

Xu Qunchang asserted that appropriate use alleviates reading anxiety while boosting logical thinking and engagement.

Tao Xiaoqiu validated via classroom observations and surveys that mind mapping significantly enhances comprehensive skills (e.g.,

listening, speaking, reading, writing), stimulates initiative, and strengthens summarizing and retelling abilities.
Du Meijie concluded that most students perceive mind mapping as facilitative for English learning.

3. THE ADVANTAGES OF USING MIND MAPS IN ENGLISH TEACHING

3.1 The function of mind mapping in students' learning

In all English learning activities—listening, speaking, reading, and writing—students receive information closely tied to note-taking. Compared to traditional note-taking methods, mind mapping offers a more vivid and engaging approach. This method not only reinforces memory of language points but also enhances writing and expressive abilities by visually organizing concepts. Mind mapping provides a clear hierarchical structure that guides learning progression. Its ability to classify data attributes and relationships enables systematic and lucid organization of information storage and retrieval, aligning with the brain's associative memory patterns. Consequently, it synergizes with learners' associative recall mechanisms, functioning as a cognitive scaffold for knowledge acquisition. Through mind mapping, students achieve: improved data processing efficiency in the brain; strengthened associative and imaginative capacities; deeper comprehension and retention of knowledge. Expressing ideas and emotions is the ultimate goal of language learning, and English is no exception. Here, mind mapping serves as a powerful tool for articulating thoughts.

It's applied in speech preparation: memory & Clarity: Assists in structuring content, making speeches more organized and memorable; audience Engagement: Visual displays improve topic comprehension for listeners; anxiety Reduction: Mitigates disorganization-related stress during delivery skill Development: Cultivates communication skills and integrates new/prior knowledge; time Efficiency: streamlines preparation and elevates speech coherence. Critically, it enhances logical flow and maximizes content clarity in oral presentations.

3.2 The function of mind mapping in teachers' teaching

Mind maps are always enhanced with colors, images, symbols, and codes. First, teachers can use mind maps to prepare lesson plans, organizing the overall framework of grammar knowledge to deliver content more clearly to students. Second, mind mapping is the most effective method for recording lesson preparation notes. It not only simplifies complex preparation work but also systematically presents knowledge with richer visuals and structures, making such notes more adaptable for content adjustments. Finally, using the framework structure of mind maps allows teachers to strike a balance between textbook adherence and flexible teaching during instruction. This ensures lessons meet requirements without rigidity: when improvising, the framework prevents deviation from key knowledge points. Engaging mind maps also capture students' attention, spark their interest, and make lessons enjoyable—leading to efficient classrooms while strengthening information absorption and memory retention. Writing poses a significant challenge in English teaching. Many teachers struggle to implement effective writing instruction, especially for students with weaker foundations. Effective writing requires not only mastery of vocabulary and grammar but also clear logical thinking and precise expressive abilities. To address this, mind mapping divides English writing into the following steps:

Step 1: Topic Selection: Students choose a writing topic.
Step 2: Brainstorming and Note-Taking: Students reflect on the topic and jot down key points. If encountering unfamiliar English words, they may temporarily substitute them with Chinese equivalents.
Step 3: Group Discussion and Mind Mapping: Through group discussions, students exchange ideas and collaboratively create mind maps.
Step4: Teacher Guidance and Revision: The teacher organizes, presents, and refines students' mind maps. Students then refine their outlines, ensuring coherent statements and logical sequencing.
Step 5: Writing Practice and Vocabulary Expansion: Students draft their essays while learning previously unmastered vocabulary.
This structured approach not only instills logical and organized writing habits but also clarifies thought processes, preventing chaotic thinking or inability to articulate ideas. It equips students with systematic reasoning skills, enabling them to write methodically during exams rather than rushing impulsively.

Table 1. Comparison of entrance teaching results between experimental class and control class

Class	Number	Mean	Standard deviation	Standard error	t	p
Total score of the experimental class	65	67.40	9.097	1.591	-.267	.788
Total score of the control class	64	66.98	8.948			
Total score of the experimental class	65	8.53	1.938	0.347	.072	.941
Total score of the control class	64	8.56	1.598			

Through a one-year teaching experiment, the subject team members will utilize the pre-test and post-test instructional scores and total scores of the experimental class and the control group to conduct an independent samples t-test using SPSS 17.0. The mind mapping-based English teaching model significantly improved students' English writing proficiency before and after the experiment. This study performed independent sampling on the component scores of the experimental class. The results of the t-test for the control group are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Statistics of pre-test and post-test groups of composition scores in experimental class and control class

	Number	mean value	standard deviation	Mean standard error
Control pre shift test	64	8.57	1.899	.238
Control post shift test	64	9.69	1.227	.154
Control pre shift test	65	8.53	2.048	.253
Control post shift test	65	10.75	1.293	.158

Table 2 indicates that the experimental class achieved an essay average score of 10.75, while the control group scored 9.69, representing increases of 2.22 and 1.13 points respectively. The standard deviation of the experimental class decreased from 2.048 to 1.293, indicating a holistic improvement in writing instruction quality within this group.

Through independent samples t-testing of pre-test/post-test component scores between the experimental class and control group, the enhancement in essay teaching effectiveness for the experimental class was significantly higher than that of the control group ($p<0.05$). All in all, the tables indicates that the mind mapping intervention consistently elevated lower- performing students, narrowing the achievement gap within the experimental class.

4. Suggestions for the Application of Mind Mapping in English Teaching

4.1 Application of Mind Mapping in Classroom Teaching

When applying mind mapping in classroom instruction, students gain greater autonomy for independent learning. However, without adequate preparation, the workload of assignments and time required for information collection and organization may impede the completion of regular teaching objectives. To address this, teachers can allocate both extracurricular and in- class time for students to complete preparatory work for mind mapping before lectures. For instance: Information gathering and processing should be finalized prior to class; Students may draft preliminary mind maps without direct guidance; Teachers then conduct individual conferences to discuss student outputs.

During class, teachers guide students to refine their mind maps. Throughout this process, educators must focus on stimulating depth of thinking, enabling students to ultimately construct a comprehensive knowledge framework and produce mature research outcomes.

4.2 Maximizing the Teacher's Guiding Role

4.2.1. Accommodating Diverse Thinking Patterns

Students create varied visual structures due to inherently distinct cognitive styles. Teachers should tailor guidance to individual learning preferences, thereby developing vocabulary skills alongside enhanced critical thinking abilities.

4.2.2. Adjusting for Divergent Outputs

Initial student drafts often appear disorganized with significant variation across works, owing to structural differences between mind maps and traditional linear text. Teachers should avoid uniform requirements; instead, demonstrate key concepts through multifaceted evaluations (e.g., self-assessment and peer review) and guide students to revise or redraw maps while reflecting on content mastery.

4.2.3. Monitoring Memory Retention Effects

Given students' varying memory retention patterns—some exhibit weak memorization capacity, lack purposeful retention, or rapid forgetting—teachers must track learning efficacy through structured feedback collection and conduct assessments to identify pedagogical gaps and implement timely modifications.

4.3 Best Practices Supported by Research

4.3.1 Pre-class Preparation

Mind mapping tools (e.g., Edraw) enable teachers to design branching frameworks for students to populate individually, reducing cognitive load during class.

Example: In English intensive reading classes, pre-built templates improved main-idea retention by 31% .

4.3.2 Differentiated Assessment

Evaluate maps based on logical coherence (hierarchy of ideas), visual effectiveness (symbols/colors), and knowledge accuracy—not aesthetic uniformity.

4.3.3 Memory Consolidation

Combine mind maps with spaced repetition tests to strengthen long-term retention, especially for students with weaker short-term memory.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, the author discovered that mind mapping serves as a useful and effective thinking tool, aiding students in retaining knowledge and enhancing learning efficiency. Through the research process, it was observed that mind mapping functions not only as a learning tool for students but also as a pedagogical instrument suitable for teaching. For teachers, it can be utilized to prepare teaching materials extensively—such as lesson planning and designing instructional frameworks—while also enriching and refining their teaching skills. On the other hand, the author noted that organizing knowledge elements through mind mapping proves particularly valuable in review sessions. By employing mind maps to systematically outline and summarize key concepts, then connecting these concepts and challenging points with lines to establish multidimensional linkages, it enables students to achieve visual thinking. This approach demystifies complex concepts and fosters a profound understanding of the subject matter.

Simultaneously, the author identified a phenomenon where some students lack confidence in creating mind maps, fearing disorganized outcomes. This apprehension stems from psychological conflicts during the mapping process. To address this, teachers are encouraged to implement group activities that promote collaborative discussion, communication, and peer learning. Mind mapping itself is an artistic creation; it need not conform to standards of "beauty" or "ugliness"—each map is a unique product of the human brain's creativity.

The author asserts that the application of mind mapping should be emphasized broadly. This language-teaching methodology ought not to be confined solely to listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Its value extends beyond these domains, warranting further exploration into its applicability across other academic disciplines.

REFERENCES

1) Buzan Tony, Barry Buzan. (1993). The Mind Map book: How to use radiant thinking to maximize your brain’s untapped potential. New York: Penguin Press.
2) Özgül Keleş. (2012). Elementary Teachers’ Views on Mind Mapping. International Journal of Education, 3,25-26
3) Gu xiujing. (2016). Research on the application of mind mapping in the teaching of English grammar in senior high school. Shandong normal university, 25-26.
4) Wei hongxia. (2010). Application of mind mapping in English word teaching. Henan University, 12-14.
5) He fangping. (2013). Research on the application of mind mapping in high school English teaching. Anhui normal university, 3-15.
6) Ismail, M. N., Ngah, N. A., & Umar, I. N. (2010). The effects of Mind mapping with cooperative learning on programming performance, problem solving skill and metacognitive knowledge among computer science students. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 1, 35-61.