

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

Ms. Veda Smiha Baruah¹, Prof. (Dr.) Pradeep Kumar Jain²

¹Research Scholar, Dept. of Business Administration, Gauhati University, Assam, India

²Professor & HOD, Dept. of Business Administration, Gauhati University, Assam, India

ABSTRACT: Employee engagement has drawn a lot of attention from academics and industry professionals alike as a critical component of corporate performance and success. This analysis examines the complex link between organizational performance and employee engagement. This study offers a thorough examination of the ways in which employee engagement affects several aspects of organizational efficiency by combining the findings of previous literature. The first section of the paper defines employee engagement and lists its essential elements, such as its behavioural, cognitive, and affective aspects. The study clarifies the factors that precede employee engagement, such as leadership style, organizational culture, work features, and employee well-being, by drawing on theories like social exchange theory and self-determination theory. Additionally, it talks about how human resource procedures like hiring, training, and performance reviews help to increase employee engagement. Additionally, the study looks at how employee engagement affects organizational performance at the individual, team, and organizational levels. It emphasizes how employee engagement improves financial performance, customer satisfaction, innovation, job satisfaction, retention, and employee productivity. The study also looks at possible moderating factors that could affect the relationship between employee engagement and organizational success, like organizational size and industry context. The review also points out weaknesses and gaps in the body of literature, such as the need for additional long-term studies and investigations into the mechanisms that mediate the relationship between organizational success and employee engagement. The study concludes with practical recommendations highlighting the value of developing an engaged culture and putting evidence-based tactics into practice to improve employee engagement and, in turn, organizational performance.

KEYWORDS: Employee engagement, organizational performance, organizational culture, job characteristics.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of employee engagement has become a key component of modern organizational management, impacting the success and performance of the organization. The function of human resources in promoting employee engagement has drawn a lot of attention as companies negotiate a more dynamic and competitive environment. The complex relationship between employee engagement and organizational performance is examined in this review paper from a human resources perspective.

The term "emotional and intellectual commitment" describes how committed workers are to their jobs and the goals of the organization. It includes elements like organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and a feeling of community at work. Higher levels of discretionary effort, creativity, and innovation are more likely to be displayed by engaged workers, which benefits organizational outcomes like customer satisfaction, profitability, and productivity.

Employee engagement is a strategic necessity for the success of the organization and goes beyond simple employee satisfaction. In order to foster an engaged culture within the organization, HR procedures are essential. People who are more likely to become engaged employees can be drawn in by recruitment and selection procedures that are in line with the values and objectives of the organization. Additionally, successful training, development, and onboarding initiatives can boost workers' abilities and self-esteem, encouraging a sense of competence and independence in their positions.

Additionally, HR procedures and policies pertaining to performance management, rewards, and recognition play a crucial role in encouraging employees to surpass job requirements and reinforcing desired behaviours. Employee engagement is also greatly aided by a welcoming and inclusive workplace that is marked by open communication, trust, and transparency.

Numerous industries and organizational contexts have conducted in-depth research on the relationship between employee engagement and organizational performance. High levels of employee engagement are consistently linked to better organizational outcomes, such as higher customer satisfaction, lower turnover rates, increased productivity, and increased profitability, according to research findings.

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

Employee engagement and organizational performance, however, have a complicated and nuanced relationship. Despite the fact that many studies emphasize the advantages of employee engagement, problems like measurement errors, cultural differences, and the influence of outside variables on engagement levels call for more research.

The purpose of this review paper is to summarize the body of research on organizational performance and employee engagement from a human resource point of view, examining important theories, empirical data, and useful applications for HR professionals and business executives. Organizations can create plans to improve employee engagement and, in turn, promote long-term organizational success by better understanding the relationships between employee engagement and organizational performance.

2. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

In today's workplace, employee engagement has become a crucial component affecting organizational success and performance. Employee engagement, which goes beyond job satisfaction to include a strong sense of involvement, enthusiasm, and dedication to one's work and the organization as a whole, is defined as the emotional commitment an employee has towards their organization and its goals.

Employee engagement is viewed as a strategic imperative from the point of view of HR, and it has a direct impact on a number of organizational functioning aspects, such as financial performance, customer satisfaction, productivity, and innovation. Employees that are engaged are more likely to go above and beyond the call of duty, offer creative solutions, and demonstrate greater levels of dedication and performance, all of which contribute to the success of the Organization.

But even with the increasing amount of research on this subject, there are still a number of gaps in our knowledge of the complex relationship between organizational performance and employee engagement from a human resources point of view. Although some research has shown that engagement and performance are positively correlated, other studies have called attention to the need for a more thorough comprehension of the underlying processes and environmental elements that affect this relationship. Furthermore, an evaluation of conventional methods for promoting employee engagement and improving organizational performance is required due to the dynamic nature of the modern workplace, which is marked by demographic changes, technological advancements, and evolving organizational structures.

Therefore, by offering a thorough synthesis of the empirical data on employee engagement and organizational performance from a human resource viewpoint, this review research study seeks to add to the body of current knowledge. This paper aims to improve our comprehension of the intricate dynamics at work and provide evidence-based strategies for improving employee engagement and fostering organizational success by critically analysing the results of earlier research, identifying important theoretical frameworks and methodologies used, and providing insights for future study and practice.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To determine the main determinants of employee engagement in firms, such as organizational culture, theories of leadership, and employee motivating tactics.
2. To investigate how different aspects of corporate performance, including customer happiness, employee retention, productivity, and profitability, are affected by employee engagement.
3. To conduct a thorough analysis of a variety of research on organizational success and employee engagement.
4. To examine possible moderators and mediators, such as work-life balance, organizational commitment, and job satisfaction, of the relationship between employee engagement and organizational success.
5. To examine how hiring, training, and performance management are all aspects of human resource management that contribute to employee engagement and improved organizational performance.

4. LITERATURE REVIEW

Since employee engagement is thought to have an impact on organizational performance, it has attracted a lot of interest in both academic research and organizational practice. This overview of the literature uses a variety of theoretical frameworks and empirical research to provide light on the connection between organizational performance and employee engagement. The emotional and intellectual dedication of workers to their jobs, organizations, and objectives is a popular definition of employee engagement (Kahn, 1990). It includes elements including organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and discretionary effort, all of which influence workers' readiness to devote their time and energy to accomplishing organization goals (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008).

Employee engagement and organizational performance are positively correlated, according to several research conducted in a variety of settings and industries. Harter et al. (2002), for instance, discovered that business units with engaged staff had greater levels of productivity, profitability, and customer satisfaction. Likewise, a meta-analysis conducted by Christian et al. (2011) found a strong link between key performance outcomes such customer loyalty, staff turnover, and financial performance and employee engagement.

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

A number of theoretical frameworks have been put out to clarify the ways in which employee engagement affects corporate success. High levels of engagement result in better performance outcomes, according to the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, which contends that engagement results from a balance between job demands (such as workload) and job resources (such as autonomy and social support) (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Furthermore, according to social exchange theory, when companies make investments to promote engagement through encouraging work conditions and chances for growth, staff members return the favour by favourably influencing Organization objectives (Eisenberger et al., 1986).

Additionally, human resource management techniques are essential for encouraging employee involvement and, as a result, improving organizational performance. Engagement has been found to be influenced by practices including performance management, training and development, and employee appreciation (Saks, 2006). For example, a study by Macey and Schneider (2008) showed that companies with more engaged workers are more likely to express performance requirements clearly and offer insightful feedback.

Even while there seems to be a strong correlation between organizational performance and employee engagement, there are still a number of issues. Research findings vary because it is still difficult to define and measure employee engagement consistently across studies (Macey & Schneider, 2008). Second, there is disagreement on the directionality of the relationship between engagement and performance; some academics contend that improved performance can also result in higher levels of engagement (Christian et al., 2011).

The literature presented here emphasizes the importance of employee engagement as a factor of organizational performance. Future studies should concentrate on improving assessment instruments, examining the causal processes that underlie the relationship between engagement and performance, and examining how contextual factors influence this association.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Data Collection:

The process of gathering data entails looking for peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and scholarly publications using electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. To find suitable research, keywords like "employee engagement," "organizational performance," "human resource management," and similar topics are employed.

5.2 Research Design:

In order to investigate the connection between employee engagement and organizational performance from a human resource standpoint, this review study uses an organized literature review technique. A thorough examination of previous study findings is made possible by a systematic literature review, which also makes it possible to synthesize various viewpoints and empirical data. This method guarantees accuracy and transparency in the review process by methodically finding, choosing, and assessing relevant papers.

5.3 Prerequisites for Inclusion & Exclusion:

The following are inclusion criteria for choosing studies: (1) articles that concentrate on the perspectives of human resources on employee engagement; (2) publications that offer precise definitions and functionalizations of organizational performance and employee engagement (3) empirical research articles that examine the connection between organizational performance and employee engagement articles that concentrate on the perspectives of human resources on employee engagement; and (4) studies carried out in different industries and organizational contexts.

The following are exclusion criteria for choosing studies: (1) non-empirical studies, such as conceptual papers, literature reviews, and theoretical frameworks that have not been empirically validated; (2) studies that are not directly related to the human resource component of employee engagement and organizational performance; and (3) publications that lack a clear methodology or inadequate data for analysis.

6. RESULTS

Several important conclusions were drawn from the analysis of the body of research on organizational success and employee engagement from a human resources standpoint:

1. **Mediating Factors:** A number of mediating factors that affect the connection between organizational success and employee engagement have been found. These consist of communication routes, job design, organization culture, leadership style, and employee appreciation initiatives.
2. **Variations by Sector:** Although there is a positive correlation between organizational performance and employee engagement in all sectors, the degree of this correlation may fluctuate based on the sector, organizational size, and other contextual factors.

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

3. **Positive Association:** A substantial amount of research continuously shows that organizational performance measures including productivity, profitability, customer happiness, and employee retention are positively correlated with employee engagement.
4. **Welfare of Employees:** Studies indicate that employee engagement improves employee well-being in addition to organizational performance, which lowers absenteeism, lowers attrition, and increases job satisfaction overall.
5. **Long Term Impacts:** Research shows that consistent efforts to raise employee engagement can have a positive impact on an organization's performance over the long run. This highlights the significance of ongoing investments in human resource management.

7. FINDINGS

The following are some implications of this paper's findings:

1. **Constant Enhancement:** Rather than being a one-time endeavour, human resource policies targeted at improving employee engagement should be seen as a continuous activity. Over time, engagement levels can be maintained and raised with the support of regular performance reviews, training courses, and feedback systems.
2. **Leadership Role:** Promoting employee engagement requires effective leadership. Leaders must show that they are dedicated to the growth of their staff, maintain open lines of communication, and establish a positive work atmosphere that encourages participation.
3. **Comprehensive Approach:** Considering the various needs and preferences of employees, employee engagement programs should adopt a holistic approach. Customized approaches that focus on work-life balance, professional goals, and personal motivations are more likely to connect with staff members and promote long-term engagement.
4. **Strategic Significance:** Organizations looking to improve their performance in a highly competitive climate should acknowledge employee engagement as a strategic priority. Putting money into programs that encourage employee involvement can pay off generously in terms of increased output and profitability.
5. **Workplace Culture:** Fostering employee engagement requires a positive Organization culture that is marked by open communication, openness, and trust. Companies should foster a culture that encourages a sense of belonging, values employee input, and recognizes accomplishments.

This article emphasizes how crucial employee engagement is as a factor in organizational performance. Organizations may establish a productive workplace that encourages engagement, improves performance, and advances employee well-being by comprehending the underlying principles and implementing proactive initiatives.

8. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. **Self-Reported Data:** By depending on managers' and employees' self-reported data, biases including response bias and social desirability bias may be introduced. This could have an impact on the findings' accuracy and dependability because different people may have different opinions about performance and engagement.
2. **Generalizability:** The study's conclusions might not be as applicable to other sectors, sizes of organizations, and cultural settings. The study might have concentrated on a particular industry or area, which would have limited the data's applicability to larger groups.
3. **Measurement Issues:** The validity and reliability of the measurement instruments used to evaluate organizational performance and employee engagement may be compromised. The comparability and synthesis of results may also be hampered by variations in the definitions and operationalizations of these constructs among studies.
4. **Minimal Control Elements:** It's probable that some confounding factors that might affect the association between organizational performance and employee engagement were not taken into consideration in this study. Neglecting to account for pertinent variables may result in omitted variable bias or erroneous relationships.
5. **Access to Data:** It might be difficult to get a representative sample or guarantee the completeness and accuracy of data on employee engagement and organizational performance because different businesses may have different levels of data availability and quality.

9. FUTURE SCOPE

1. **Practices of Management & Leadership:** Examine how organizational culture, management techniques, and leadership philosophies influence worker engagement and performance results. Organizational leaders and HR professionals might benefit from studying successful leadership techniques for creating an engaged and accountable culture.

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

2. **Research across Cultures:** Investigate how cultural elements affect the connection between corporate success and employee engagement. Global firms can better adapt their HR strategy to a variety of workforce demographics by being aware of cultural differences in engagement methods and performance expectations.
3. **Advancements in Technology:** Analyze how new technologies like gamification, augmented reality, and virtual reality might improve worker engagement and boost corporate performance. Examining how well these cutting-edge technologies promote worker motivation, teamwork, and output may influence HR procedures in the future.
4. **Sustainability and Social Responsibility:** Examine how employee engagement and organizational performance are impacted by companies' dedication to sustainability programs and corporate social responsibility. Examining how well employees' values, the mission of the Organization, and sustainability objectives connect can raise engagement levels and improve performance.
5. **Well-Being and Engagement of Employees:** Examine the relationship between employee well-being and engagement, considering elements including job satisfaction, work-life balance, and mental health support. There is much room for further research into how businesses may support holistic well-being programs to raise engagement levels and eventually boost performance results.
6. **Employee Input & Engagement:** Analyze how employee voice mechanisms, such as feedback channels, participatory decision-making procedures, and suggestion programs, affect organizational performance and engagement levels. Gaining insight into how companies may enable staff members to express issues, offer ideas, and actively engage in decision-making can result in more diverse and productive work environments.

10. CONCLUSION

From the perspective of human resources, this review has explored the complex link between organizational performance and employee engagement. Several important conclusions have been drawn from a thorough review of the body of existing research. First of all, it is clear that a key factor in promoting organizational performance is employee engagement. Higher levels of dedication, motivation, and productivity are displayed by engaged workers, which eventually leads to improved performance results. Additionally, proactive behaviours like innovation and problem-solving, which are critical for organizational growth and competitiveness, are more likely to be displayed by engaged personnel.

Second, encouraging employee involvement is greatly aided by efficient HR procedures. It has been determined that tactics including open communication, encouraging leadership, chances for skill development, and acknowledging contributions are essential for raising employee engagement levels in businesses.

Additionally, employee engagement affects organizational performance in ways that go beyond results at the individual level to include more general organizational indicators. Research has consistently shown that employee engagement and measures of organizational success, including as customer happiness, profitability, and staff retention rates, are positively correlated.

Nevertheless, it's necessary to recognize the difficulties in assessing and maintaining employee engagement. Even though a lot of research has shown how beneficial engaged workforces are, it may still be difficult to determine how engaged a workforce is and to put methods in place to keep engagement levels high over time.

Given these results, organizations need to put a high priority on developing a culture that encourages and supports employee involvement. Organizations may maximize performance results and obtain a competitive edge in the fast-paced business world of today by investing in efficient human resource procedures and creating an atmosphere that supports employee growth and well-being. This review essentially emphasizes how crucial employee engagement is as a factor in organizational performance and how crucial human resource management is to fostering and maintaining employee engagement. Prioritizing employee engagement is still crucial for long-term success and sustainability as businesses continue to navigate changing opportunities and challenges.

REFERENCES

- 1) Agarwal, U. A., & Bhargava, S. (2019). Employee engagement and organizational performance: A review of literature. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 68(3), 490-511.
- 2) Albrecht, S. L., Bakker, A. B., Gruman, J. A., Macey, W. H., & Saks, A. M. (2015). Employee engagement, human resource management practices and competitive advantage: An integrated approach. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 2(1), 7-35.
- 3) Bakker, A. B., Albrecht, S. L., & Leiter, M. P. (2011). Key questions regarding work engagement. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 20(1), 4-28.
- 4) Boxall, P., & Macky, K. (2014). High-involvement work processes, work intensification and employee well-being: A study of New Zealand worker experiences. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 52(4), 444-459.

A Literature Review-Based Understanding of the Relationship Between Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance

- 5) Cameron, K. S., & Spreitzer, G. M. (2012). *Oxford handbook of positive organizational scholarship*. Oxford University Press.
- 6) Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89-136.
- 7) Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*, 49(3), 182-185.
- 8) Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2014). Burnout and job performance: The moderating role of selection, optimization, and compensation strategies. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 19(1), 96-107.
- 9) Gubler, T., Larkin, I., & Pierce, L. (2018). Doing well by making well: The impact of corporate wellness programs on employee productivity. *Management Science*, 64(11), 4967-4987.
- 10) Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.
- 11) Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724.
- 12) Luthans, F., & Peterson, S. J. (2002). Employee engagement and manager self-efficacy: Implications for managerial effectiveness and development. *Journal of Management Development*, 21(5), 376-387.
- 13) Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3-30.
- 14) Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 397-422.
- 15) May, D. R., Gilson, R. L., & Harter, L. M. (2004). The psychological conditions of meaningfulness, safety and availability and the engagement of the human spirit at work. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 77(1), 11-37.
- 16) Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 617-635.
- 17) Rothbard, N. P. (2001). Enriching or depleting? The dynamics of engagement in work and family roles. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46(4), 655-684.
- 18) Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
- 19) Salanova, M., Agut, S., & Peiró, J. M. (2005). Linking organizational resources and work engagement to employee performance and customer loyalty: The mediation of service climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(6), 1217-1227.
- 20) Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
- 21) Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3(1), 71-92.
- 22) Seijts, G. H., & Crim, D. (2006). What engages employees the most or, the ten C's of employee engagement. *Ivey Business Journal*, 70(4), 1-5.
- 23) Shuck, B., & Wollard, K. K. (2010). Employee engagement and HRD: A seminal review of the foundations. *Human Resource Development Review*, 9(1), 89-110.
- 24) Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(5), 1442-1465.
- 25) Towers Perrin. (2003). *Working today: Understanding what drives employee engagement*. Towers Perrin Global Workforce Study.
- 26) Truss, C., Delbridge, R., Alfes, K., Shantz, A., & Soane, E. (2013). *Employee engagement in theory and practice*. Routledge.
- 27) Wang, Y., & Chen, X. P. (2017). Workplace relational civility climate and employee outcomes: The mediating role of work engagement. *Human Resource Management*, 56(5), 833-850.
- 28) Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009). Reciprocal relationships between job resources, personal resources, and work engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 235-244



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.