International Journal of Social Science and Human Research

ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695

Volume 08 Issue 09 September 2025

DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v8-i9-46, Impact factor- 8.007

Page No: 7100-7106

Exploring the Influence of IQ on Problem -Solving and Critical Thinking: Strategies for Enhancement

Jemima N. A. A. Lomotey
Grace International Bible University

ABSTRACT: This study explored the influence of Intelligence Quotient (IQ) on problem-solving and critical thinking abilities, as well as strategies for enhancing these cognitive skills. Using a quantitative cross-sectional design, data were collected from 400 students and professionals in Ghana. IQ was measured using Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices, while problem-solving and critical thinking were assessed through structured questionnaires. Descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation, and hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the relationships between variables. Results indicated significant positive correlations between IQ and problem-solving ($r = 0.51$, $p < .001$) and between IQ and critical thinking ($r = 0.48$, $p < .001$). Hierarchical regression revealed that IQ significantly predicted problem-solving and critical thinking performance, accounting for 26% and 23% of the variance, respectively. The findings highlight that while IQ provides a cognitive foundation, educational strategies and metacognitive interventions are essential for optimizing reasoning and decision-making abilities. Implications for curriculum design, professional training, and cognitive skills development in Ghana are discussed.

KEYWORDS: Intelligence Quotient (IQ), Problem-Solving, Critical Thinking, Cognitive Skills, Educational Interventions

INTRODUCTION

Problem-solving and critical thinking are essential cognitive skills that enable individuals to analyze information, evaluate alternatives, and make reasoned decisions in academic, professional, and daily life contexts. These skills are closely linked to cognitive abilities, particularly Intelligence Quotient (IQ), which reflects an individual's reasoning, abstract thinking, memory, and problem-solving capacities (Neisser et al., 1996). IQ provides the mental framework through which individuals can process information, identify patterns, and generate effective solutions to complex problems. Consequently, understanding the influence of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking is crucial for designing interventions aimed at enhancing these cognitive competencies.

The relationship between IQ and problem-solving has been extensively explored in cognitive psychology. Spearman's (1904) theory of general intelligence posits that the g factor underlies all cognitive tasks, implying that individuals with higher IQ possess greater mental resources to approach complex problems systematically. Similarly, Cattell's (1971) distinction between fluid and crystallized intelligence highlights that fluid intelligence, which encompasses reasoning and abstract thinking, is particularly relevant for novel problem-solving scenarios. Students and professionals with higher fluid intelligence are more capable of analyzing unfamiliar situations, evaluating potential solutions, and applying logic to arrive at optimal outcomes.

Critical thinking, which involves the ability to evaluate arguments, recognize biases, and synthesize information, also relies on cognitive capacities measured by IQ (Ennis, 2011). Higher IQ individuals tend to exhibit better analytical reasoning, logical structuring, and decision-making skills, which enhance their critical thinking abilities. Theories of information processing further suggest that IQ facilitates efficient encoding, storage, and retrieval of information, allowing individuals to approach problems systematically and avoid cognitive overload (Anderson, 2010). This theoretical link underscores why IQ is often considered a foundational determinant of problem-solving and critical thinking effectiveness.

Empirical research supports the association between IQ and these cognitive skills. Studies have shown that individuals with higher IQ scores perform better on tasks requiring analytical reasoning, abstract thinking, and creative problem-solving (Deary, Strand, Smith, & Fernandes, 2007). For example, students with higher IQ are more adept at generating multiple solutions to complex academic problems, identifying logical inconsistencies, and making evidence-based decisions. Conversely, individuals with lower IQ scores often struggle with problem analysis, reasoning, and evaluating alternatives, which can hinder performance in academic and professional contexts.

While IQ provides cognitive potential, it is not the sole determinant of problem-solving and critical thinking. Environmental factors, including educational quality, teaching methods, and exposure to cognitive challenges, influence how intelligence translates into practical skills (Gottfredson, 2002). Motivation, creativity, and metacognitive strategies also moderate the relationship, as high IQ

individuals may underperform if they lack critical thinking training or structured problem-solving experiences. Therefore, understanding the influence of IQ requires examining both innate cognitive capacity and the strategies employed to enhance reasoning and decision-making skills.

In addition to cognitive and environmental factors, targeted strategies can strengthen problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. Instructional interventions such as case studies, simulations, and collaborative learning exercises have been shown to improve reasoning skills, even among individuals with average IQ (Facione, 2011). Training programs that emphasize metacognition, logical analysis, and structured problem-solving frameworks enable learners to optimize their cognitive potential and apply their intelligence more effectively. This suggests that while IQ provides a foundational advantage, strategic interventions can enhance the practical application of intelligence in problem-solving and critical thinking.

In the Ghanaian context, understanding the influence of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking is particularly relevant for education and professional development. As students and employees encounter increasingly complex tasks in academic and workplace settings, the ability to reason critically and solve problems effectively becomes a key determinant of success. Despite the recognized importance of these skills, limited empirical studies have examined the role of IQ in shaping problem-solving and critical thinking abilities among Ghanaian learners and professionals. This gap highlights the need for research that explores the cognitive determinants of these skills and identifies strategies to enhance them.

Statement of the Problem

Problem-solving and critical thinking are essential skills for academic, professional, and personal success. While intelligence, commonly measured as IQ, is widely regarded as a key determinant of cognitive performance, the extent to which IQ influences problem-solving and critical thinking remains underexplored, particularly in the Ghanaian context (Neisser et al., 1996; Deary, Strand, Smith, & Fernandes, 2007). Although high IQ provides individuals with strong reasoning, abstract thinking, and analytical abilities, other factors such as environmental exposure, motivation, and instructional strategies also affect cognitive performance (Gottfredson, 2002). In Ghana, limited empirical studies examine how IQ translates into practical problem-solving and critical thinking skills, creating a knowledge gap that hinders the design of targeted interventions for students and professionals seeking to enhance their cognitive effectiveness.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to explore the influence of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking abilities and to identify strategies for enhancing these cognitive skills. Specifically, the study aims to determine the strength and nature of the relationship between IQ and problem-solving performance, as well as critical thinking effectiveness. Additionally, the study seeks to provide insights into practical strategies that can be employed to optimize cognitive abilities for improved reasoning, decision-making, and analytical performance.

Research Objectives

1. To examine the relationship between IQ and problem-solving abilities among students and professionals.
2. To assess the relationship between IQ and critical thinking skills.
3. To identify strategies that enhance problem-solving and critical thinking, regardless of IQ levels.
4. To explore the moderating effects of environmental and instructional factors on the relationship between IQ and cognitive performance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Literature

The study of intelligence and its influence on problem-solving and critical thinking is grounded in several cognitive and educational theories. Spearman's Two-Factor Theory of Intelligence posits that a general intelligence factor (g) underlies all cognitive tasks, supplemented by specific abilities (s factors) that account for performance in particular areas (Spearman, 1904). This framework suggests that individuals with higher IQ are better equipped to analyze problems, evaluate solutions, and apply reasoning across diverse tasks. In the context of critical thinking, general intelligence supports the evaluation of arguments, identification of logical inconsistencies, and synthesis of information, providing a cognitive foundation for effective decision-making.

Cattell's Theory of Fluid and Crystallized Intelligence further elucidates how IQ contributes to problem-solving and critical thinking. Fluid intelligence (Gf) reflects reasoning, abstract thinking, and the ability to tackle novel problems, while crystallized intelligence (Gc) pertains to accumulated knowledge and experience (Cattell, 1971). Problem-solving in unfamiliar contexts relies heavily on fluid intelligence, as it allows individuals to generate solutions, test hypotheses, and adapt strategies. Crystallized intelligence, on the other hand, enables the application of prior knowledge to evaluate solutions critically and make informed decisions. Together, these components of IQ provide the cognitive resources required for both analytical reasoning and innovative problem-solving.

Carroll's Three-Stratum Theory of Cognitive Abilities (Carroll, 1993) provides a hierarchical model, organizing cognitive abilities into general intelligence, broad abilities, and narrow skills. Broad abilities such as fluid reasoning, quantitative reasoning, and verbal

comprehension are particularly relevant for problem-solving and critical thinking. Narrow abilities, such as logical deduction and pattern recognition, directly support the execution of complex tasks. This hierarchy explains why IQ scores, which measure general and broad abilities, predict problem-solving efficiency and critical thinking performance. Individuals with high IQ can integrate multiple cognitive processes, allowing them to approach problems methodically and evaluate solutions critically.

Information Processing Theory offers another perspective, emphasizing that intelligence affects the efficiency of encoding, storing, and retrieving information (Anderson, 2010). Students and professionals with higher IQ can process complex information faster, maintain attention on relevant details, and use working memory to manipulate data effectively. These cognitive operations facilitate systematic problem-solving, logical evaluation, and informed decision-making, which are central to critical thinking.

Additionally, Metacognition Theory highlights the role of self-regulation and awareness in optimizing problem-solving and critical thinking (Flavell, 1979). IQ provides the cognitive capacity, but metacognitive strategies—such as planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's own thinking—enhance the application of intelligence. High-IQ individuals who actively engage in metacognitive processes are more likely to succeed in solving complex problems and thinking critically, whereas those with high IQ but low metacognitive awareness may underperform.

In summary, theoretical literature emphasizes that IQ underpins problem-solving and critical thinking by providing cognitive resources, including reasoning, abstract thinking, and memory. However, the application of these abilities depends on strategic use, environmental support, and metacognitive engagement, suggesting that IQ is necessary but not sufficient for optimal cognitive performance.

Empirical Literature

Empirical research has consistently demonstrated a positive relationship between IQ and problem-solving ability. Deary, Strand, Smith, and Fernandes (2007) found that individuals with higher IQ scores performed significantly better on tasks requiring analytical reasoning, logical deduction, and novel problem-solving. Their study highlighted that cognitive efficiency, including working memory and processing speed, enables high-IQ individuals to analyze complex problems and generate effective solutions. Similarly, Sternberg and Grigorenko (2004) observed that intelligence predicts performance in academic and professional problem-solving scenarios, though practical intelligence and environmental support also influence outcomes.

Chamorro-Premuzic and Furnham (2006) examined the interaction between IQ, personality traits, and problem-solving skills. Their findings indicated that while IQ significantly correlates with problem-solving performance, traits such as conscientiousness and openness to experience moderate this relationship. Students with high IQ but low conscientiousness may underperform due to poor planning or lack of persistence. This highlights the importance of combining cognitive potential with behavioral strategies to optimize problem-solving.

Research on critical thinking also underscores the role of IQ in cognitive performance. Facione (2011) found that higher IQ is associated with better critical evaluation, reasoning, and decision-making skills. Participants with elevated IQ were more adept at analyzing arguments, identifying biases, and integrating evidence to form conclusions. Similarly, Osei and Agyapong (2018) investigated Ghanaian students and found a positive correlation between IQ scores and critical thinking test performance, confirming that cognitive ability influences evaluative reasoning and reflective thinking.

Studies have also explored specific cognitive components that mediate the relationship between IQ and problem-solving. Alloway and Alloway (2010) found that working memory, a core aspect of IQ, significantly predicts performance on problem-solving and analytical reasoning tasks. Students with higher working memory capacity were better able to manage multiple pieces of information, consider alternative solutions, and implement effective strategies. This suggests that targeted enhancement of working memory can improve problem-solving efficiency, even for individuals with moderate IQ.

Educational interventions have been examined to enhance problem-solving and critical thinking skills irrespective of IQ. Training programs focusing on metacognition, logical reasoning, and structured problem-solving frameworks improve the practical application of intelligence (Ennis, 2011). For instance, case-based learning, simulations, and collaborative exercises have been shown to enhance decision-making, analytical reasoning, and solution-generation among students with varying IQ levels. These interventions indicate that while IQ provides the foundation, cognitive skills can be strategically developed through education and practice.

In the Ghanaian context, there is limited research examining the role of IQ in problem-solving and critical thinking. Osei and Agyapong (2018) emphasize the importance of integrating cognitive skills development into educational curricula to support students' analytical capabilities. Exposure to challenging problem-solving tasks and critical thinking exercises can optimize the use of cognitive potential, bridging the gap between innate intelligence and practical performance.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed a quantitative research design to examine the influence of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking. A cross-sectional survey approach was used to collect data from participants at a single point in time. The quantitative approach was

suitable because the study aimed to measure cognitive abilities (IQ), problem-solving skills, and critical thinking objectively and to statistically analyze the relationships between these variables (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Population and Sampling

The target population included students and professionals in Ghana, aged between 18 and 35 years, who were engaged in academic or professional tasks requiring problem-solving and critical thinking. Stratified random sampling was employed to ensure representation across gender, age groups, and academic/professional levels. Using Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) sample size table, a total of 400 participants were selected to achieve adequate statistical power for correlation and regression analyses while accounting for non-responses.

Data Collection Instruments

Two primary instruments were used in this study:

1. Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices (SPM): This test was used to assess participants' IQ. The SPM is a non-verbal intelligence test that measures abstract reasoning, problem-solving, and cognitive capacity (Raven, 2000).
2. Problem-Solving and Critical Thinking Assessment: A structured questionnaire adapted from validated scales was used to measure participants' problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. Items assessed analytical reasoning, solution generation, logical evaluation, and decision-making. Participants' responses were scored on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Demographic information, including age, gender, academic/professional level, and work experience, was also collected.

Validity and Reliability

To ensure content validity, both instruments were reviewed by three experts in cognitive psychology and educational assessment. A pilot study with 30 participants was conducted to check clarity, appropriateness, and timing. Reliability analysis showed Cronbach's alpha values of 0.88 for the problem-solving scale and 0.91 for the critical thinking scale, indicating high internal consistency. The IQ test, standardized globally, has established reliability and construct validity (Raven, 2000).

Data Collection Procedure

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study and gave written consent. IQ tests and questionnaires were administered under controlled conditions to ensure fairness and accuracy. Data collection occurred over four weeks, with testing sessions scheduled to accommodate participants' availability.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics, including means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions, were used to summarize demographic characteristics, IQ scores, problem-solving, and critical thinking scores. Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated to examine the relationships between IQ, problem-solving, and critical thinking. Hierarchical regression analyses were performed to determine the predictive effect of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking while controlling for demographic variables such as age, gender, and education/professional level. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical principles. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained by assigning codes to participants. Participants were allowed to withdraw at any point without penalty. Data were securely stored and used solely for academic purposes. Permission to access professional performance data was obtained from relevant authorities.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

This section presents the statistical findings of the study, focusing on the relationship between IQ and problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. Descriptive statistics were first used to summarize participants' demographic characteristics, IQ scores, and cognitive skill levels. Pearson's correlation analysis was then conducted to examine the strength and direction of the relationships between IQ, problem-solving, and critical thinking. Finally, hierarchical regression analyses were performed to determine the predictive effect of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking while controlling for demographic variables such as age, gender, and professional/academic level.

Descriptive Statistics

The study sample consisted of 400 participants, with 54% male and 46% female. Participants' ages ranged from 18 to 35 years, with a mean age of 22.7 years (SD = 3.1). IQ scores, measured using Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices, ranged from 85 to 140, with a mean of 110.3 (SD = 12.6). Problem-solving scores ranged from 2.0 to 5.0, with a mean of 3.6 (SD = 0.54), while critical thinking scores ranged from 1.8 to 5.0, with a mean of 3.5 (SD = 0.57). These descriptive statistics indicate sufficient variability in IQ and cognitive performance for correlation and regression analyses.

Correlation Analysis

Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships between IQ, problem-solving, and critical thinking. The results revealed a significant positive correlation between IQ and problem-solving skills ($r = 0.51$, $p < 0.001$) and between IQ and critical thinking ($r = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$). Furthermore, problem-solving and critical thinking were strongly correlated ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that these cognitive skills are interrelated. These findings indicate that higher IQ is associated with enhanced problem-solving and critical thinking performance.

Hierarchical Regression Analysis

Hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to assess the predictive effect of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking while controlling for demographic variables.

Table 1: Hierarchical Regression of IQ on Problem-Solving

Model	Predictors	B	SE	β	t	Sig.
1	Age	0.01	0.01	0.05	1.10	.273
	Gender	-0.02	0.03	-0.03	-0.67	.501
	Education Level	0.05	0.02	0.09	2.10	.037
	$R^2 = 0.04$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.03$, $F(3, 396) = 5.50$, $p < .001$					
2	IQ Score	0.03	0.004	0.51	11.72	.000
	$\Delta R^2 = 0.26$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.29$, $F(4, 395) = 37.84$, $p < .001$					

Table 2: Hierarchical Regression of IQ on Critical Thinking

Model	Predictors	B	SE	β	t	Sig.
1	Age	0.01	0.01	0.06	1.25	.211
	Gender	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	-0.44	.659
	Education Level	0.06	0.02	0.10	2.35	.020
	$R^2 = 0.05$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.04$, $F(3, 396) = 6.97$, $p < .001$					
2	IQ Score	0.03	0.005	0.48	10.85	.000
	$\Delta R^2 = 0.23$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.27$, $F(4, 395) = 33.52$, $p < .001$					

The regression results indicate that demographic variables (age, gender, education level) explained a modest portion of variance in both problem-solving ($R^2 = 0.04$) and critical thinking ($R^2 = 0.05$). Education level emerged as a significant predictor in both models, suggesting that higher academic or professional exposure slightly enhances cognitive performance. Age and gender were not significant predictors, indicating that IQ, rather than demographics, is the primary determinant of cognitive skill performance in this sample.

When IQ was added to the models, it became a highly significant predictor of both problem-solving ($\beta = 0.51$, $p < .001$) and critical thinking ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < .001$). The inclusion of IQ increased explained variance by 26% for problem-solving and 23% for critical thinking, resulting in total adjusted R^2 values of 0.29 and 0.27, respectively. This indicates that higher IQ strongly predicts improved cognitive performance. Participants with higher IQ scores demonstrated superior analytical reasoning, solution generation, and decision-making abilities.

These findings confirm theoretical expectations that general intelligence underpins problem-solving and critical thinking. However, since IQ alone explains only a portion of the variance in cognitive performance, other factors—such as metacognitive strategies, motivation, and environmental support—also contribute to effective problem-solving and critical thinking. This aligns with prior research suggesting that intelligence provides the foundation for cognitive performance, but strategic interventions and educational support are essential for optimizing outcomes (Facione, 2011; Ennis, 2011).

Overall, the analysis demonstrates a clear positive relationship between IQ and both problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. Higher IQ enhances reasoning, analytical thinking, and decision-making, providing a cognitive advantage in complex tasks.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The findings of this study indicate a significant positive relationship between IQ and both problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. Pearson's correlation analysis revealed moderate-to-strong positive correlations between IQ and problem-solving ($r = 0.51$, $p < .001$) and between IQ and critical thinking ($r = 0.48$, $p < .001$). These results support the theoretical assumption that general intelligence provides the cognitive resources necessary for analyzing complex problems, evaluating alternatives, and making

reasoned decisions (Spearman, 1904; Carroll, 1993). The findings are consistent with previous research that highlights IQ as a strong predictor of cognitive performance in academic and professional contexts (Deary, Strand, Smith, & Fernandes, 2007; Sternberg & Grigorenko, 2004).

Hierarchical regression analyses demonstrated that IQ significantly predicts problem-solving and critical thinking even when controlling for demographic variables such as age, gender, and education level. IQ accounted for 26% of the variance in problem-solving and 23% in critical thinking, confirming that cognitive ability plays a substantial role in shaping these skills. Education level also contributed modestly to cognitive performance, suggesting that exposure to academic or professional tasks enhances the application of intelligence. However, age and gender were not significant predictors, indicating that IQ, rather than demographic characteristics, is the primary determinant of effective cognitive performance in this sample.

Despite the strong predictive power of IQ, the total variance explained in problem-solving (29%) and critical thinking (27%) suggests that other factors contribute to cognitive effectiveness. Environmental factors, motivational elements, metacognitive strategies, and personality traits likely moderate the relationship between IQ and performance, consistent with studies by Chamorro-Premuzic and Furnham (2006) and Facione (2011). These findings underscore that while IQ provides the foundation for reasoning and decision-making, optimal performance depends on strategic interventions, educational support, and skill development.

The results have practical implications for education and professional training. Interventions aimed at enhancing problem-solving and critical thinking should not focus solely on cognitive ability but should integrate metacognitive strategies, structured problem-solving frameworks, and collaborative exercises. Such approaches enable individuals with varying IQ levels to maximize their potential and apply intelligence effectively to real-world tasks.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This study explored the influence of IQ on problem-solving and critical thinking abilities and identified strategies for enhancing these skills. The findings revealed a significant positive relationship between IQ and both cognitive outcomes, with higher IQ predicting better problem-solving and critical thinking performance. Demographic factors had minimal influence, while education level provided a modest enhancement effect. Although IQ accounted for a substantial portion of variance in cognitive performance, other factors such as metacognitive strategies, motivation, and environmental support play a complementary role in optimizing problem-solving and critical thinking.

The study confirms theoretical assumptions that general intelligence underpins cognitive performance and highlights the importance of combining cognitive potential with strategic interventions to enhance reasoning, analytical thinking, and decision-making. In the Ghanaian context, understanding the role of IQ in cognitive performance can inform educational policies, curriculum development, and professional training programs aimed at developing essential cognitive competencies.

Recommendations

First, educational institutions and training organizations should implement programs that enhance both cognitive and metacognitive skills. These programs can include structured problem-solving exercises, critical thinking workshops, case studies, and simulations that challenge participants to apply reasoning in real-world contexts.

Second, IQ assessments can be used diagnostically to identify individuals with high cognitive potential and provide targeted interventions that optimize their problem-solving and critical thinking abilities. However, interventions should also focus on individuals with moderate IQ to ensure equitable skill development through tailored instructional strategies.

Third, educators should integrate collaborative and experiential learning strategies to support the practical application of intelligence. Group problem-solving tasks, peer mentoring, and project-based learning can enhance reasoning, decision-making, and analytical skills across diverse IQ levels.

Finally, further research should investigate the interaction of IQ with personality traits, motivation, and environmental factors in predicting problem-solving and critical thinking. Longitudinal studies could provide insights into how cognitive abilities evolve over time and how targeted interventions can enhance performance in academic and professional contexts.

REFERENCES

1) Alloway, T. P., & Alloway, R. G. (2010). Investigating the predictive roles of working memory and IQ in academic attainment. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 106*(1), 20–29.
2) Anderson, J. R. (2010). *Cognitive psychology and its implications* (7th ed.). New York, NY: Worth Publishers.
3) Cattell, R. B. (1971). *Abilities: Their structure, growth, and action.* Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
4) Carroll, J. B. (1993). *Human cognitive abilities: A survey of factor-analytic studies.* New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
5) Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2006). Intellectual competence and problem-solving performance: A meta-analysis. *Learning and Individual Differences, 16*(2), 93–100.

6) Deary, I. J., Strand, S., Smith, P., & Fernandes, C. (2007). Intelligence and problem-solving performance. *Intelligence, 35*(1), 13–21.
7) Ennis, R. H. (2011). *The nature of critical thinking: An outline of critical thinking dispositions and abilities.* New York, NY: Routledge.
8) Facione, P. A. (2011). *Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts.* Millbrae, CA: Insight Assessment.
9) Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist, 34*(10), 906–911.
10) Gottfredson, L. S. (2002). g: Highly general and highly practical. In R. J. Sternberg & E. L. Grigorenko (Eds.), *The general factor of intelligence: How general is it?* (pp. 331–380). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
11) Neisser, U., Boodoo, G., Bouchard, T. J., Boykin, A. W., Brody, N., Ceci, S. J., … Urbina, S. (1996). Intelligence: Knowns and unknowns. *American Psychologist, 51*(2), 77–101.
12) Osei, F., & Agyapong, A. (2018). Intelligence and critical thinking among Ghanaian students. *African Journal of Educational Studies, 12*(3), 45–59.
13) Raven, J. C. (2000). *Raven's progressive matrices: Standard progressive matrices.* Oxford, UK: Oxford Psychologists Press.
14) Spearman, C. (1904). "General intelligence," objectively determined and measured. *American Journal of Psychology, 15*(2), 201–292.
15) Sternberg, R. J., & Grigorenko, E. L. (2004). Intelligence and education: Linking abilities and learning. *American Educational Research Journal, 41*(1), 1–30.
16) Wechsler, D. (2008). *Wechsler adult intelligence scale* (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: Pearson.

.