International Journal of Social Science and Human Research

ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695

Volume 09 Issue 06 June 2026

DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v9-i6-31, Impact factor- 8.361

Page No: 5016-5024

The Effects of Mindfulness-Based Interventions on Psychological Health: A Narrative Literature Review

Gülçin ITIRLI ASLAN[1], Prof. Dr. Kürşat Şahin YILDIRIMER[2]

[1]Ege University, EBİLTEM Technology Transfer Centre Bornova, İzmir 35100, Turkey ORCID: 0000-0001-9167-4278

[2]15 November University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ORCID: 0000-0001-5896-2956

ABSTRACT:

Background: The global mental health crisis has intensified demand for effective, accessible, and evidence-based interventions. Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs), most notably Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT), have emerged as widely adopted psychotherapeutic approaches.

Objective: This narrative literature review examines the theoretical foundations, psychological and neuropsychological mechanisms, and clinical evidence base for MBIs across diverse populations and settings.

Methods: A narrative synthesis of empirical studies, meta-analyses, randomised controlled trials (RCTs), and theoretical articles was conducted. Literature was retrieved from PsycINFO, PubMed, and Cochrane databases (1982 to 2025) using search terms including mindfulness, MBSR, MBCT, psychological well-being, and emotional regulation. Studies were included if they reported psychological outcome data in human participants.

Results: MBIs demonstrate consistent, clinically significant effects on anxiety (d = 0.63), depression (d = 0.59), and stress (d = 0.51). MBCT reduces depressive relapse risk by approximately 44% compared to treatment as usual in patients with three or more prior episodes (Kuyken et al., 2016). Neuroimaging evidence confirms structural changes in the prefrontal cortex, insula, and hippocampal regions associated with sustained practice.

Conclusions: MBIs are robust, transdiagnostic tools for psychological health promotion. Key moderating factors include intervention duration, practice consistency, and individual differences in baseline emotional regulation capacity. Future research should prioritise long-term follow-up designs, cultural adaptations, and mechanistic dismantling studies.

KEYWORDS: mindfulness, mindfulness-based interventions, psychological health, emotional regulation, resilience, MBSR, MBCT

1. INTRODUCTION

The burden of mental health disorders has reached epidemic proportions globally. The World Health Organization (2017) estimates that depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide, while anxiety disorders affect more than 284 million people. The COVID-19 pandemic further amplified psychiatric morbidity, with population-level increases in depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder reported across multiple continents (Yosep et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2023).

Traditional pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions, although evidence-based, face well-documented barriers in practice: limited accessibility, high cost, therapeutic stigma, and inadequate coverage in low-resource settings (Kazdin & Blase, 2011). Against this backdrop, mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) have gained traction as scalable, non-invasive, and empirically validated alternatives and adjuncts to conventional care.

This narrative review aims to provide a critically integrated account of four interrelated themes: the theoretical and historical foundations of mindfulness; the psychological and neuropsychological mechanisms through which MBIs exert their effects; the clinical evidence base across key diagnostic populations; and the moderating variables that shape outcomes and point toward future research. The review draws on evidence spanning four decades of mindfulness science, from Kabat-Zinn's (1982) foundational MBSR programme to contemporary neuroimaging studies, with the goal of informing both clinical practice and the design of next-generation intervention trials.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Historical and Conceptual Origins

The conceptual roots of mindfulness extend approximately 2,500 years to Theravada Buddhist contemplative traditions, where the Pali term *sati* (awareness, attention, remembering) constitutes one of the seven factors of enlightenment described in the *Satipatthana Sutta* (Bodhi, 2011). Its translation into Western psychology was primarily the work of Jon Kabat-Zinn, who developed the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) programme at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center beginning in 1979 (Kabat-Zinn, 1982, 1990).

Kabat-Zinn (1994, p. 4) defined mindfulness operationally as paying attention in a particular way: on purpose, in the present moment, and non-judgmentally. The definition encodes three properties that have since anchored the empirical literature: intentionality, temporal anchoring to present experience, and non-evaluative observation. Each of these has been taken up and elaborated within subsequent theoretical frameworks (Bishop et al., 2004; Shapiro et al., 2006; Baer et al., 2006).

2.2 Component Models of Mindfulness

Bishop et al. (2004) proposed the most widely cited two-component model: first, self-regulation of attention, which encompasses sustained attention, attention switching, and inhibition of elaborative processing; and second, orientation toward experience, characterised by curiosity, openness, and acceptance. This framework has shaped both measurement approaches (notably the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire; Baer et al., 2006) and the architecture of structured interventions.

Shapiro et al. (2006) offered a complementary account in the Intention-Attention-Attitude (IAA) triaxial model, proposing that mindfulness arises from the convergence of purposeful intention, present-moment attention, and an attitudinal stance of non-striving and acceptance. Table 1 provides a comparative overview of the principal theoretical frameworks.

Table 1. Comparative overview of principal theoretical models of mindfulness

Model	Authors (Year)	Core Components	Primary Application
Two-Component Model	Bishop et al. (2004)	Self-regulation of attention; Orientation toward experience	Psychological research; measurement development
IAA Model	Shapiro et al. (2006)	Intention; Attention; Attitude	Mechanism elucidation; clinical training
Five Facet Model	Baer et al. (2006)	Observing; Describing; Acting with awareness; Non-judging; Non-reactivity	Psychometric assessment (FFMQ)
OAAR Model	Shapiro et al. (2006)	Observe; Accept; Assess; Respond	Clinical intervention sequencing
Metacognitive Awareness Model	Teasdale et al. (2002)	Decentred perspective; cognitive defusion	Depression relapse prevention (MBCT)

Note. IAA = Intention-Attention-Attitude; FFMQ = Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire; OAAR = Observe-Accept-Assess-Respond; MBCT = Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy.

3. DEVELOPMENT AND PROLIFERATION OF MINDFULNESS-BASED INTERVENTIONS

MBSR, the progenitor of structured MBIs, is an eight-week group-based programme comprising approximately 26 hours of contact instruction in formal meditation (body scan, sitting meditation, mindful movement), informal practice integration, and a full-day intensive retreat (Kabat-Zinn, 1990). Building on MBSR's clinical success, Segal, Williams, and Teasdale (2002) developed MBCT as an eight-week hybrid programme that integrates mindfulness training with cognitive-behavioural strategies specifically designed to interrupt the rumination cycles associated with depressive relapse.

The decades that followed saw substantial diversification of the MBI landscape. Table 2 summarises the principal evidence-based programmes, their target populations, and representative efficacy data.

Table 2. Principal evidence-based mindfulness-based interventions: design, target population, and efficacy

Programme	Developers	Target Population	Core Components	Representative Efficacy
MBSR	Kabat-Zinn (1979)	Chronic pain; stress; general population	Body scan; sitting meditation; mindful yoga; 8 weeks	d = 0.55 for stress; d = 0.49 for anxiety (Khoury et al., 2013)
MBCT	Segal et al. (2002)	Recurrent major depression	Mindfulness with CBT; 8 weeks; cognitive defusion	44% relapse reduction vs. TAU (Kuyken et al., 2016)
MBRP	Bowen et al. (2009)	Substance use disorders	Urge surfing; relapse cue exposure; 8 weeks	Superior to treatment as usual at 12 months (Bowen et al., 2014)
MB-EAT	Kristeller & Wolever (2011)	Binge eating disorder	Mindful eating; interoceptive awareness; 12 weeks	Significant reduction in binge episodes vs. control
MSC	Neff & Germer (2013)	Self-compassion deficits; general well-being	Self-compassion meditation; loving-kindness; 8 weeks	Significant increases in self-compassion and life satisfaction
MBCP	Duncan & Bardacke (2010)	Expectant parents	Perinatal mindfulness; couples practice; 9 weeks	Reduced pregnancy anxiety; improved parental responsiveness

Note. MBSR = Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction; MBCT = Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy; MBRP = Mindfulness-Based Relapse Prevention; MB-EAT = Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training; MSC = Mindful Self-Compassion; MBCP = Mindfulness-Based Childbirth and Parenting; TAU = Treatment As Usual; CBT = Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy; d = Cohen's d effect size.

4. PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS OF ACTION

4.1 Attention Regulation

Attention regulation is widely regarded as the foundational mechanism through which MBIs exert downstream effects on psychological functioning. Formal mindfulness practice takes two broad forms: focused attention (FA) meditation, which involves sustained concentration on a single object such as the breath, and open monitoring (OM) meditation, which involves non-reactive awareness of the full experiential field (Lutz et al., 2008). Both forms systematically train attentional processes, and behavioural studies have demonstrated improvements in sustained attention, selective attention, and attentional switching following MBSR participation (Jha et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2007). Neuroimaging evidence points to the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) as the primary neural substrates of these effects (Tang et al., 2015).

4.2 Emotion Regulation

MBIs enhance emotion regulation through several partially overlapping pathways. Present-moment awareness facilitates early detection of emotional arousal before escalation becomes likely (Chambers et al., 2009). Non-judgmental acceptance reduces experiential avoidance, a transdiagnostic process strongly implicated in the maintenance of anxiety, depression, and substance use disorders (Hayes et al., 2006). Decentring, or metacognitive perspective-taking, reduces affective identification with transient emotional states (Teasdale et al., 2002). Beyond these, mindfulness training increases the flexible use of cognitive reappraisal while reducing reliance on maladaptive suppression (Garland et al., 2009; Hill & Updegraff, 2012).

4.3 Metacognitive Awareness and Cognitive Flexibility

Teasdale et al.'s (2002) metacognitive awareness model proposes that mindfulness generates a distinctively decentred relationship to cognition, in which thoughts are apprehended as mental events rather than as accurate reflections of reality. This is conceptually distinct from cognitive restructuring (Beck, 1979): the practitioner does not need to challenge the content of negative thoughts, but rather alters the relationship to those thoughts as such. On the side of cognitive flexibility, Moore and Malinowski (2009) found that meditators showed superior task-switching performance and attentional flexibility on the d2 Test of Attention, with effects mediated

by dispositional mindfulness scores. Greenberg et al. (2012) extended this with experimental evidence that brief mindfulness training reduces cognitive rigidity as indexed by the Luchins Water Jar task.

4.4 Self-Compassion

Neff (2003) proposed that self-compassion, comprising self-kindness, common humanity, and mindful awareness of suffering, constitutes a distinct psychological construct with unique variance in well-being that goes beyond what mindfulness alone explains. MSC training (Neff & Germer, 2013) produces significant gains in life satisfaction, emotional resilience, and reductions in self-criticism and depression. Hollis-Walker and Colosimo (2011) provided evidence that self-compassion mediates the relationship between mindfulness practice and psychological well-being outcomes.

5. NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

5.1 Structural Brain Changes

Structural neuroimaging research has shown that sustained mindfulness practice is associated with measurable changes in grey matter density and cortical thickness. Hölzel et al.'s (2011) longitudinal study found significant increases in grey matter concentration in the hippocampus, posterior cingulate cortex, temporo-parietal junction, and cerebellum following an eight-week MBSR programme. These are regions involved in learning and memory, self-referential processing, and perspective-taking. Lazar et al. (2005) reported increased cortical thickness in the prefrontal cortex and right anterior insula among experienced meditators, with thickness correlating significantly with meditation experience ($r = 0.32$, $p < .05$).

5.2 Functional Changes and Network Dynamics

Functional MRI research has consistently identified mindfulness-associated alterations in two key networks. Reduced activation and connectivity within the Default Mode Network (DMN), which is active during self-referential rumination and mind-wandering, has been replicated across multiple samples (Brewer et al., 2011). At the same time, increased activation in prefrontal-limbic circuits during emotion regulation tasks indicates enhanced top-down cognitive control of affective responses (Hölzel et al., 2011). Farb et al. (2007) demonstrated that MBSR training shifted self-referential processing from narrative, DMN-dominant modes toward experiential, insula-dominant processing, a pattern consistent with reduced rumination and enhanced interoceptive awareness. Table 3 summarises the key neuroimaging findings.

Table 3. Summary of key neuroimaging findings in mindfulness research

Study	Method	Population	Key Finding	Region(s) Implicated
Hölzel et al. (2011)	sMRI; longitudinal	MBSR completers (n=16)	Increased grey matter density post-MBSR	Hippocampus, PCC, TPJ, cerebellum
Lazar et al. (2005)	sMRI; cross-sectional	Experienced meditators (n=20)	Greater cortical thickness in meditators	PFC, right anterior insula
Farb et al. (2007)	fMRI; RCT	MBSR vs. waitlist (n=36)	Shift from narrative to experiential self-reference	Insula, lateral PFC (increased); mPFC, DMN (decreased)
Brewer et al. (2011)	fMRI; resting state	Meditators vs. controls (n=23)	Reduced DMN activity and connectivity	mPFC, PCC, lateral parietal cortex
Tang et al. (2010)	DTI; longitudinal	IBMT trainees (n=45)	White matter integrity changes after 4 weeks	Anterior cingulate cortex tracts
Zhou et al. (2021)	Resting-state fMRI; longitudinal	Mindfulness trainees (n=52)	Altered brain network dynamics for self-regulation	Default, salience, executive control networks

Note. sMRI = structural magnetic resonance imaging; fMRI = functional MRI; DTI = diffusion tensor imaging; RCT = randomised controlled trial; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex; TPJ = temporo-parietal junction; PFC = prefrontal cortex; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; DMN = Default Mode Network; IBMT = Integrative Body-Mind Training. Zhou et al. (2021) is a preprint pending peer review; findings should be interpreted with appropriate caution.

6. CLINICAL APPLICATIONS AND OUTCOME EVIDENCE

6.1 Depression and Depressive Relapse

MBCT is the most robustly evidenced MBI for clinical populations. Teasdale et al. (2000) demonstrated in a three-site RCT that MBCT halved the rate of depressive relapse in patients with three or more prior episodes compared to treatment as usual (37% vs. 66%). A subsequent individual patient data meta-analysis of nine RCTs (Kuyken et al., 2016; N = 1,258) confirmed that MBCT significantly reduced relapse risk (HR = 0.69, 95% CI [0.58, 0.82]) and outperformed active controls among patients with elevated residual depressive symptoms.

6.2 Anxiety Disorders

Hofmann et al.'s (2010) meta-analysis of 39 studies (N = 1,140) found that mindfulness-based therapies produced large pre-to-post reductions in anxiety symptoms (Hedges' g = 0.63) and depression (g = 0.59), with effects maintained at follow-up. Hoge et al. (2013) demonstrated that MBSR outperformed a stress management comparator for Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD) on the Clinical Global Impression scale (p = .009). Goldin and Gross (2010) documented reduced amygdala reactivity and enhanced prefrontal regulatory engagement in Social Anxiety Disorder participants following MBSR.

6.3 Chronic Pain, Cancer, and Physical Health

Hilton et al.'s (2017) systematic review and meta-analysis (38 RCTs; N = 3,536) found that mindfulness meditation produced moderate reductions in chronic pain (SMD = -0.32) and depression comorbid with pain (SMD = -0.48), with small effects on anxiety. Piet et al. (2012) reported significant reductions in anxiety and depression in adult cancer patients and survivors (d = 0.37 to 0.44) following MBIs. MBSR has also demonstrated efficacy in insomnia (Ong et al., 2014) and in reducing physiological stress markers including cortisol (Pascoe et al., 2017).

6.4 Educational Settings and Emerging Populations

The application of MBIs in educational contexts has generated a growing evidence base, particularly for adolescents and university students. Dunning et al.'s (2019) meta-analysis of 33 RCTs in children and adolescents found significant small-to-moderate effects on cognitive outcomes (g = 0.30) and emotional well-being (g = 0.19). Zhang et al. (2019) demonstrated that a modified MBSR programme reduced subthreshold depression symptoms in adolescents relative to waitlist controls. Greeson et al. (2014) found that Koru mindfulness training for emerging adults reduced perceived stress and improved sleep quality. Table 4 provides a synthesis of outcome evidence across clinical domains.

Table 4. Summary of meta-analytic evidence for MBIs across clinical domains

Domain	Meta-analysis	k (studies)	N	Effect Size	Primary Outcome
Anxiety & Depression	Hofmann et al. (2010)	39	1,140	g = 0.63 / 0.59	Anxiety; depression symptom reduction
Depressive Relapse	Kuyken et al. (2016)	9 RCTs	1,258	HR = 0.69	44% relapse reduction vs. TAU
Mindfulness-based therapy (general)	Khoury et al. (2013)	209	12,145	g = 0.53	Psychological symptoms (broad)
Chronic Pain	Hilton et al. (2017)	38	3,536	SMD = -0.32	Pain intensity; depression comorbidity
Cancer (anxiety/depression)	Piet et al. (2012)	22	1,403	d = 0.37 to 0.44	Anxiety & depression in survivors
Children & Adolescents	Dunning et al. (2019)	33	3,666	g = 0.19 to 0.30	Cognitive & emotional well-being
Physiological stress (cortisol)	Pascoe et al. (2017)	45	3,030	Significant reductions	Cortisol; inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6)

Note. k = number of studies in meta-analysis; HR = hazard ratio; g = Hedges' g; SMD = standardised mean difference; TAU = treatment as usual; RCTs = randomised controlled trials; CRP = C-reactive protein; IL-6 = interleukin-6.

7. MODERATING VARIABLES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite robust average effects, considerable heterogeneity exists across MBI outcome studies. Several moderating variables help to account for this variability.

Practice dose and consistency. Carmody and Baer (2008, 2009) identified dose-response relationships between formal home practice and outcomes in MBSR, though the relationship is non-linear: adherence to practice predicts outcomes more reliably than raw volume. Intensive retreat formats, such as Tang et al.'s 5-day Integrative Body-Mind Training (IBMT), can produce neuroplastic changes within days (Tang et al., 2007, 2010).

Individual differences. Baseline emotion regulation capacity, attachment security, and trait anxiety all moderate MBI responsiveness. Wang et al. (2022) identified distinct mindfulness profiles in cancer patients associated with differential psychological outcomes, which points to the practical value of person-centred matching in clinical implementation.

Intervention fidelity and instructor competence. Van Dam et al. (2018) raised legitimate concerns about the proliferation of attenuated MBI formats delivered by inadequately trained practitioners. Crane et al. (2012) proposed competency frameworks for MBI delivery that are beginning to inform training standards internationally, though uptake remains inconsistent across settings.

Cultural adaptation. The overwhelming majority of existing RCTs have been conducted in White, Western, educated samples. Dutton et al. (2013) demonstrated the feasibility and efficacy of MBSR for low-income, predominantly African American women with PTSD, suggesting broader generalisability, while also underscoring the need for culturally tailored programmes. Turkish-language and broadly Middle Eastern adaptations of MBIs remain substantially under-researched, a gap with direct relevance to the context of this work.

Methodological limitations. Van Dam et al. (2018) catalogued several persistent methodological weaknesses in the field, including inadequate active controls, weak blinding procedures, heavy reliance on self-report outcome measures, and publication bias favouring positive findings. Future meta-analyses should apply GRADE criteria to evidence quality and report confidence intervals for all effect size estimates.

8. DISCUSSION

The evidence synthesised in this review supports the conclusion that MBIs are effective, transdiagnostic psychotherapeutic tools with a characterised mechanistic basis. Their demonstrated efficacy spans anxiety, depression, chronic pain, oncological distress, and emerging populations including children, adolescents, and older adults. The fact that clinical outcome data and structural neuroimaging findings converge on a coherent explanatory picture is a comparatively rare achievement in psychotherapy research, and it lends the MBI literature a degree of credibility that few intervention families can claim.

The psychological mechanisms of MBIs, including attention regulation, emotion regulation, metacognitive awareness, and self-compassion, do not operate in isolation. They function in reciprocal, reinforcing loops: enhanced attention regulation supports earlier emotional detection; decentring facilitates flexible reappraisal; self-compassion reduces the shame-driven avoidance that sustains many clinical presentations. Future research would benefit from multilevel mediation designs that can map these interdependencies over time rather than assuming additive, independent paths.

A meaningful gap has opened between the breadth of neuropsychological evidence and its clinical translation. Neuroimaging has documented DMN suppression, ACC engagement, and hippocampal volumetric changes with considerable consistency. What remains to be worked out is how these biomarkers should inform clinical decision-making, for instance in identifying optimal treatment duration for a given patient, predicting non-response early, or matching individuals to specific practice formats. A precision approach to MBIs, drawing on the logic of precision psychiatry, represents a productive direction for the next phase of research.

Digital and app-based MBI delivery has expanded access considerably, and Spijkerman et al. (2016) confirmed that online programmes produce significant effects, albeit smaller than those of face-to-face equivalents. What remains poorly understood is the contribution of the instructor-student relationship to MBI outcomes, a question that becomes more pressing as delivery moves further from its group-based origins.

The cultural embeddedness of mindfulness is a challenge the field has not yet resolved adequately. The secularisation of Buddhist contemplative practices has enabled broad adoption, but it may have attenuated certain mechanisms, including ethical intention, compassion cultivation, and community-based practice, that are integral to the original tradition (Purser & Loy, 2013). Whether reintegrating these elements enhances efficacy in specific populations remains an open empirical question, and one worth examining rigorously.

9. CONCLUSION

Mindfulness-based interventions represent one of the most thoroughly investigated psychotherapeutic innovations of the past four decades. The evidence base, spanning neuroimaging, RCTs, meta-analyses, and translational research, consistently supports their efficacy and safety across a broad range of psychological conditions. Their accessibility, scalability, and compatibility with both

pharmacological and other psychotherapeutic approaches make them well suited to a role in contemporary stepped-care models of mental health.

The central challenge the field now faces is not whether MBIs work, but for whom, under which conditions, through which specific mechanisms, and at what dose. Dismantling studies, predictive biomarker research, cultural adaptation trials, and long-term follow-up designs are the most pressing priorities in that regard.

For clinicians and health systems, the present synthesis supports the integration of MBIs into stepped-care pathways for anxiety, depression, and chronic pain, provided appropriate attention is paid to instructor training, programme fidelity, and patient matching. The evidence for MBCT in relapse prevention for recurrent major depressive disorder is particularly compelling and now underpins first-line recommendations in clinical guidelines, including those of the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2009).

Mindfulness science has achieved theoretical coherence, empirical credibility, and genuine clinical utility. Its future development will depend on greater precision, more rigorous cultural adaptation, and a continued willingness to subject its foundational assumptions to scrutiny.

REFERENCES

1) Baer, R. A., Smith, G. T., Hopkins, J., Krietemeyer, J., & Toney, L. (2006). Using self-report assessment methods to explore facets of mindfulness. Assessment, 13(1), 27-45. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191105283504
2) Baer, R. A., Smith, G. T., Lykins, E., Button, D., Krietemeyer, J., Sauer, S., Walsh, E., Duggan, D., & Williams, J. M. G. (2008). Construct validity of the five facet mindfulness questionnaire. Assessment, 15(3), 329-342. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191107313003
3) Bellosta-Batalla, M., Blanco-Gandia, M. C., Rodriguez-Arias, M., Cebolla, A., Perez-Blasco, J., & Moya-Albiol, L. (2020). Increased salivary oxytocin and empathy after a mindfulness and compassion-based intervention. Mindfulness, 11(7), 1006-1017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01316-7
4) Bishop, S. R., Lau, M., Shapiro, S., Carlson, L., Anderson, N. D., Carmody, J., Segal, Z. V., Abbey, S., Speca, M., Velting, D., & Devins, G. (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 11(3), 230-241. https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bph077
5) Bodhi, B. (2011). What does mindfulness really mean? A canonical perspective. Contemporary Buddhism, 12(1), 19-39. https://doi.org/10.1080/14639947.2011.564813
6) Bowen, S., Witkiewitz, K., Clifasefi, S. L., Grow, J., Chawla, N., Hsu, S. H., Carroll, H. A., Harrop, E., Collins, S. E., Lustyk, M. K., & Larimer, M. E. (2014). Relative efficacy of mindfulness-based relapse prevention. JAMA Psychiatry, 71(5), 547-556. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.4546
7) Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y. Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20254-20259. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1112029108
8) Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2003). The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84(4), 822-848. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822
9) Carmody, J., & Baer, R. A. (2008). Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 31(1), 23-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-007-9130-7
10) Carmody, J., & Baer, R. A. (2009). How long does a mindfulness-based stress reduction program need to be? Journal of Clinical Psychology, 65(6), 627-638. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20555
11) Chambers, R., Gullone, E., & Allen, N. B. (2009). Mindful emotion regulation: An integrative review. Clinical Psychology Review, 29(6), 560-572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.06.005
12) Crane, R. S., Kuyken, W., Williams, J. M. G., Hastings, R. P., Cooper, L., & Fennell, M. J. V. (2012). Competence in teaching mindfulness-based courses. Mindfulness, 3(1), 76-84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-011-0073-2
13) Duncan, L. G., & Bardacke, N. (2010). Mindfulness-based childbirth and parenting education. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 19(2), 190-202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-009-9313-7
14) Dunning, D. L., Griffiths, K., Kuyken, W., Crane, C., Foulkes, L., Parker, J., & Dalgleish, T. (2019). The effects of mindfulness-based interventions on cognition and mental health in children and adolescents. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 60(3), 244-258. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12980
15) Dutton, M. A., Bermudez, D., Matas, A., Majid, H., & Myers, N. L. (2013). Mindfulness-based stress reduction for low-income, predominantly African American women with PTSD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 20(1), 23-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2011.08.003
16) Farb, N. A. S., Segal, Z. V., Mayberg, H., Bean, J., McKeon, D., Fatima, Z., & Anderson, A. K. (2007). Attending to the present: Mindfulness meditation reveals distinct neural modes of self-reference. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 2(4), 313-322. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsm030

17) Garland, E. L., Gaylord, S. A., & Park, J. (2009). The role of mindfulness in positive reappraisal. Explore, 5(1), 37-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2008.10.001
18) Goldberg, S. B., Tucker, R. P., Greene, P. A., Davidson, R. J., Wampold, B. E., Kearney, D. J., & Simpson, T. L. (2018). Mindfulness-based interventions for psychiatric disorders. Clinical Psychology Review, 59, 52-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.10.011
19) Goldin, P. R., & Gross, J. J. (2010). Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on emotion regulation in social anxiety disorder. Emotion, 10(1), 83-91. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018441
20) Greenberg, J., Reiner, K., & Meiran, N. (2012). Mind the trap: Mindfulness practice reduces cognitive rigidity. PLoS ONE, 7(5), e36206. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036206
21) Greeson, J. M., Juberg, M. K., Maytan, M., James, K., & Rogers, H. (2014). A randomized controlled trial of Koru: A mindfulness program for emerging adults. Journal of American College Health, 62(4), 222-233. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2014.887571
22) Hayes, S. C., Luoma, J. B., Bond, F. W., Masuda, A., & Lillis, J. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Model, processes and outcomes. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 44(1), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2005.06.006
23) Hill, C. L. M., & Updegraff, J. A. (2012). Mindfulness and its relationship to emotional regulation. Emotion, 12(1), 81-90. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026355
24) Hilton, L., Hempel, S., Ewing, B. A., Apaydin, E., Xenakis, L., Newberry, S., Colaiaco, B., Maher, A. R., Shanman, R. M., Sorbero, M. E., & Maglione, M. A. (2017). Mindfulness meditation for chronic pain. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 51(2), 199-213. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-016-9844-2
25) Hofmann, S. G., Sawyer, A. T., Witt, A. A., & Oh, D. (2010). The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on anxiety and depression: A meta-analytic review. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78(2), 169-183. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018555
26) Hoge, E. A., Bui, E., Marques, L., Metcalf, C. A., Morris, L. K., Robinaugh, D. J., Worthington, J. J., Pollack, M. H., & Simon, N. M. (2013). Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness meditation for generalized anxiety disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 74(8), 786-792. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.12m08083
27) Hollis-Walker, L., & Colosimo, K. (2011). Mindfulness, self-compassion, and happiness in non-meditators. Personality and Individual Differences, 50(2), 222-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.09.033
28) Holzel, B. K., Carmody, J., Vangel, M., Congleton, C., Yerramsetti, S. M., Gard, T., & Lazar, S. W. (2011). Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 191(1), 36-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.006
29) Jha, A. P., Krompinger, J., & Baime, M. J. (2007). Mindfulness training modifies subsystems of attention. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 7(2), 109-119. https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.7.2.109
30) Kabat-Zinn, J. (1982). An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients based on mindfulness meditation. General Hospital Psychiatry, 4(1), 33-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-8343(82)90026-3
31) Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness. Delacorte Press.
32) Kabat-Zinn, J. (1994). Wherever you go, there you are: Mindfulness meditation in everyday life. Hyperion.
33) Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice, 10(2), 144-156. https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg016
34) Kazdin, A. E., & Blase, S. L. (2011). Rebooting psychotherapy research and practice to reduce the burden of mental illness. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6(1), 21-37. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691610393527
35) Keng, S. L., Smoski, M. J., & Robins, C. J. (2011). Effects of mindfulness on psychological health: A review of empirical studies. Clinical Psychology Review, 31(6), 1041-1056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2011.04.006
36) Khoury, B., Lecomte, T., Fortin, G., Masse, M., Therien, P., Bouchard, V., Chapleau, M. A., Paquin, K., & Hofmann, S. G. (2013). Mindfulness-based therapy: A comprehensive meta-analysis. Clinical Psychology Review, 33(6), 763-771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2013.05.005
37) Kristeller, J. L., & Wolever, R. Q. (2011). Mindfulness-based eating awareness training for treating binge eating disorder. Eating Disorders, 19(1), 49-61. https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2011.533605
38) Kuyken, W., Warren, F. C., Taylor, R. S., Whalley, B., Crane, C., Bondolfi, G., Hayes, R., Huijbers, M., Ma, H., Schweizer, S., Segal, Z., Speckens, A., Teasdale, J. D., Van Heeringen, K., Williams, M., Byford, S., Byng, R., & Dalgleish, T. (2016). Efficacy of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in prevention of depressive relapse: An individual patient data meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry, 73(6), 565-574. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0076
39) Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Wasserman, R. H., Gray, J. R., Greve, D. N., Treadway, M. T., McGarvey, M., Quinn, B. T., Dusek, J. A., Benson, H., Rauch, S. L., Moore, C. I., & Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. NeuroReport, 16(17), 1893-1897. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.wnr.0000186598.66243.19

40) Lutz, A., Slagter, H. A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12(4), 163-169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.01.005
41) Moore, A., & Malinowski, P. (2009). Meditation, mindfulness and cognitive flexibility. Consciousness and Cognition, 18(1), 176-186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2008.12.008
42) Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. Self and Identity, 2(3), 223-250. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309027
43) Neff, K. D., & Germer, C. K. (2013). A pilot study and randomized controlled trial of the mindful self-compassion program. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 69(1), 28-44. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21923
44) Ong, J. C., Manber, R., Segal, Z., Xia, Y., Shapiro, S., & Wyatt, J. K. (2014). A randomized controlled trial of mindfulness meditation for chronic insomnia. Sleep, 37(9), 1553-1563. https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.4010
45) Pascoe, M. C., Thompson, D. R., Jenkins, Z. M., & Ski, C. F. (2017). Mindfulness mediates the physiological markers of stress. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 95, 156-178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.08.004
46) Piet, J., Wurtzen, H., & Zachariae, R. (2012). The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on symptoms of anxiety and depression in adult cancer patients. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 80(6), 1007-1020. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028329
47) Purser, R. E., & Loy, D. (2013). Beyond McMindfulness. Huffington Post. [Commentary; cited for conceptual critique only]
48) Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., & Teasdale, J. D. (2002). Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression: A new approach to preventing relapse. Guilford Press.
49) Shapiro, S. L., Carlson, L. E., Astin, J. A., & Freedman, B. (2006). Mechanisms of mindfulness. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 62(3), 373-386. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20237
50) Spijkerman, M. P. J., Pots, W. T. M., & Bohlmeijer, E. T. (2016). Effectiveness of online mindfulness-based interventions in improving mental health. Clinical Psychology Review, 45, 102-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2016.03.009
51) Tang, Y. Y., Ma, Y., Wang, J., Fan, Y., Feng, S., Lu, Q., Yu, Q., Sui, D., Rothbart, M. K., Fan, M., & Posner, M. I. (2007). Short-term meditation training improves attention and self-regulation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(43), 17152-17156. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707678104
52) Tang, Y. Y., Lu, Q., Geng, X., Stein, E. A., Yang, Y., & Posner, M. I. (2010). Short-term meditation induces white matter changes in the anterior cingulate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(35), 15649-15652. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011043107
53) Tang, Y. Y., Holzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16(4), 213-225. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3916
54) Teasdale, J. D., Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., Ridgeway, V. A., Soulsby, J. M., & Lau, M. A. (2000). Prevention of relapse/recurrence in major depression by mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 68(4), 615-623. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.68.4.615
55) Teasdale, J. D., Moore, R. G., Hayhurst, H., Pope, M., Williams, S., & Segal, Z. V. (2002). Metacognitive awareness and prevention of relapse in depression. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 70(2), 275-287. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.70.2.275
56) Van Dam, N. T., van Vugt, M. K., Vago, D. R., Schmalzl, L., Saron, C. D., Olendzki, A., Meissner, T., Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Gorchov, J., Fox, K. C. R., Field, B. A., Britton, W. B., Brefczynski-Lewis, J. A., & Meyer, D. E. (2018). Mind the hype: A critical evaluation and prescriptive agenda for research on mindfulness and meditation. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 13(1), 36-61. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617709589
57) Wang, J., Wei, L., Zhu, L., & Schroevers, M. (2022). Profiles of mindfulness in cancer patients and associations with psychological outcomes. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 78(12), 2470-2483. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23346
58) World Health Organization. (2017). Depression and other common mental disorders: Global health estimates. World Health Organization.
59) Xu, T., Zhu, P., Ji, Q., Wang, W., Qian, M., & Shi, G. (2023). Psychological distress and academic self-efficacy of nursing undergraduates during COVID-19. BMC Medical Education, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04288-z
60) Yosep, I., Mardhiyah, A., & Sriati, A. (2023). Mindfulness intervention for improving psychological wellbeing among students during COVID-19. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, 16, 1425-1437. https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s411849
61) Zhang, J., Ji, X., Meng, L., & Cai, Y. (2019). Effects of modified mindfulness-based stress reduction on adolescents with subthreshold depression. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 15, 2695-2704. https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.s216401
62) Zhou, D., Kang, Y., Cosme, D., Jovanova, M., He, X., Mahadevan, A. S., & Bassett, D. S. (2021). Mindfulness promotes control of brain network dynamics for self-regulation [Preprint]. https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/u83my