

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

Lazarus Bala Sabo¹, Kayode Asaju²

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences Taraba State University, Jalingo Taraba State. Nigeria

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Federal University, Wukari Taraba State. Nigeria.

ABSTRACT: Human capital development has increasingly become one of the most critical determinants of national development in the contemporary global political economy. Nations that have invested substantially in education, health, skills acquisition, technological innovation, and institutional capacity building have experienced remarkable socio-economic transformation and administrative efficiency. In Nigeria, however, the challenges of weak institutional capacity, poor educational funding, inadequate healthcare systems, unemployment, brain drain, corruption, policy inconsistency, and weak governance structures have continued to undermine the effective development and utilisation of human capital. This paper examines the nexus between human capital development and development administration in Nigeria. The study adopts a qualitative descriptive research design and is anchored on Human Capital Theory. The paper argues that sustainable national development cannot be achieved without strategic investment in human capital and effective administrative structures that translate development policies into measurable socio-economic outcomes. The study further reveals that despite numerous governmental programmes and reforms aimed at enhancing human capital development, the impact has remained limited due to poor implementation, corruption, inadequate funding, and institutional weaknesses. The paper concludes that strengthening development administration through accountability, institutional reforms, technological modernisation, educational transformation, healthcare revitalisation, and strategic manpower planning is essential for Nigeria's development trajectory. The paper recommends increased budgetary allocation to education and health sectors, strengthening of public institutions, policy continuity, anti-corruption reforms, promotion of vocational and technical education, and improved collaboration between government and private sector stakeholders.

KEYWORDS: Human Capital Development, Development Administration, Governance, Capacity Building, Public Administration, Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

The development of human capital has become one of the most significant determinants of national growth and sustainable socio-economic transformation across the globe. In contemporary development discourse, nations are increasingly evaluated not merely by their natural resources or geographical advantages, but by the quality of their human resources and the efficiency of their administrative institutions. Human capital development refers to the process of improving the knowledge, skills, competencies, health status, and productive capacities of individuals through investments in education, healthcare, training, and technological advancement (Schultz, 1961). It involves deliberate efforts to enhance the citizens' productive capabilities for national development. Development administration, on the other hand, represents the machinery and institutional framework established by governments to formulate and implement development-oriented policies and programmes. According to Riggs (1964), development administration focuses on administrative systems and processes specifically designed to facilitate socio-economic transformation in developing societies. It emphasises planning, implementation, institutional coordination, accountability, innovation, and effective public service delivery. In developing countries such as Nigeria, development administration plays a central role in translating public policies into practical outcomes that will improve the citizens' welfare.

Globally, countries such as Singapore, China, South Korea, and Malaysia have demonstrated that sustained investment in human capital development contributes significantly to economic growth, technological advancement, industrialisation, and institutional efficiency. These countries transformed their economies through deliberate policies targeted at education, manpower development, healthcare improvement, and administrative modernisation (Todaro & Smith, 2020). The rapid growth experienced in these countries illustrates the strategic importance of human capital in national development.

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

In Africa, however, the challenge of weak human capital development remains a major impediment to sustainable development. Several African states continue to experience low literacy levels, inadequate healthcare systems, high unemployment, poverty, poor technological capacity, and institutional inefficiency. Nigeria, despite being richly endowed with human and natural resources, continues to face significant developmental challenges arising from inadequate investment in human capital and ineffective administrative structures. The country's developmental efforts have been undermined by corruption, policy inconsistency, political instability, insecurity, poor educational infrastructure, and weak governance systems.

Since independence in 1960, successive governments in Nigeria have introduced various policies and programmes aimed at promoting human capital development and enhancing development administration. These include the Universal Primary Education (UPE) programme, Universal Basic Education (UBE), National Directorate of Employment (NDE), National Poverty Eradication Programme (NAPEP), National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), Vision 20:2020, Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP), and several reforms in the education and health sectors. Despite these initiatives, Nigeria continues to rank low on major human development indicators.

The educational sector in Nigeria has continued to suffer from inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, incessant industrial actions, a shortage of qualified personnel, examination malpractice, and declining academic standards. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO, 2022), Nigeria still has one of the highest numbers of out-of-school children globally. Similarly, the healthcare sector is characterised by inadequate medical facilities, a shortage of healthcare professionals, medical tourism, and poor access to quality healthcare services.

The implications of weak human capital development on development administration are enormous. Poorly trained personnel, institutional inefficiency, corruption, weak policy implementation, and inadequate technical expertise continue to undermine administrative effectiveness in Nigeria. Development administration requires competent human resources for the planning, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating of public policies effectively. Without adequate human capital development, development administration becomes weak, inefficient, and incapable of driving sustainable national transformation.

Furthermore, globalisation and technological advancement have intensified the need for highly skilled manpower and responsive public institutions. Nations that fail to invest adequately in human capital risk economic stagnation, political instability, and declining competitiveness in the global economy. Nigeria's aspiration for sustainable development, industrialisation, and effective governance, therefore, depends largely on the quality of its human capital and the efficiency of its development administration structures.

The above informs the backdrop of this study, which examines the dynamics of human capital development and development administration in Nigeria. The paper seeks to critically analyse the challenges confronting human capital development, evaluate the effectiveness of development administration, and explore strategies for enhancing sustainable national development through effective human capital investment and administrative reforms.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Human capital development remains a fundamental prerequisite for sustainable national development and effective public administration globally. Countries that have achieved significant economic growth and administrative efficiency have done so largely through sustained investment in education, healthcare, technology, research, manpower development, and institutional strengthening. Despite Nigeria's abundant human and natural resources, the country continues to experience persistent developmental challenges arising from weak human capital development and ineffective development administration.

One of the major problems confronting Nigeria is the poor state of the educational sector. Inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, shortage of qualified teachers, incessant industrial disputes, and declining educational standards have continued to undermine the quality of manpower produced by Nigerian institutions. Consequently, many graduates lack the requisite skills and competencies required for effective participation in national development and public administration.

Similarly, the healthcare sector in Nigeria faces serious challenges, including inadequate medical facilities, poor healthcare financing, a shortage of medical personnel, and increasing medical brain drain. The migration of skilled professionals from Nigeria to developed countries has further weakened the country's human capital base and reduced institutional efficiency. This situation negatively affects productivity, governance, and socio-economic development. Another critical problem is the inability of development administration structures to formulate and implement development-oriented policies effectively. Corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, political interference, weak institutional capacity, and poor policy continuity have significantly undermined public sector performance in Nigeria. Many development programmes fail due to inadequate planning, poor implementation, and a lack of accountability.

The high rate of unemployment, poverty, insecurity, and youth restiveness in Nigeria also reflects the failure of human capital development policies and administrative institutions. Many Nigerian youths remain unemployed or underemployed despite possessing formal educational qualifications. This situation contributes to rising criminality, social instability, and economic hardship. Furthermore, inadequate investment in science, technology, vocational education, and innovation has limited Nigeria's

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

capacity for industrialisation and technological advancement. The country continues to rely heavily on imported technologies and foreign expertise, thereby limiting domestic productivity and economic diversification.

Although several studies (Asaju, 2014; Asaju, 2016, Abdullahi, 2026) have examined human capital development and public administration in Nigeria, there is a significant gap in understanding the interrelationship between human capital development and development administration as complementary mechanisms for sustainable national development. This study, therefore, seeks to bridge this gap by critically examining how human capital development influences development administration in Nigeria and identifying strategies to improve both sectors.

1.3 Research Questions

The study seeks to provide answers to the following questions:

- i. What are the dynamics of human capital development in Nigeria?
- ii. How are the effects of the dynamics of human capital development on development administration in Nigeria?
- iii. What are the major challenges confronting human capital development in Nigeria?
- iv. What measures can be adopted to strengthen human capital development and development administration in Nigeria?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The broad objective of the study is to examine human capital development and development administration in Nigeria. The specific objectives are to:

- i. Examine the dynamics of human capital development in Nigeria
- ii. Examine the effects of the dynamics of human capital development on development administration in Nigeria.
- iii. Examine the challenges confronting human capital development in Nigeria.
- iv. Proffer strategies for strengthening human capital development and development administration in Nigeria.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Conceptual Clarifications

Human Capital Development

Human capital development refers to the process of improving the quality, knowledge, competencies, productivity, and capabilities of individuals through investments in education, training, healthcare, and skill acquisition. The concept gained prominence through the works of economists such as Theodore Shultz and Gary Becker, who argued that expenditure on education and health should be viewed as investment rather than consumption (Becker, 1964).

Human capital development

It involves equipping individuals with the necessary skills and knowledge required for productive participation in economic, political, and social activities. According to Harbison (1973), human capital development entails increasing the knowledge, skills, and capacities of people in a society for national development. The concept also encompasses intellectual development, innovation, entrepreneurship, leadership capacity, and technological advancement. Effective human capital development improves labour productivity, institutional efficiency, economic growth, and social stability. In the Nigerian context, human capital development has become increasingly important due to the growing population, unemployment challenges, technological changes, and developmental aspirations. The quality of human resources available in a country determines its administrative efficiency, economic productivity, and global competitiveness.

Development Administration

Development administration refers to the application of administrative principles and practices to achieve developmental goals and socio-economic transformation. It emerged as a specialised field of public administration after the Second World War, when newly independent states sought administrative systems capable of facilitating rapid development. Riggs (1964) describes development administration as an administrative system oriented toward achieving developmental objectives through planned change. It involves policy formulation, implementation, coordination, monitoring, and evaluation of development programmes.

Development administration emphasises innovation, responsiveness, efficiency, accountability, public participation, and institutional effectiveness. It differs from traditional administration because it focuses specifically on promoting social and economic development rather than routine bureaucratic activities. In developing countries such as Nigeria, development administration plays a critical role in poverty reduction, infrastructure development, employment generation, healthcare delivery, educational improvement, and institutional reforms.

2.2 Relationship between Human Capital Development and Development Administration

Human capital development and development administration are closely interconnected. Effective development administration depends largely on the availability of competent, skilled, and knowledgeable personnel capable of implementing public policies efficiently. Without adequate human capital, administrative institutions become weak, inefficient, and incapable of delivering developmental outcomes. Human capital development enhances administrative efficiency by improving the skills, competencies,

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

and productivity of public servants. Education and training equip administrators with technical expertise, leadership abilities, problem-solving skills, and policy implementation capacity.

Similarly, effective development administration creates enabling conditions for human capital development through sound policies, institutional reforms, adequate funding, and efficient service delivery. Administrative institutions are responsible for implementing educational, healthcare, employment, and social welfare programmes. The relationship between the two concepts is therefore reciprocal and complementary. Sustainable national development requires both effective human capital development and efficient development administration.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

Human Capital Theory

This study is anchored on Human Capital Theory as propounded by Theodore Shultz (1961) and further developed by Gary Becker (1964). The theory emphasises the importance of investment in education, healthcare, training, and skills acquisition as essential drivers of economic growth and national development. Human Capital Theory argues that individuals possess skills, knowledge, competencies, and abilities that can be developed through deliberate investment. Such investments increase productivity, efficiency, innovation, and income generation. According to Schultz (1961), expenditures on education and health are productive investments that contribute significantly to economic growth. Becker (1964) further argued that human capital development enhances labour productivity and institutional performance. The theory is relevant to this study because it explains the importance of investing in human resources for effective development administration and sustainable national development. It highlights the need for Nigeria to prioritise education, healthcare, technical training, and manpower development.

Assumptions of Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory is based on several assumptions. First, the theory assumes that education and training improve individual productivity and efficiency. Second, it assumes that investment in human resources contributes to economic growth and national development. Third, the theory assumes that skilled and educated individuals are more capable of innovation and problem-solving. Fourth, it assumes that healthcare improvement enhances labour productivity and life expectancy.

Strengths of Human Capital Theory

One major strength of the theory is that it highlights the importance of education and training in national development. The theory also explains the relationship between human resource development and economic productivity. Furthermore, it provides a framework for understanding the role of manpower development in institutional efficiency and administrative effectiveness.

Relevance of the Theory to the Study

The theory is relevant because it explains why investment in education, healthcare, and manpower development is essential for effective development administration in Nigeria. It provides a framework for analysing how weak human capital development undermines administrative efficiency and national development.

METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a qualitative and descriptive research design. Data for the study are obtained from secondary sources, including textbooks, journal articles, government publications, policy documents, and conference papers, reports from international organisations, newspapers, and internet materials. Content analysis is employed in analysing the collected data.

HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA

3.1 Overview of Human Capital Development in Nigeria

Human capital development in Nigeria has evolved through various phases since independence. Successive governments have recognised the importance of education, healthcare, manpower development, and technical training in promoting national development. However, the implementation of policies and programmes has often been undermined by inadequate funding, corruption, political instability, and institutional weaknesses. Nigeria's human capital development indicators remain relatively low compared to many developing countries. The country faces challenges such as high illiteracy rates, unemployment, poor healthcare delivery, poverty, and low technological capacity.

3.2 Education and Human Capital Development

Education remains one of the most important instruments for human capital development. It equips individuals with the knowledge, skills, values, and competencies required for productive participation in society. In Nigeria, the educational sector has experienced significant expansion since independence. Government policies such as the Universal Primary Education (UPE) programme introduced in 1976 and the Universal Basic Education (UBE) Programme introduced in 1999 were aimed at increasing access to education.

Despite these efforts, the sector continues to face major challenges, including inadequate funding, a shortage of qualified teachers, poor infrastructure, examination malpractice, overcrowded classrooms, and declining academic standards. Many public schools lack basic facilities such as laboratories, libraries, and instructional materials. The frequent industrial actions by academic unions have

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

also negatively affected educational development in Nigeria. University strikes disrupt academic calendars and reduce the quality of graduates produced by Nigerian institutions. Technical and vocational education, which is essential for industrialisation and employment generation, has also received inadequate attention. Consequently, many graduates lack the practical skills required in the labour market.

3.3 Healthcare and Human Capital Development

Healthcare is another critical component of human capital development. A healthy population contributes significantly to productivity, economic growth, and national development. Nigeria's healthcare sector faces numerous challenges, including inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, a shortage of medical personnel, corruption, and weak healthcare policies. Many Nigerians lack access to quality healthcare services, particularly in rural areas. The migration of medical professionals to developed countries has further weakened the healthcare system. Medical brain drain has reduced the availability of skilled healthcare personnel in Nigeria. Poor healthcare delivery contributes to high mortality rates, low life expectancy, and reduced productivity. Infectious diseases, malnutrition, maternal mortality, and inadequate healthcare facilities remain major concerns.

3.4 Employment and Skills Development

Employment generation and skills acquisition are essential aspects of human capital development. Nigeria faces high rates of unemployment and underemployment, particularly among youths. The inability of the economy to absorb the growing labour force has contributed to poverty, insecurity, and social instability. Many graduates remain unemployed despite possessing formal educational qualifications. Government programmes such as the National Directorate of Employment (NDE), N-Power, and various entrepreneurship initiatives were introduced to address unemployment and promote skills acquisition. However, the impact of these programmes has been limited due to poor implementation and inadequate funding.

3.5 Challenges of Human Capital Development in Nigeria

One of the major challenges of human capital development in Nigeria is the poor state of the educational sector, which has continued to hinder the acquisition of relevant knowledge and skills needed for national development. The education system in Nigeria faces numerous problems, such as inadequate funding, poor infrastructure, a shortage of qualified teachers, overcrowded classrooms, and frequent industrial strikes by academic unions. These challenges have negatively affected the quality of education at all levels and reduced the capacity of educational institutions to produce competent and skilled manpower. In many rural communities, access to quality education remains limited due to poverty, insecurity, and lack of educational facilities. Consequently, many young Nigerians are unable to acquire the technical, vocational, and entrepreneurial skills necessary for productive employment and economic participation. This situation contributes to rising unemployment, low productivity, and dependence on foreign expertise, thereby slowing the pace of national development (Todaro & Smith, 2020; Okeke, 2014).

Another significant challenge confronting human capital development in Nigeria is the inadequacy of the healthcare system and poor investment in human welfare. A healthy population is essential for effective productivity and sustainable economic growth; however, the Nigerian health sector continues to experience inadequate funding, a shortage of medical personnel, poor healthcare infrastructure, and limited access to quality medical services. Many hospitals and primary healthcare centres lack modern equipment, drugs, and trained professionals, especially in rural areas. In addition, high rates of poverty, malnutrition, unemployment, and disease outbreaks have negatively affected the well-being and productivity of the population. The migration of skilled professionals, particularly doctors, nurses, and academics, to developed countries in search of better opportunities is commonly referred to as "brain drain", which has further weakened Nigeria's human capital base. As a result, the country continues to face difficulties in developing a productive workforce capable of driving sustainable development (UNDP, 2021; Adebayo, 2018).

Furthermore, corruption, policy inconsistency, and insecurity constitute serious obstacles to human capital development in Nigeria. Corruption has led to the diversion of public resources meant for education, healthcare, youth empowerment, and skills acquisition programmes, thereby limiting the effectiveness of government developmental efforts. Frequent changes in government policies and poor implementation strategies have also hindered continuity in human capital development programmes. Many initiatives introduced by one administration are often abandoned by subsequent governments, resulting in a waste of resources and limited developmental impact. Additionally, insecurity arising from terrorism, banditry, kidnapping, and communal conflicts has disrupted educational and economic activities in several parts of the country. Schools have been destroyed or shut down in conflict-prone areas, while many citizens have been displaced from their communities. These challenges create an unstable environment that discourages investment in education and skills development, thereby undermining Nigeria's efforts toward building a competent and productive human capital base (Ake, 1996; Ezeani, 2012).

DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION IN NIGERIA

4.1 Nature of Development Administration in Nigeria

Development administration in Nigeria is characterised by its goal-oriented and change-driven nature, as it is primarily designed to promote socio-economic transformation and national development. Unlike traditional public administration, which focuses mainly on maintaining law and order, development administration emphasises planned change, modernisation, and the improvement of

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

citizens' welfare through effective policy implementation. In Nigeria, development administration emerged prominently after independence when the government sought to address challenges such as poverty, illiteracy, unemployment, and inadequate infrastructure. Consequently, public institutions and administrative agencies were structured to formulate and implement developmental policies aimed at improving the economic and social conditions of the population. The nature of development administration in Nigeria is therefore closely linked to national planning, public sector reforms, rural development, and the mobilisation of resources for sustainable development. Through various ministries, commissions, and government agencies, the administrative system seeks to facilitate national growth and improve the quality of life of citizens (Adamolekun, 2002; Adebayo, 2018).

Another important feature of development administration in Nigeria is its participatory, people-oriented approach to governance and development. Development administration recognises that meaningful development cannot be achieved without the active involvement of citizens in decision-making and policy implementation processes. As a result, the Nigerian government has introduced various community-based and grassroots development programmes aimed at encouraging public participation and empowering local communities. Programmes relating to poverty alleviation, youth empowerment, rural development, education, and healthcare are often designed to address the specific needs of the people. Furthermore, development administration in Nigeria involves collaboration between government institutions, private organisations, non-governmental organisations, and international development partners in order to achieve developmental goals. However, despite its people-oriented nature, the effectiveness of development administration has been weakened by corruption, bureaucratic inefficiency, political instability, and inadequate citizen participation in governance processes (Ezeani, 2012; Lawal & Oluwatoyin, 2011).

Furthermore, development administration in Nigeria is characterised by its dynamic and reform-oriented structure, which continuously adapts to changing socio-economic and political conditions. The Nigerian administrative system has undergone several reforms aimed at improving efficiency, accountability, transparency, and service delivery in the public sector. Examples include civil service reforms, decentralisation policies, digital governance initiatives, and public sector modernisation programmes intended to strengthen institutional capacity and promote effective administration. The nature of development administration also reflects Nigeria's dependence on planning and policy coordination to achieve national objectives such as economic diversification, human capital development, and sustainable development. In addition, development administration in Nigeria operates within a multi-ethnic and federal political environment, where administrative decisions must accommodate diverse cultural, regional, and political interests. This complexity often influences policy implementation and resource distribution across the country. Nevertheless, development administration remains a critical instrument for achieving national integration, economic growth, and sustainable socio-political development in Nigeria (Riggs, 1964; Todaro & Smith, 2020).

Additionally, development administration in Nigeria involves the formulation and implementation of policies aimed at promoting socio-economic transformation and improving citizens' welfare. Since independence, successive governments have introduced various development plans and programmes. These include the First National Development Plan (1962–1968), Second National Development Plan (1970–1974), Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP), Vision 20:2020, National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), and Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) (Asaju & Ashepo, 2021). The objective of these programmes was to promote economic growth, poverty reduction, industrialisation, employment generation, and infrastructural development.

4.2 Role of Development Administration in Human Capital Development

Development administration plays a pivotal role in promoting human capital development in Nigeria through the formulation and implementation of policies aimed at improving education, healthcare, skills acquisition, and overall human welfare. Human capital development refers to the process of enhancing the knowledge, skills, competencies, and productivity of individuals for national growth and development. In Nigeria, development administration serves as a mechanism through which government institutions coordinate developmental programmes designed to empower citizens and improve living standards. Through ministries, agencies, and public institutions, the government initiates policies such as the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme, vocational training schemes, and healthcare reforms to strengthen the productive capacities of the population. These initiatives are essential because a well-developed human capital base contributes significantly to economic growth, political stability, and sustainable national development (Adebayo, 2018; Todaro & Smith, 2020).

Furthermore, development administration facilitates the provision of social services and infrastructural support necessary for human capital advancement in Nigeria. Public administrators are responsible for implementing educational reforms, manpower development programmes, poverty alleviation initiatives, and youth empowerment schemes that directly improve the quality of human resources in the country. For instance, programmes such as the National Directorate of Employment (NDE), N-Power Scheme, and the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFund) were established to promote capacity building, reduce unemployment, and improve access to quality education and technical skills. Through effective planning, coordination, supervision, and monitoring of these programmes, development administration ensures that developmental objectives are translated into practical outcomes that benefit citizens. However, challenges such as corruption, inadequate funding, policy inconsistency, and bureaucratic inefficiency

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

have continued to hinder the effective realisation of human capital development goals in Nigeria (Ezeani, 2012; Lawal & Oluwatoyin, 2011).

In addition, development administration contributes to human capital development by encouraging institutional reforms, public sector efficiency, and participatory governance. Effective administrative structures help in mobilising resources, promoting accountability, and creating opportunities for citizens to participate in developmental processes. In Nigeria, reforms in the education and health sectors, digital literacy initiatives, and entrepreneurship development programmes have been introduced to prepare citizens for global competitiveness and technological advancement. Development administration also supports collaboration between the government, private sector organisations, and international development partners in addressing issues relating to unemployment, illiteracy, and poor healthcare delivery. Despite existing challenges, the continuous strengthening of administrative institutions and commitment to good governance remain critical for achieving sustainable human capital development in Nigeria. Therefore, development administration remains an indispensable instrument for enhancing human capacity, national productivity, and socio-economic transformation in the country (Adamolekun, 2002; UNDP, 2021).

4.3 Challenges of Development Administration in Nigeria

One of the major challenges confronting development administration in Nigeria is corruption and poor governance, which have significantly undermined the implementation of developmental policies and programmes. Corruption within public institutions often leads to the diversion and mismanagement of public funds meant for developmental projects such as education, healthcare, infrastructure, and poverty alleviation. As a result, many government programmes fail to achieve their intended objectives, thereby slowing socio-economic development and weakening public trust in government institutions. In addition, political interference, lack of transparency, and weak accountability mechanisms have contributed to inefficiency in the public sector. Frequent cases of embezzlement, contract inflation, and favouritism have negatively affected the capacity of development administration to deliver quality services to citizens. Consequently, the inability of administrative institutions to effectively combat corruption continues to hinder sustainable national development in Nigeria (Achebe, 1983; Agagu, 2004).

Another significant challenge facing development administration in Nigeria is inadequate funding and poor policy implementation. Many developmental programmes are poorly financed, making it difficult for government agencies to execute projects effectively and achieve long-term developmental goals. In most cases, budgetary allocations to critical sectors such as education, health, agriculture, and infrastructure remain insufficient when compared to the growing needs of the population. Furthermore, policy inconsistency and frequent changes in government priorities often disrupt continuity in developmental programmes. Successive administrations tend to abandon projects initiated by previous governments, resulting in waste of resources and incomplete projects across the country. Bureaucratic bottlenecks, lack of qualified personnel, and weak monitoring and evaluation systems also contribute to ineffective policy implementation. These challenges have limited the ability of development administration to promote rapid socio-economic transformation and improve the welfare of citizens (Ezeani, 2012; Lawal & Oluwatoyin, 2011).

Furthermore, development administration in Nigeria is confronted with challenges arising from insecurity, ethnic divisions, and weak institutional capacity. Persistent insecurity, such as terrorism, banditry, kidnapping, and communal conflicts, has negatively affected developmental activities in many parts of the country. These security challenges discourage investment, destroy infrastructure, displace populations, and divert government attention and resources away from development initiatives toward security management. Ethnic and political sentiments also affect administrative decisions, recruitment processes, and resource allocation, thereby reducing merit and efficiency in public administration. In addition, many public institutions suffer from inadequate manpower training, obsolete administrative procedures, and limited technological advancement, which reduce their effectiveness in responding to contemporary developmental demands. The absence of strong and independent institutions capable of ensuring accountability and efficient service delivery further weakens development administration in Nigeria. Therefore, addressing these challenges through institutional reforms, good governance, and effective leadership is essential for achieving sustainable development in the country (Adamolekun, 2002; Ake, 1996).

4.4 Impact of Weak Human Capital Development on Development Administration

Weak human capital development has significantly undermined the effectiveness of development administration in Nigeria by reducing the quality and productivity of the workforce responsible for implementing government policies and developmental programmes. Human capital development involves the acquisition of education, technical skills, healthcare, and professional competencies necessary for efficient service delivery and national development. However, the persistent decline in educational standards, inadequate manpower training, and poor healthcare systems in Nigeria have weakened the capacity of public institutions to function effectively. Many government agencies suffer from shortages of skilled personnel, low professional competence, and inadequate technical expertise, which negatively affect policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. Consequently, development administration is often characterised by inefficiency, poor service delivery, and inability to achieve developmental objectives effectively (Todaro & Smith, 2020; Ezeani, 2012).

Another major impact of weak human capital development on development administration in Nigeria is the increase in unemployment, poverty, and social dependency, which places enormous pressure on administrative institutions. A poorly developed

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

human capital base limits citizens' access to quality education, vocational training, and employment opportunities, thereby contributing to rising rates of youth unemployment and underemployment. As unemployment and poverty increase, the government is forced to devote more administrative resources toward poverty alleviation programmes, social welfare interventions, and crisis management instead of long-term developmental planning. In addition, widespread poverty and lack of opportunities often encourage criminal activities such as kidnapping, cybercrime, banditry, and corruption, which further complicate administrative processes and weaken governance structures. The inability of development administration to effectively manage these socio-economic challenges reduces public confidence in government institutions and slows national development (Ake, 1996; Lawal & Oluwatoyin, 2011).

Furthermore, weak human capital development contributes to corruption, low productivity, and bureaucratic inefficiency within the Nigerian public service. Inadequate education and poor professional training often result in a lack of innovation, weak administrative skills, and poor decision-making among public officials. This situation affects transparency, accountability, and effective management of public resources. In many cases, unqualified personnel are recruited into public offices due to political patronage, nepotism, and favouritism rather than merit and competence. Such practices reduce efficiency in policy execution and encourage mediocrity in public administration. Moreover, poor remuneration, inadequate training opportunities, and limited career development programmes discourage workers' commitment and productivity within government institutions. As a result, development projects are often delayed, poorly executed, or abandoned entirely, thereby undermining the goals of development administration in Nigeria (Adamolekun, 2002; Agagu, 2004).

In addition, weak human capital development negatively affects Nigeria's capacity for innovation, technological advancement, and sustainable economic growth, all of which are essential for effective development administration. In the contemporary global economy, development administration requires highly skilled professionals capable of utilising modern technology, data management systems, and innovative strategies to address developmental challenges. However, Nigeria's inadequate investment in education, research, science, and technology has limited the country's ability to compete globally and modernise its administrative structures. The persistent migration of skilled professionals, commonly referred to as "brain drain," has further depleted the country's pool of experienced manpower needed for efficient governance and development planning. Consequently, public institutions struggle to adapt to changing socio-economic realities and to implement policies that can drive sustainable development. Therefore, strengthening human capital development through quality education, healthcare improvement, manpower training, and institutional reforms remains essential for enhancing the effectiveness of development administration in Nigeria (UNDP, 2021; Adebayo, 2018).

4.5 Strategies for Strengthening Development Administration in Nigeria

One of the major strategies for strengthening development administration in Nigeria is the promotion of good governance, transparency, and accountability in public institutions. Effective development administration depends largely on the ability of government agencies to manage public resources efficiently and implement policies without corruption or political interference. Therefore, strengthening anti-corruption agencies, enforcing due process, and ensuring transparency in public financial management are essential for improving administrative performance. Government institutions should also adopt merit-based recruitment, promotion, and training systems to ensure that competent and qualified personnel occupy strategic administrative positions. In addition, public officials should be regularly trained on modern administrative techniques, leadership, and policy implementation strategies to improve efficiency and professionalism in the civil service. Through effective governance and accountability mechanisms, development administration can better achieve national development goals and improve public confidence in government institutions (Adamolekun, 2002; Ezeani, 2012).

Another important strategy for strengthening development administration in Nigeria is increased investment in human capital development and institutional capacity building. Human resources remain the foundation of effective administration and sustainable development; therefore, the government must prioritise quality education, healthcare, vocational training, and technological advancement. Adequate funding of educational institutions, continuous manpower development programmes, and improved working conditions for public servants are necessary for enhancing administrative competence and productivity. Furthermore, modern information and communication technologies should be integrated into public administration to improve service delivery, policy coordination, and data management. Digital governance initiatives such as e-governance, electronic record systems, and online public services can reduce bureaucratic delays and improve transparency and efficiency within government institutions. Strengthening institutional capacity through technological innovation and professional development will enable development administration to respond effectively to contemporary developmental challenges in Nigeria (Todaro & Smith, 2020; UNDP, 2021). Furthermore, strengthening development administration in Nigeria requires policy continuity, effective planning, and active citizen participation in governance processes. Frequent changes in government policies and the abandonment of developmental projects by successive administrations have weakened sustainable development efforts in the country. To address this problem, there should be long-term national development plans supported by strong legal and institutional frameworks that ensure continuity irrespective of changes in political leadership. In addition, the government should encourage participatory governance by involving citizens, civil

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

society organisations, private sector actors, and local communities in policy formulation and implementation. Public participation enhances accountability, promotes inclusiveness, and ensures that developmental programmes address the actual needs of the people. Decentralisation of administrative functions and strengthening local government institutions can also improve grassroots development and service delivery. Therefore, effective planning, institutional reforms, and inclusive governance remain critical strategies for strengthening development administration and achieving sustainable socio-economic transformation in Nigeria (Lawal & Oluwatoyin, 2011; Ake, 1996).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Human capital development remains a fundamental requirement for sustainable national development and effective development administration. Countries that prioritise investment in education, healthcare, technology, and manpower development are more likely to achieve economic growth, political stability, and institutional efficiency. In Nigeria, however, inadequate investment in human capital and weak development administration have continued to undermine socio-economic transformation. Corruption, policy inconsistency, poor governance, and institutional inefficiency have limited the effectiveness of government policies and programmes. The study concludes that sustainable development in Nigeria requires strategic investment in human capital and comprehensive reforms in development administration. Strengthening educational institutions, healthcare systems, public sector capacity, and governance structures is essential for achieving sustainable national development.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

1. The government should increase budgetary allocation to the education and healthcare sectors in line with international standards. Adequate funding will improve infrastructure, manpower development, research, and service delivery.
2. There should be comprehensive reforms in the public service aimed at promoting meritocracy, professionalism, accountability, and efficiency. Capacity building and continuous training programmes should be institutionalised.
3. The government should strengthen anti-corruption institutions and ensure strict enforcement of accountability mechanisms in public administration.
4. Technical and vocational education should be prioritised to promote skills acquisition, entrepreneurship, and industrial development.
5. Policies aimed at reducing brain drain should be introduced through improved remuneration, conducive working conditions, and career development opportunities for professionals.
6. The government should promote technological innovation and digital governance to enhance administrative efficiency and service delivery.
7. There should be effective policy continuity and long-term development planning to ensure sustainable implementation of development programmes.
8. Public-private partnerships should be encouraged in the areas of education, healthcare, infrastructure development, and manpower training.

REFERENCES

- 1) Achebe, C. (1983). *The Trouble with Nigeria*. Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishers.
- 2) Adamolekun, L. (2002). *Public Administration in Africa: Main Issues and Selected Country Studies*. Ibadan: Spectrum Books.
- 3) Adebayo, A. (2018). Human capital development and economic growth in developing countries. *Journal of Development Studies*, 12(3), 45–61.
- 4) Agagu, A. A. (2004). Continuity and change in local government administration and the politics of underdevelopment. In D. Agagu & R. Ola (Eds.), *Development Agenda of the Nigerian State*. Ibadan: Fiag Publishers.
- 5) Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.
- 6) Asaju, K. (2012). Human resource development and poverty reduction in Nigeria: A symbolic relationship. *J. Public Administration and Governance*, 2(4).
- 7) Asaju, K. & Ashepo, S.Y. (2021). The intricacies of globalisation and development administration in Nigeria. *Ilorin Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 23(1), 152-172
- 8) Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis with special reference to education*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- 9) Ezeani, E. O. (2012). *Fundamentals of Public Administration*. Enugu: Zik-Chuks Publishers.
- 10) Harbison, F. (1973). *Human resources are the wealth of nations*. London: Oxford University Press.

Human Capital Development and Development Administration in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects

- 11) Ibrahim, M. (2021). Human capital investment and governance outcomes in Africa. *African Journal of Public Administration*, 9(2), 102–118.
- 12) Lawal, T., & Oluwatoyin, A. (2011). National development in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and prospects. *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research*, 3(9), 237–241.
- 13) Lawal, T., & Oluwatoyin, A. (2011). National development in Nigeria: Issues, challenges and prospects. *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research*, 3(9), 237–241.
- 14) Okeke, B. S. (2014). *Teaching in Nigeria: The Bureaucracy and Professionalism*. Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishers.
- 15) Okeke, M., & Eme, O. (2020). Public sector efficiency and human capital development in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Public Administration*, 15(1), 67–84.
- 16) Riggs, F. W. (1964). *Administration in developing countries: The theory of prismatic society*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- 17) Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. *American Economic Review*, 51(1), 1–17.
- 18) Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2020). *Economic Development* (13th ed.). Pearson Education.
- 19) UNESCO. (2022). *Global education monitoring report*. Paris: UNESCO.
- 20) United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). *Human Development Report 2021/2022*. New York: UNDP.
- 21) Yusuf, A., & Ahmed, S. (2019). Human capital development and poverty reduction in Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(4), 88–104.



There is an Open Access article, distributed under the term of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non-Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits remixing, adapting and building upon the work for non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.