

Professional Development of English Language Teachers through Artificial Intelligence

P. Nirmal¹, Dr. K. Krishna Veni^{1*}, S. Jeyamalathi², P. Esther Remola³, S. Banupriya⁴

¹Research Scholar, Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India

^{1*}Associate Professor, Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Trichy, Tamil

²Research Scholar, Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India

³Research Scholar, Department of English, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of English, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education (Deemed to be University), Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT: This study examines the significance of professional development for teachers and explores the dimensions through which artificial intelligence (AI) reshapes that process. Through a conceptual review of AI-supported mentoring and coaching, teacher confidence, self-efficacy, self-direction, reflective practice, simulation-based skill development, and personalized learning, the paper shows that AI enables unrestricted, safe, and highly effective professional development for English language teachers. While conventional methods offered learning in isolated episodes disconnected from classroom reality, the approach discussed here treats professional development as an ongoing, context-sensitive process. The purpose of this study is thus to demonstrate that AI-powered professional development is highly effective and indispensable for advancing individual teachers.

KEYWORDS: Professional Development, English Language Teacher, Personalized Learning, Conceptual Methodology, Traditional Model

I. INTRODUCTION

Professional development is central to modern education, giving teachers fresh opportunities to grow and improve pedagogical practice, lesson planning, technological skill, and classroom management. AI has introduced new ways of building these skills, supporting not only teaching but also administrative work, and strengthening professionalism across every area where it is applied particularly in English language teaching, where methodology and technology are evolving rapidly. Traditional activities such as seminars, workshops, conferences, and training programs remain valuable and still bring improvement, but AI-driven learning goes further by offering immediate feedback that transforms teachers' development in real time. Where earlier classroom-based training offered limited engagement, AI now prompts teachers to build professional skills naturally, enabling them to evaluate educational materials and teaching methodologies more effectively (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017; Celik et al., 2022).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Personalized Professional Learning through AI

AI-assisted instruction elevates teacher professionalism and transforms the learning environment through teacher-centric, technology-equipped support. AI platforms analyse each teacher's needs, strengths, and weaknesses, then recommend learning resources and instructional strategies tailored to their professional role. While students already use AI tools readily, such personalization is equally valuable for teachers, sharpening pedagogical skill and guiding those interested in integrating technology. The AI system further sustains development through instant feedback, ready-made lesson plans, and suggested classroom activities for improvement and self-reflection helping English language teachers adapt to changing educational demands and strengthening their professional identity (Brandão et al., 2024; Zangana et al., 2026).

B. AI as a Reflective Practical Tool

The introduction of AI tools into pedagogical frameworks marks a revolutionary shift in reflective practice, since it generates rich, detailed data on teachers' professional behaviour. AI systems that record, transcribe, and archive classroom interaction give teachers a wealth of new opportunities for development, quietly rewriting the traditional PD formula. AI is valuable for PD not because it replaces human decision-making and institutional knowledge, but because it enables constantly personalized and adaptive

Professional Development of English Language Teachers through Artificial Intelligence

learning that was previously unavailable. Its real contribution lies in offering what conventional PD typically episodic workshops, appraisals, and trainings could never provide: development that is available at any time and sensitive to each teacher's ongoing activity (Chen et al., 2015; Jacobs et al., 2024; Sert, 2025).

C. Simulation-Based Skill Development for Teachers

Simulation-based training is an innovative AI-driven approach to teacher professional development. AI simulation software places teachers in varied classroom scenarios where they can practise instructional, management, and communication skills in a risk-free environment, improving professional competence without exposing real classrooms to consequence. In English Language Teaching, such simulations expose teachers to diverse teaching and learning environments, letting them experience class interactions, student reactions, and instructional problems that build their capacity for classroom decision-making (Dieker et al., 2015; Markel et al., 2023).

AI simulations also give teachers instant, analysable feedback on performance, so simulation-based PD builds instructional skill while promoting reflective, adaptive, lifelong learning. By supporting continuous improvement and innovation, AI-powered simulations play a crucial role in training English language teachers to meet current educational demands, helping them recognise strengths and weaknesses and receive personalized suggestions tailored to individual professional needs (Dieker et al., 2015; Markel et al., 2023).

D. AI Enabled Collaborative Professional Learning Communities

Teacher professional development has traditionally relied on quiet, private interaction among colleagues' staff-room comments, borrowed ideas, shared frustrations turned into cooperative solutions. AI now extends this collaboration beyond physical proximity and meeting schedules by filtering conversations, identifying common problems among teachers with similar profiles, and connecting peers by subject, student profile, or pedagogical interest. A teacher seeking ways to engage silent students in an English classroom, for instance, can be connected with others facing the same challenge across entirely different institutions connections that traditional networking could never create. AI also changes the pace of interaction within communities of practice: rather than being bound to academic calendars, teachers gain more time to reflect on their contributions, producing more productive professional dialogue than a single staff meeting allows. For an often-isolating profession, AI-formed communities of teachers facing similar disciplinary and student needs help partially restore a sense of collegiality (Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, 1998).

E. Data Literacy and Evidence-Based Decision-Making in Teaching Practice

Teachers have always generated evidence through teaching noticing when students grow silent at certain questions, or struggle to shift from receptive to productive language without always having the vocabulary or tools to examine it properly. AI's contribution is not introducing evidence into teaching, but making that evidence visible and actionable, a task that is harder than it first appears: test results, activity patterns, or written work mean little until interpreted in relation to a specific group of learners. AI systems now perform much of this interpretation, surfacing trends that might otherwise stay hidden within test data. By monitoring learner development across many data points, AI helps teachers notice patterns difficult to catch through observation alone — such as a learner excelling in written work but avoiding oral participation, or a grammatical construction that eludes an entire cohort (Lee & Lee, 2025; Wise & Jung, 2019; Koh et al., 2026).

F. AI and Teacher Confidence, Motivation, and Self-Efficacy

Confidence and competence are not always aligned: a teacher may possess strong classroom management and instructional skills yet lack confidence in their effectiveness, in giving feedback, or in keeping pace with a constantly changing field. This gap between competence and self-confidence has been largely overlooked by traditional PD, which focuses mainly on knowledge-building. Bandura's concept of self-efficacy an individual's belief in their capacity to achieve a desired outcome explains why teachers with low self-efficacy hesitate to innovate for fear of failure, regardless of their actual skill. This is where AI proves particularly valuable: because its evaluation is fact-based rather than opinion-based, and typically delivered privately rather than face-to-face, teachers perceive it as objective and non-stressful. This perception reduces defensiveness and increases openness to feedback rather than mere endurance of it. Teachers under pressure to adapt quickly to changing learner profiles are especially vulnerable to eroding confidence, making accessible, non-judgmental AI evaluation a valuable buffer against that erosion (Bandura, 1997; Celik et al., 2022).

G. Continuous and Self-Directed Professional Learning through AI

Professional development has traditionally revolved around discrete events Saturday workshops, annual conferences, in-service days built into the school calendar. This is reasonable but imposes an artificial rhythm onto a process that should be continuous, since the need for development arises whenever teaching happens, not only when a scheduled session occurs. AI can close this gap by delivering support the moment it is needed: when a teacher faces a specific problem and seeks a specific solution, the resulting learning is directly relevant, easier to apply, and easier to remember. AI-driven learning is thus need-based, delivered exactly when required and focused only on strategies directly linked to the teacher's situation. For English language teachers, who work in a constantly evolving field shaped by shifting student profiles and communication practices, this kind of adaptive, ongoing learning

Professional Development of English Language Teachers through Artificial Intelligence

is especially valuable continuous learning is not simply a benefit of AI but its defining essence (Zimmerman, 2008; Brandão et al., 2024).

H. AI-Driven Mentorship and Coaching Models

Mentoring has long been recognised as valuable in teacher training, yet providing it at scale remains difficult: teachers who find an informed, willing mentor progress far more effectively than those without one, but such relationships depend on the fragile condition of having access to a knowledgeable, available person. AI tools can mimic effective questioning strategies, offer alternative perspectives when an approach fails, or explain the reasoning behind an educational decision — functions a human mentor would normally provide face-to-face. A teacher can describe a classroom situation, a difficult student question, a lesson where engagement failed, or a management challenge, and receive guidance tailored to that specific case, an interaction closer to genuine coaching than simple resource provision, though it still lacks the depth of true human understanding. Current research increasingly distinguishes coaching from mentoring: mentoring rests on trust and accumulated experience, while coaching centres on skills and specific problems (Brandão et al., 2024; Celik et al., 2022; Sert, 2025).

III. RESEARCH GAP

Although many studies examine individual applications of AI in teacher professional development, the field remains compartmentalized by function. Research on AI-based simulation, automated classroom feedback, AI-supported communities of practice, and evidence-based decision-making tends to treat these functions separately, without analysing how they operate together within a single PD process a gap especially notable in English language teaching, given its distinct disciplinary demands. What is missing is not evidence that AI supports individual teaching-skill development, but a theoretical framework linking personalization, reflective practice, simulation, skill acquisition, collaborative community-building, data literacy, self-efficacy, self-regulated learning, and mentoring into one coherent system. This paper addresses that gap by integrating all eight strands into a single conceptual model grounded in existing learning theories (Celik et al., 2022; Brandão et al., 2024).

IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND THEORISTS

This paper is grounded in five interconnected theoretical areas, each explaining a facet of AI-facilitated professional development discussed in the literature review. Rather than relying on a single theory, the conceptual framework draws on established theories from educational psychology, sociology of learning, and technology-integration studies to explain the mechanisms underlying AI's role in professional development (Bandura, 1997; Schön, 1983, 1987; Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, 1998; Zimmerman, 2008; Mishra & Koehler, 2006).

A. Self-Efficacy Theory Albert Bandura

Bandura's (1997) model of self-efficacy provides the psychological explanation for teacher confidence and motivation in AI-supported development. Defined as an individual's belief in their capacity to perform the actions needed to achieve an outcome, self-efficacy explains why AI feedback free of pressure and grounded in evidence makes teachers less defensive (Bandura, 1997).

B. The Reflective Practitioner Donald Schön

Schön's (1983, 1987) concept of the reflective practitioner underpins the treatment of AI as a tool for reflective practice. His distinction between reflection-in-action and reflection-on-action clarifies how AI's recording, transcription, and patterning of classroom interaction supports such reflection (Schön, 1983, 1987).

C. Situated Learning and Communities of Practice Jean Lave and Etienne Wenger

Situated learning and communities of practice, as proposed by Lave and Wenger (1991) and elaborated by Wenger (1998), underpin the analysis of AI-formed professional communities. Since learning cannot be separated from participation in a community of practitioners, AI-based communities connecting English language teachers facing similar instructional problems — regardless of institutional or geographic boundaries extend this model further (Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, 1998).

D. Self-Regulated Learning Barry Zimmerman

Zimmerman's (2008) concept of self-regulated learning, comprising forethought, performance, and self-reflection, underlies the paper's treatment of continuous, self-initiated learning. AI's just-in-time capability allows this cycle to begin in response to a specific classroom problem, rather than waiting for a future learning opportunity (Zimmerman, 2008).

E. Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Punya Mishra and Matthew Koehler

The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework of Mishra and Koehler (2006) serves as the overarching lens for the model. Its core premise that effective technology-based teaching depends on the interaction of three knowledge types — explains why the eight dimensions of AI-supported professional development discussed here rely equally on pedagogical and ELT subject-matter competence, not technological skill alone (Mishra & Koehler, 2006).

V. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a conceptual methodology, involving the systematic identification, thematization, and analysis of literature. This approach is deliberate rather than incidental: the integration of AI into teacher professional development is too novel and fast-evolving for empirical research to keep pace, and existing literature remains disconnected — each study addressing personalization, simulation, or mentoring in isolation, without linking these parts into a unified body of knowledge. Conceptual methodology is designed to address this by synthesising rather than generating primary data. Sources include peer-reviewed articles on educational technology, teaching methodology, and applied linguistics, along with theoretical works on professional learning and self-efficacy, including Bandura's. A further methodological consideration involves pairing Bandura's decades-old theory with current technology-education literature. Rather than structuring the review as a linear summary of prior conclusions, this methodology sorts sources thematically around personalization, reflection, simulation, community, data literacy, motivation, continuity of learning, and mentoring based on close reading and recurring concerns identified across seemingly unrelated studies.

VI. OUTCOME / FINDINGS

This conceptual synthesis identifies eight dimensions through which AI supports the professional development of English language teachers: personalized learning paths, AI-assisted reflective practice, simulation-based skill development, AI-enabled professional collaboration, data literacy and evidence-based decision-making, teacher self-confidence and self-efficacy, continuous self-directed learning, and AI-driven mentorship and coaching. Across all eight, a common trend emerges: AI does not create new PD needs but removes existing barriers of time, geography, cost, and accessibility. A further finding concerns the uneven distribution of benefits AI strongly supports accessible, immediate, personalized development through self-efficacy, reflective practice, and self-directed learning, but offers more limited support where relational and tacit knowledge-sharing matter most, as in mentoring. AI-based systems can approximate the interactive nature of human mentoring through questioning, but cannot replicate a mentor's experience-based judgment (Brandão et al., 2024; Celik et al., 2022; Zangana et al., 2026).

VII. DISCUSSION

These findings suggest that AI-assisted professional development succeeds not through any single technological function but by meeting several conditions that different learning theories identify as essential for teacher growth: low-pressure, evidence-based conditions for self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997), structured reflection time (Schön, 1983), access to communities of practice (Wenger, 1998), and cyclic, self-initiated engagement (Zimmerman, 2008). It is this combination within one system, rather than any single condition, that distinguishes AI-assisted development from earlier single-function interventions such as workshops or mentoring implying that AI-supported PD works best when integrated with existing infrastructure rather than deployed for isolated tasks like feedback or scheduling. The uneven success noted in the Findings, however, marks a real limitation: the literature strongly supports AI's positive impact on individual PD dimensions but offers weaker evidence that it can substitute for human relational mentoring. This tension between AI's proven accessibility and its limited capacity for tacit relational knowledge is a conceptual, not empirically verified, finding. In addressing the identified gap, this paper contributes an integrated eight-factor model where prior literature offered only separate, single-function ones though as a theoretical rather than empirical model, it remains a conjecture requiring confirmation (Bandura, 1997; Schön, 1983; Wenger, 1998; Zimmerman, 2008; Mishra & Koehler, 2006).

VIII. FUTURE RESEARCH

This conceptual framework suggests several directions for future research. One is quasi-experimental and longitudinal testing of the eight-dimension model, comparing PD programs based on AI alone, human mentoring alone, and both combined, measuring teacher efficacy, reflection, and behavioural change before and after. A second concerns context specificity: since this paper draws mainly on general PD literature, future research should examine whether the eight dimensions hold specifically for English Language Teaching contexts, and whether their significance varies by institution, experience, or student population — ideally through mixed methods combining pre/post quantitative analysis with interviews and observation. Finally, given the identified tension between AI's strong accessibility and weaker relational depth, further research should determine the conditions under which human mentoring remains essential, alongside ethical considerations such as data privacy, algorithmic bias in feedback, and unequal access to AI technology (Brandão et al., 2024; Lakhe Shrestha et al., 2025; Celik et al., 2022).

IX. CONCLUSION

This paper has examined the professional development of English language teachers through the transformation AI brings to a field traditionally served by workshops, seminars, and periodic evaluation. Analysis across all eight themes personalized learning, reflective practice, simulation-based skill development, communities of practice, data literacy, teacher self-efficacy, self-directed learning, and AI-supported mentoring reveals a common thread: AI introduces nothing fundamentally new to professional development but strengthens what effective PD has always required. Its importance lies less in any single capability than in their cumulative effect: personalization overcomes the generic nature of standard training content, while simulation enables risk-free practice. AI's central contribution is not a new theory of teaching but an intensification of long-standing PD requirements relevance

Professional Development of English Language Teachers through Artificial Intelligence

to individual needs, evidence-based reflection, safe learning environments, peer cooperation, data-informed decisions, confidence-building, learner agency, and mentorship achieved simultaneously and continuously in ways workshop- or seminar-based models cannot match. This reinforces a broader conclusion of this paper: AI's role in teacher professional development should be understood as an augmentation of, not a substitute for, human experience in this field (Brandão et al., 2024; Celik et al., 2022; Lakhe Shrestha et al., 2025).

REFERENCES

- 1) Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. W. H. Freeman.
- 2) Brandão, A., Pedro, L., & Zagalo, N. (2024). Teacher professional development for a future with generative artificial intelligence: An integrative literature review. *Digital Education Review*, (45), 151–157.
- 3) Celik, I., Dindar, M., Muukkonen, H., & Järvelä, S. (2022). The promises and challenges of artificial intelligence for teachers: A systematic review of research. *TechTrends*, 66(4), 616–630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-022-00715-y>
- 4) Chen, G., Clarke, S. N., & Resnick, L. B. (2015). Classroom Discourse Analyzer (CDA): A discourse analytic tool for teachers. *Technology, Instruction, Cognition and Learning*, 10(2), 85–105.
- 5) Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. Learning Policy Institute.
- 6) Dieker, L. A., Hynes, M. C., Hughes, C. E., Hardin, S., & Becht, K. (2015). TLE TeachLivE™: Using technology to provide quality professional development in rural schools. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 34(3), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/875687051503400303>
- 7) Donnelly, P. J., Blanchard, N., Olney, A. M., Kelly, S., Nystrand, M., & D'Mello, S. K. (2017). Words matter: Automatic detection of teacher questions in live classroom discourse using linguistics, acoustics, and context. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Learning Analytics & Knowledge Conference*, 218–227. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3027385.3027417>
- 8) Jacobs, J., Scornavacca, K., Clevenger, C., Suresh, A., & Sumner, T. (2024). Automated feedback on discourse moves: Teachers' perceived utility of a professional learning tool. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 72(3), 1307–1329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10338-6>
- 9) Koh, E., Jonathan, C., Rasooli, A., & Li, Q. (2026). Conceptualizing teacher recipience of analytics dashboards: A preliminary framework. *International Conference on Learning Evidence and Analytics*.
- 10) Lakhe Shrestha, B. L., Dahal, N., Hasan, M. K., Paudel, S., & Kapar, H. (2025). Generative AI on professional development: A narrative inquiry using TPACK framework. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, Article 1550773. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1550773>
- 11) Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- 12) Lee, J., & Lee, J. (2025). Generative AI chatbot for teachers' data-informed decision-making: Effects and insights. *Educational Technology & Society*, 28(3), 298–317. [https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202507_28\(3\).TP06](https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202507_28(3).TP06)
- 13) Markel, J. M., Opferman, S. G., Landay, J. A., & Piech, C. (2023). GPTeach: Interactive TA training with GPT-based students. *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale*, 226–236.
- 14) Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- 15) Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic Books.
- 16) Schön, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Toward a new design for teaching and learning in the professions*. Jossey-Bass.
- 17) Sert, O. (2025). Partnering with AI in teacher education? Using an automatic question detection tool to reflect on classroom interaction. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2025.2504355>
- 18) Suresh, A., Jacobs, J., Lai, V., Tan, C., Ward, W., Martin, J. H., & Sumner, T. (2021). Using transformers to provide teachers with personalized feedback on their classroom discourse: The TalkMoves application (arXiv:2105.07949). arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07949>
- 19) Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- 20) Wise, A. F., & Jung, Y. (2019). Teaching with analytics: Towards a situated model of instructional decision-making. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 6(2), 53–69. <https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2019.62.4>
- 21) Zangana, H. M., Omar, M., Nobles, C., & Vitianingsih, A. V. (2026). Personalized professional learning paths using AI recommendation systems. In H. M. Zangana, C. Nobles, & M. Omar (Eds.), *Harnessing AI for teacher support and professional development* (pp. 1–32). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5951-9.ch001>
- 22) Zimmerman, B. J. (2008). Investigating self-regulation and motivation: Historical background, methodological developments, and future prospects. *American Educational Research Journal*, 45(1), 166–183. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831207312909>